



L. Palumbo

10/10/2005

Contents:

- Wake Fields and Coupling Impedance
- Short range and long range wake fields
- Potential well distortion
- Microwave instability
- Robinson Instability
- Coupled bunch Instability

Longitudinal Wake Fields and Impedance



 $q_1 (z_1, r_1)$: trailing point charge q (z,r): test point charge

The test charge q can **gains** or **loses energy** because of the electromagnetic fields generated behind q_1

$$\Delta \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z} \implies U_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; \Delta z) = -\int_{trajectory} F_{\parallel}(z, \mathbf{r}, z_1, \mathbf{r}_1; t) dz$$

with
$$t = (z_1 + \Delta z)/c$$

10/10/2005

The energy variation of the test charge q, normalized to q and q_1 is called longitudinal wake function (green's function)

$$w_{\parallel} (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{1}; \Delta z) = \frac{U_{\parallel} (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{1}; \Delta z)}{qq_{\perp}}$$

The energy variation of a test charge inside a bunch, due to the distribution $\rho(z)$, is called longitudinal bunch wake potential



The longitudinal coupling impedance is the Fourier transform of the wake function

$$Z_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{1};\omega) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{1};z) \exp\left[-i\omega\frac{z}{c}\right] dz$$



Short range wakefield acts over the bunch length



- Vanishes after a distance of few bunch lengths
- Low frequency resolution of Fourier transform and of coupling impedance
- Smoother and broader impedance → broad band impedance mode (e.g. Broad Band Resonator Model)

Long range wakefields acts on many bunches/multi-turn



- Fields oscillating over long distances
- produced by high Q resonant modes
- Determined by only 3 parameters: Q, ω_r and R_s
- High peak impedance

10/10/2005

In both cases we have

$$Z_{\parallel}(\omega) \approx i \frac{\omega}{\omega_r} \frac{R_s}{Q}$$

$$Z_{\parallel}(\omega) \approx i \frac{\omega}{\omega_r} \frac{R_s}{Q}$$

$$\frac{Z_{\parallel}(\omega)}{n} \approx i \frac{\omega_o}{\omega_r} \frac{R_s}{Q}$$

$$w_{\parallel} = \frac{\omega_r R_s}{Q} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma\Delta z}{c}\right) \left[\cos\left(\frac{\omega_n \Delta z}{c}\right) - \frac{\omega_r}{2Q\omega_n}\sin\left(\frac{\omega_n \Delta z}{c}\right)\right] H(\Delta z)$$

where $\Gamma = \omega_r / 2Q$, $\omega_n^2 = \omega_r^2 - \Gamma^2$, and H(Δz) is the step function.

Notice that for the short range wake field, the Broand Band Resonator with $Q \sim 1$, is only a useful approximation,

10/10/2005

Effects on beam dynamics

Short range wakefileds:

- Potential well distortion
- Longitudinal emittance growth, microwave instability

Long range wakefileds:

- Robinson instabilities (RF fundamental mode)
- Coupled bunch instability

Short range wakefileds



10/10/2005

Potential well distortion

The motion of a particle in the bunch is confined by the potential due to the RF voltage and to the wake fields

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{\alpha_c}{L_0} \int_0^z \left[eV_{RF}(z') - U_0 \right] dz' - \frac{\alpha_c e^2 N_p}{L_0} \int_0^z dz' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(z'') w_{\parallel}(z''-z') dz''$$

In the low current regime, with gaussian energy distribution, energy spread $\sigma_{\epsilon 0}$, the longitudinal distribution is described by an integral equation known as the Haissinski equation

$$\rho_0(z) = \overline{\rho} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{E_0 \alpha_c^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon 0}^2} \Psi(z)\right]$$

10/10/2005

Particular solution of Haissinski equation

<u>No wake field contribution</u>: a linear expansion of V_{RF} around z=0 gives

$$\rho_0(z) = \overline{\rho} \exp\left[-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma_{z0}^2}\right] \qquad \overline{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{z0}}} \qquad \sigma_{z0} = \frac{\alpha_c c \sigma_{\varepsilon 0}}{\omega_{s0}}$$



Typical measured bunch distributions in the Dafne Rings. The head is to the left



10/10/2005

Longitudinal emittance growth, microwave instability

• Observe energy spread and bunch length as a function of the current.



• σ_{ϵ} is almost constant up to a threshold current after which it starts to increase with the current according to a given power law (in most cases 1/3 power).

• σ_z starts to increase from the very beginning (potential well distortion), and, after the same threshold current, it grows with the same power law.

10/10/2005

Longitudinal emittance growth & microwave instability



Threshold current:



$$\hat{I} = \frac{ceN_p}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_z}$$
$$n = \frac{\omega}{\omega_o}$$

Above threshold: Boussard criterion

$$\sigma_{z} = \left(\frac{R^{3}|Z/n|\xi}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/3} \qquad \xi = \frac{I\alpha_{c}}{\upsilon_{s}^{2}E_{0}/e}$$

Longitudinal emittance growth & microwave instability

Chao – Gareyte scaling law:



From: A. W. Chao, J. Gareyte, Particle Accelerators, Vol. 25, pp. 229-234, 1990

10/10/2005

Bunch lengthening in DAFNE



DAFNE Accumulator.Dots:measurement resultsSolid line : numerical simulation.



DAFNE main rings Circles - measurement results. Solid line - numerical calculations

NOTICE Numerical simulations performed before measurements : good impedance model of the machine

Design strategy: proper design of vacuum chamber

• Single bunch: low broad band impedance Z/n



Reduce parasitic loss, taper discontinuities

10/10/2005

Impedance budget

Element	Im Z_L/n [Ω]
Tapers	0.156
Transverse feedback kickers (low frequency)	0.128
Scrapers	0.062
Bellows	0.024
Resistive wall (at roll - off frequency)	0.013
BPMs	0.01
Vacuum pump screens	0.02
Injection port	0.0031
Antechamber slots	0.0005
Synchrotron radiation	< 0.015
Space charge	-0.0021
Other inductive elements	0.1
Total	0.53 Ω

Longitudinal Microwave instability is is fast but not destructive

Element	$k_l, V / pC$ at $\sigma_z = 3$ cm
RF cavity	0.129
Third harmonic cavity	0.157
Longitudinal feedback kicker	0.120
Transverse kickers	0.064
Injection kickers	0.047
IR taper system	0.0026
Scrapers	0.00007
Injection port	0.00004
Total	0.52

Cures ?

• Landau damping

Long range wakefields





Interaction with RF fundamental mode: Robinson Instabilities

Single particle equation of motion (neglecting quantum fluctuation)

$$\dot{z} = -c\alpha_{c}\varepsilon$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{eV_{RF} - U_{0}}{T_{0}E_{0}} - \frac{D}{T_{0}}\varepsilon \qquad D = \frac{2U_{0}}{E_{0}} \text{ is the damping coefficient}$$

Combined they give a second order differential equation

$$\ddot{z} + \frac{D}{T_0} \dot{z} + \omega_{s0}^2 z = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_{s0}^2 = \frac{c^2 \alpha_c 2\pi h e \hat{V} \sin(\phi_s)}{L_0^2 E_0}$$
$$\cos(\phi_s) = \frac{U_0}{e \hat{V}} \quad \left(0 \le \phi_s \le \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \quad \text{synchronous phase}$$

10/10/2005

Robinson instability ...

By including also the beam loading effect we have (see A. Hofmann lecture)

$$\ddot{z} + \left(\frac{D}{T_0} - \alpha_r\right) \dot{z} + \omega_s^2 z = 0$$

$$\alpha_{\rm r} = \frac{eN_{\rm p}\alpha_{\rm c}h\omega_{\rm 0}}{\omega_{\rm s}(E_{\rm 0}/e)T_{\rm 0}^{2}} \operatorname{Re}[\Delta Z]$$

$$\operatorname{Re}[\Delta Z] = \operatorname{Re}[Z(n\omega_{0} + \omega_{s}) - Z(n\omega_{0} - \omega_{s})]$$
$$z = A_{0} \exp\left[-\frac{D}{T_{0}} + \alpha_{r}\right] \cos[\omega_{s}t + \theta_{0}]$$



10/10/2005

Robinson instability



Trieste 2005

Interaction with HOMs : Coupled bunch instability (Macroparticle model)

The equations of motion are the same of the single particle. The difference is in the voltage induced by other bunches in the HOMs



 V_w^n is the voltage seen by the nth bunch and induced by the long range wake fields.

$$\ddot{z}_{n} + \frac{D}{T_{0}}\dot{z}_{n} = -\frac{c\alpha_{c}}{T_{0}E_{0}}\left[eV_{RF}(z_{n}) - U_{0} - eV_{w}^{n}\right]$$

10/10/2005

By linearizing the RF voltage and the HOM induced wake fields with respect to z_n we obtain three terms

1) A term independent on z that modifies synchronous phase

$$\hat{eV}\cos(\phi_{sn}) = U_0 + e\sum_{h=0}^{N_b-1}\sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty}Q_h W_{\parallel}\left[\left(q - \frac{h}{N_b} + \frac{n}{N_b}\right)L_0\right]$$

2) A term dependent on z_n (t) that modifies synchronous frequency

$$\omega_{sn}^{2} = \frac{c^{2}\alpha_{c}e}{L_{0}E_{0}} \left[\frac{2\pi h\hat{V}\sin(\phi_{sn})}{L_{0}} + \sum_{h=0}^{N_{b}-1}\sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty}Q_{h}\frac{dw_{\parallel}}{dz} \Big|_{\left(q-\frac{h}{N_{b}}+\frac{n}{N_{b}}\right)L_{0}} \right]$$

 Other terms dependent on z_h at previuos passages that are seen as 'external coupling forces'

10/10/2005

$$\ddot{Z}_{n} + \frac{D}{T_{0}} \dot{Z}_{n} + \omega_{sn}^{2} Z_{n} = \frac{c\alpha_{c}e}{T_{0}E_{0}}$$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{N_{b}-1} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{h} \frac{dw_{\parallel}}{dz} \bigg|_{\left(q-\frac{h}{N_{b}}+\frac{n}{N_{b}}\right)L_{0}} Z_{h}\left(t-qT_{0}+\frac{h}{N_{b}}T_{0}-\frac{n}{N_{b}}T_{0}\right)$$

To solve the equation system we seek a solution of the kind $z_n(t) = a_n \exp[i\Omega t]$ and obtain

$$\lambda(\Omega^{(\mu)}) = -i \frac{c^2 \alpha_e e^2 N_p N_b}{L_0^2 E_0} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\Omega^{(\mu)} + (q N_b + \mu) \omega_0 \right] Z_{\parallel} \left[\Omega^{(\mu)} + (q N_b + \mu) \omega_0 \right]$$
$$\lambda(\Omega) = \Omega^2 - i \frac{D}{T_0} \Omega - \omega_{sn}^2 \qquad \mu = 0, \dots, N_b - 1$$

10/10/2005

Example with 2 bunches



$$\ddot{z}_{1} + \frac{D}{T_{0}}\dot{z}_{1} + \omega_{s}^{2}z_{1} = \frac{c\alpha_{c}eQ}{T_{0}E_{0}}\sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{dw_{\parallel}}{dz} \bigg|_{qL_{0}} z_{1}(t-qT_{0}) + \frac{dw_{\parallel}}{dz} \bigg|_{\left(q-\frac{1}{2}\right)L_{0}} z_{2}\left(t-qT_{0}-\frac{1}{2}T_{0}\right) \right\}$$

$$\ddot{z}_{2} + \frac{D}{T_{0}} \dot{z}_{2} + \omega_{s}^{2} z_{2} = \frac{c\alpha_{c} eQ}{T_{0} E_{0}} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{dw_{\parallel}}{dz} \bigg|_{qL_{0}} z_{2} (t - qT_{0}) + \frac{dw_{\parallel}}{dz} \bigg|_{\left(q - \frac{1}{2}\right)L_{0}} z_{1} \left(t - qT_{0} - \frac{1}{2}T_{0}\right) \right\}$$

Seek for a solution of the kind $z_1(t) = a_1 \exp[i\Omega t]$ and $z_2(t) = a_2 \exp[i\Omega t]$ and obtain

10/10/2005

$$\left(\Omega^{2}-i\frac{D}{T_{0}}\Omega-\omega_{s}^{2}\right)a_{1}=-i\frac{c^{2}\alpha_{c}eQ}{L_{0}^{2}E_{0}}\sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\Omega+q\omega_{0}\right)Z_{\parallel}\left(\Omega+q\omega_{0}\right)\left(a_{1}+a_{2}e^{i\pi q}\right)$$
$$\left(\Omega^{2}-i\frac{D}{T_{0}}\Omega-\omega_{s}^{2}\right)a_{2}=-i\frac{c^{2}\alpha_{c}eQ}{L_{0}^{2}E_{0}}\sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\Omega+q\omega_{0}\right)Z_{\parallel}\left(\Omega+q\omega_{0}\right)\left(a_{1}e^{i\pi q}+a_{2}\right)$$

- Homogeneus system of two equations.
- Non trivial solution the matrix determinant most be zero.
- Consider a single narrow band HOM:

$$\begin{split} &\left(\Omega^2 - i\frac{D}{T_0}\Omega - \omega_s^2\right) = \\ &-i\frac{2c^2\alpha_c e^2Q}{L_0^2 E_0} \Big[(q\omega_0 + \Omega) Z_{\parallel} (\Omega + q\omega_0) - (q\omega_0 - \Omega) Z_{\parallel} (\Omega - q\omega_0) \Big] \end{split}$$

10/10/2005

That can be further simplified ($\Omega \approx \omega_s$)



Notice : even q's corresponds to the two bunches oscillating in phase



odd q's corresponds to the two bunches oscillating with π phase shift



10/10/2005

Design strategy: proper design of resonant devices

• Reduce HOM's, low Rs / Q and Q





10/10/2005







Cures

- Longitudinal feedbacks
- Landau damping

In general, if we suppose a single narrow band HOM and $\Omega^{(\mu)} \approx \omega_s$ then only two (different) oscillation modes are excited, and we obtain

$$\Omega^{(\mu+)} = \omega_{s} + i \frac{D}{2T_{0}} - i \frac{c^{2} \alpha_{c} e^{2} N_{p} N_{b}}{2L_{0}^{2} E_{0} \omega_{s}} [(q_{1} N_{b} + \mu_{+}) \omega_{0} + \omega_{s}] Z_{\parallel} [(q_{1} N_{b} + \mu_{+}) \omega_{0} + \omega_{s}]$$

$$\Omega^{(\mu-)} = \omega_{s} + i \frac{D}{2T_{0}} + i \frac{c^{2} \alpha_{c} e^{2} N_{p} N_{b}}{2L_{0}^{2} E_{0} \omega_{s}} [(q_{2} N_{b} - \mu_{-}) \omega_{0} - \omega_{s}] Z_{\parallel} [-(q_{2} N_{b} - \mu_{-}) \omega_{0} + \omega_{s}]$$

 $(q_1 \text{ and } q_2 > 0)$

 μ + (positive synchrotron sideband) is the unstable oscillation mode μ - (negative synchrotron sideband) is the stable oscillation mode

10/10/2005

Conclusions

- The Longitudinal Instability mechanisms are well understood;
- With an accurate model of the machine impedance one can predict the single bunch and multibunch dynamics;
- Single bunch instabilities are not destructive but lead to beam heating (increase of energy spread and bunch length)
- Multibunch instabilities are destructive and require the installation of a fast feedback system on the ring.
- Necessary an accurate design of the vacuum chamber and RF devices