



### Direct Vlasov solvers – part I

Nicolas Mounet, CERN/BE-ABP-HSC

**Acknowledgements**: Sergey Arsenyev, Xavier Buffat, Giovanni Iadarola, Kevin Li, Elias Métral, Adrian Oeftiger, Giovanni Rumolo



### Direct Vlasov solvers

### Part I

- Introduction: collective effects
- Motivation for Vlasov solvers
- Vlasov equation historically, and in the context of accelerators
- Transverse impedance and instabilities
- Building of a simple Vlasov solver for impedance instabilities

### Collective effects

CERN

- Collective effects: phenomena in which the evolution of the particle beam cannot be studied as if the beam was a collection of single particles behaving independently, but rather as an ensemble of interacting particles.
- Examples (with the potential effect on the beam):
  - ✓ Impedance & wake fields, i.e. interaction with the beam's own selfgenerated electromagnetic (EM) fields (instabilities, heat load),
  - Intra-beam scattering & Touschek effect (emittance growth, intensity loss),
  - ✓ Interactions with trapped ions (coherent instabilities),
  - Build up of an electron cloud and interaction with it (heat load, coherent instabilities),
  - Interaction with another counter-rotating beam so-called beambeam effects (emittance growth, intensity loss, possibly coherent instabilities).

## Collective effects - modeling instabilities

- Coherent instability: self-enhanced, typically exponentially growing, oscillation of the full beam (or a significant part of it, e.g. one bunch).
- A first approach is simply to perform multi-particle tracking (see previous CAS lectures), including the collective effect under study (e.g. collision between particles, EM fields from ensemble of particles, etc.).
- This approach is, in principle:
  - simple and efficient, especially if a model is available for the selfinteraction fields (e.g. a wake function),
  - easy to extend to complex situations,
  - ✓ potentially very realistic.

So why should we do anything else than this?



### Motivation for another kind of modeling

- Multi-particle tracking still exhibit a number of drawbacks:
  - It can be slow: one needs to track thousands to millions of macroparticles, sometimes with a complex interaction mechanism (PIC solver, bunch slicing for wake fields, etc.).
  - X Most importantly, it does not always help for an understanding of what's happening.

 $\Rightarrow$  It's not always easy to understand what parameters are the important ones to e.g. stabilize an unstable beam.

### Motivation for another kind of modeling

Multi-particle tracking can also be misleading: as a time domain technique, a beam that looks stable might actually be unstable if we track more turns.

Example: average vertical position in the LHC vs octupole current I<sub>oct</sub> (i.e. with increasing damping from transverse non-linearities):



CERN

### Alternative for instability computation

- Multi-particles is one way to discretize the phase space very close to reality as the beams are indeed made of distinct particles, albeit much more numerous than in typical simulations.
- A contrario, one can also consider the whole phase space distribution as a continuum, and look for modes arising from collective interactions, that could develop and lead to instabilities.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Vlasov solvers named after the equation to be solved.

 $\Rightarrow$  Switch from time to mode domain, the stability of each mode being predictable irrespectively of its rapidity to develop.

Historically, this was the first approach adopted to try to understand instabilities in particle accelerators [L. J. Laslett, V. K. Neil, and A. M. Sessler (1965), F. J. Sacherer (1972)].

CERN

### Distribution of particles in phase space

- In a classical (i.e. not quantum-mechanical) picture, each beam particles has a certain position and momentum for each of the three coordinates (x, y, z).
- > For a 2D distribution, in e.g. vertical, such a distribution of particles can be easily pictured in phase space  $(y, p_y)$ :



Total number of particles  $N = \iiint_{position} \iiint_{momenta} \psi(x, p_x, y, p_y, z, p_z; t) dx dp_x dy dp_y dz dp_z$ 



(a)

### Liouville theorem

- Vlasov equation is based on Liouville theorem (or equivalently, on the collisionless Boltzmann transport equation), which expresses that the local phase space density does not change when one follows the flow (i.e. the trajectory) of particles.
- $\succ$  In other words: local phase space area is conserved in time:  $\frac{dy}{dy}$



Courtesy A. W. Chao, *Physics of Collective Beam Instabilities in High Energy Accelerators*, John Wiley & Sons (1993), chap. 6.

**Figure 6.3.** (a) Phase space distribution of particles at time *t*. A rectangular box *ABCD* with area  $\Delta q \Delta p$  is drawn and magnified. (b) At a later time, t + dt, the box moves and deforms into a parellelogram with the same area as *ABCD*. All particles inside the box move with the box.

### Vlasov equation [A. A. Vlasov, J. Phys. USSR 9, 25 (1945)]

- Vlasov equation was first written in the context of plasma physics, where the standard collision-based Boltzmann approach, with Coulomb collisions, was failing.
- As Coulomb interactions have a long-range character, the idea of Vlasov was to integrate the collective, self-interaction EM fields into the Hamiltonian, instead of writing them as a collision term.
- > Assumptions:

CERN

- conservative & deterministic system (governed by Hamiltonian) no damping or diffusion from external sources (no synchrotron radiation),
- particles are interacting only through the collective EM fields (no short-range collision).

### Vlasov solvers for synchrotrons

- Vlasov solvers can be used in principle for various kinds of collective effects involving self-generated EM fields, e.g.:
  - Transverse impedance effects (see later for references),
  - Longitudinal impedance effects [e.g. M. Venturini et al, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 10 (2007), 054403],
  - Beam-beam effects [e.g. Y. Alexahin, Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. A 480 (2002) pp. 253–288],
  - Electron-cloud, or more generally two stream effects [e.g. E. A.
     Perevedentsev, Proc. workshop on e-cloud simul. for proton & positron beams, Geneva, Switzerland, CERN-2002-001 (2002) pp. 171-194],
  - Space-charge (& impedance) [e.g. M. Blaskiewicz, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 1 (1998), 044201].
- In this lecture we will rather focus on transverse impedance effects without space-charge, in circular machines.

Still, the approach adopted here can be applied to other collective effects.

### Impedance & wake function

Impedance is a quantity that characterizes the electromagnetic (EM) fields generated by a single particle ("source") on another particle ("test") through interaction with the beam surroundings (vacuum pipe, cavities, collimators, etc.):



► The force felt by the test, averaged over the device length and normalized by source and test charges, is the wake function (here in vertical, length= $2\pi R$  for a vacuum pipe all round the ring): Imaginary unit  $W_y(z) = \frac{2\pi R}{e^2} F_y(x_{test}, y_{test}, z) = -\frac{0}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega \frac{z}{V}} Z_y(\omega)$  It's the inverse fourier transform of the impedance



### Transverse instability modes

Coherent instabilities are self-enhanced modes, characterized by a beam position growing with time (typically exponentially) :





### Transverse instability modes

> Typically, instabilities happen at a certain frequency, close to the tune



### Vlasov solvers for transverse impedance

- Vlasov equation was first used to compute stability conditions for a given excitation, obtaining dispersion relations, by Laslett et al (1965) [1].
- The seminal Sacherer integral equation was derived (1972) [2], and a simple formula for instability growth rates obtained from it (1974) [3].
- Besnier devised a method to solve Sacherer Integral eq. using orthogonal polynomials (1979)
   [4], and Laclare developped an equivalent approach in frequency domain (1985) [5].
- Several codes were implemented over the years, e.g. MOSES (1985) [6], NHTVS (2014) [7], DELPHI [8] (2014) and GALACTIC (2018) [9].
- Extension to include synchrotron radiation for lepton machines do exist, solving Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation, see e.g. Ref. [10].
- Reviews, courses and books can be found, in e.g. Refs. [3,5] and Chao's book [11].

 [1] L. J. Laslett, V. K. Neil, and A. M. Sessler, *Rev. Sci. Instrum. 36, 4 (1965) pp. 436–448.* [2] F. J. Sacherer, *CERN/SI-BR/72-5 (1972).* [3] B. Zotter & F. J. Sacherer, *Proc. 1st Int. School Part. Acc., Erice, Italy (1976) pp. 175–218.* [4] G. Besnier, D. Brandt, and B. Zotter, *CERN LEP-TH/84-11, LHC Note 17 (1985).* [5] J. L. Laclare, *Proc. CERN Accelerator School, Oxford, UK (1985) pp. 264–326.* [6] Y.-H. Chin, CERN/SPS/85-2 (1985) and CERN/LEP-TH/88-05 (1988).
[7] A. V. Burov, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, 17 (2014) 021007.
[8] N. Mounet, CERN Yellow Reports: Conference Proceedings, 1 (2018) p. 77.
[9] E. Métral et al, Proc. IPAC'18, Vancouver, Canada (2018) pp. 3076–3079.
[10] R. L. Warnock, Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. A 561 (2006) pp. 186–194.
[11] A. W. Chao, Physics of Collective Beams Instabilities in High Energy Accelerators. John Wiley and Sons (1993), chap. 6.

### How to build a Vlasov solver

It would be numerically very difficult to solve Vlasov equation with "brute force", as a partial differential equation of 7 variables:

 $\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial p_x}\frac{dp_x}{dt} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial p_y}\frac{dp_y}{dt} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z}\frac{dz}{dt} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial p_z}\frac{dp_z}{dt} = 0$ 

Moreover, we would lose any asset with respect to tracking:

- no particular insight or understanding,
- solution in time domain  $\rightarrow$  no identification of modes.
- To build a useful (i.e. fast and simple enough) Vlasov solver, one rather needs to do some analytical work first, essentially aiming at reducing the number of variables.
- Typical end results of this "pencil and paper" work is either a fully analytical formula (e.g. Sacherer formula), an eigenvalue problem, or a non-linear equation to solve against a single parameter.
- Now we will first focus on the initial analytical work, on an example.

### Building a simple Vlasov solver

- Let's consider a simple case, to understand how it works:
  - Impedance  $Z_y(\omega)$  is the only source of instability considered, and gives the EM force arising from the interaction of the beam with the resistive or geometric elements around it,
  - only vertical plane, with position and "momentum"  $(y, y' = \frac{ay}{ds})$  (using for convenience y' rather than  $p_y$ )
  - purely linear, uncoupled optics in transverse, within smooth approximation,

Longitudinal coordinate along the accelerator

- no longitudinal motion, i.e. essentially rigid bunches in z,
- chromaticity  $Q'_y = \frac{dQ_y}{d\delta} = 0$ ,
- Phase space distribution function is then

$$\psi = \psi(y, y'; t)$$

CERN



- 1. Write the stationary distribution
- 2. Introduce a perturbation to the distribution function
- 3. Get the time derivatives through the equations of motion
- 4. Simplify and linearize Vlasov equation
- 5. Transform the system of coordinates
- 6. Decompose appropriately the perturbation
- 7. Reduce the number of variables
- 8. Write the impedance force
- 9. Get the final equation

### CERN

Stationary distribution

Perturbation

Equations of motion

Simplification Linearization

> Coordinate transform

Perturbation

decomposition

Reduction

variables

Impedance

force

**Final equation** 

### Stationary distribution

Let's say there is no impedance, and only the optics plays a role (perfect quadrupoles, focusing the beam around the orbit):

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = 0$$

#### is satisfied by $\psi = \psi$ (invariants of motion)

This is a general rule: in the absence of time dependent perturbation, stationary solutions of Vlasov equation are simply ANY phase space distribution function which depends ONLY on the invariants of motion.

The stationary distribution is the starting point of our Vlasov solver.

### Stationary distribution

Stationary distribution append

CERN

Perturbation

**Equations of** 

motion

Simplification Linearization

> Coordinate transform

Perturbation decomposition

Reduction variables

Impedance force

Final equation

In vertical, for linear optics, the invariant is the action defined as (see appendix for a derivation)

 $\frac{Q_y}{R} + {y'}^2 \frac{R}{Q_y}$ 

Single particle tune

Machine physical radius (=circum/ $2\pi$ )

such that the unperturbed distribution function is

 $J_y = \frac{1}{2} \left| y^2 \right|$ 

$$\psi(y,y';t) = \psi_0(J_y)$$

From the expression of the invariant  $J_y$  it is easy to show the existence of the angle variable  $\theta_y$  such that

$$y = \sqrt{\frac{2J_yR}{Q_y}} \cos \theta_y$$
 and  $y' = \sqrt{\frac{2J_yQ_y}{R}} \sin \theta_y$ 



### Perturbation theory

It's rather difficult to solve Vlasov equation without making any assumption on the distribution function.

from the knowledge of a stationary distribution, that we slightly

perturb to include the (collective) effect under study:

 $\rightarrow$  instead one typically solves it using linear perturbation theory, i.e.

Perturbation Equations of motion

Simplification Linearization

> Coordinate transform

Perturbation decomposition

Reduction variables

Impedance force

Final equation

Stationary distribution

 $\psi = \psi_0(J_y) + \Delta \psi(y, y'; t)$  $= \psi_0(J_y) + \Delta \psi(J_y, \theta_y; t)$ 

Stationary distribution

Perturbation, assumed infinitesimally small, that we can express indifferently in (y, y') or  $(J_y, \theta_y)$  variables





### **Equations of motion**

Perturbation

**Equations of** motion

Simplification Linearization

Coordinate transform

Perturbation decompositior

Reduction variables

Impedance force **Final equation** 



Next step is to express these as a function of (y, y'; t).



### Simplifying and linearizing Vlasov equation



CERN

### Transformation of coordinates

Since the unperturbed distribution is a function of the action  $J_y$  alone, it's natural to switch to action-angle variables:

$$y = \sqrt{\frac{2J_yR}{Q_y}}\cos\theta_y, \qquad y' = \sqrt{\frac{2J_yQ_y}{R}}\sin\theta_y$$
$$J_y = \frac{1}{2}\left[y^2\frac{Q_y}{R} + {y'}^2\frac{R}{Q_y}\right], \quad \theta_y = \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{R}{Q_y}\frac{y'}{y}\right)$$

and for the partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y} = \frac{y \, Q_{y}}{R}, \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y'} = \frac{y' R}{Q_{y}}$$
$$\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y} = -\sqrt{\frac{Q_{y}}{2J_{y}R}} \sin \theta_{y}, \qquad \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y'} = \sqrt{\frac{R}{2J_{y}Q_{y}}} \cos \theta_{y}$$

CERN

Stationary distribution

Perturbation

Equations of motion

Simplification Linearization

> Coordinate transform

Perturbation decompositior

Reduction variables

Impedance force

### Transformation of coordinates

Using the partial derivatives computed previously:

$$\frac{\partial \psi_{0}}{\partial y'} = \frac{d\psi_{0}}{dJ_{y}} \cdot \frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y'} = \psi_{0}'(J_{y})\frac{y'R}{Q_{y}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial J_{y}} \cdot \frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial \theta_{y}} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial J_{y}} \cdot \frac{y Q_{y}}{R} + \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial \theta_{y}} \cdot \left(-\sqrt{\frac{Q_{y}}{2J_{y}R}}\sin\theta_{y}\right) \times vy'$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial J_{y}} \cdot \frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y'} + \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial \theta_{y}} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial J_{y}} \cdot \frac{y'R}{Q_{y}} + \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial \theta_{y}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{R}{2J_{y}Q_{y}}\cos\theta_{y}}\right) \times vy \left(\frac{Q_{y}}{R}\right)^{2}$$
such that
$$\frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial y} vy' - \frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial p_{y}} vy \left(\frac{Q_{y}}{R}\right)^{2} = -\frac{\partial \Delta \psi}{\partial \theta_{y}} Q_{y} \frac{v}{R}$$
Angular revolution
frequency  $\omega_{0} = \frac{v}{R}$ 

CERN

Stationary distribution

Perturbatio

Equations o motion

Simplification Linearization

> Coordinate transform

Perturbation decomposition

Reduction variables

Impedance force

### An already simpler Vlasov equation

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = 0$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial\Delta\psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial\Delta\psi}{\partial\theta_{y}}Q_{y}\omega_{0} + \psi_{0}'(J_{y})\frac{1}{m_{0}\gamma\nu}\sqrt{\frac{2J_{y}R}{Q_{y}}}\sin\theta_{y}F_{y}^{imp} = 0$$

 $\rightarrow$  Only one partial derivative of the coordinates is left.

N. MOUNET - VLASOV SOLVERS I - CAS 21/11/2018

CERN

Stationary distribution

Perturbation

Equations of motion

Simplification Linearization

Coordinate transform

Perturbation decomposition

Reduction variables

Impedance force

### Writing the perturbation

Now it's time to take a closer look at  $\Delta \psi$ :

Perturbation Equations of motion

Stationary distribution

CERN

- Simplification Linearization
- Coordinate transform
- Perturbation decomposition
- Reduction variables Impedance force

We first make just one assumption: its time dependence is that of a single mode of coherent angular frequency  $\Omega$ , close to  $\omega_0 Q_y$  (with  $\omega_0 \equiv \frac{v}{R}$  the angular revolution frequency) – well justified when one computes a growing instability mode, which supersedes exponentially any other mode:

$$\Delta \psi (J_y, \theta_y; t) = \Delta \psi_1 (J_y, \theta_y) e^{j\Omega t}$$

Then we decompose this mode using a Fourier series of the angle  $heta_y$ :

$$\Delta \psi(J_{y}, \theta_{y}; t) = e^{j\Omega t} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{p}(J_{y}) e^{jp\theta_{y}}$$

### Reducing the number of variables

Injecting the perturbation into Vlasov equation, we can simplify it even more:



CERN

Stationary distribution

Equations motior

Simplificat Linearizat

transfor

Term by term identification leads to

 $f_p(J_v) = 0$  for any  $p \neq \pm 1$ 

Then, the assumption  $\Omega \approx Q_{\nu}\omega_0$ , gives

 $f_{-1}(J_{\nu}) \approx 0$ 

### Reducing the number of variables

We end-up with (taking away the  $e^{j\theta_y}$  on both sides):

$$e^{j\Omega t} f_1(J_y)(\Omega - Q_y\omega_0) = \psi'_0(J_y) \sqrt{\frac{J_yR}{2Q_y}} \frac{F_y^{imp}(t)}{m_0\gamma v}$$

This already gives us the  $J_y$  dependency of the perturbative distribution!

$$f_{1}(J_{y}) \propto \psi_{0}'(J_{y}) \sqrt{\frac{J_{y}R}{2Q_{y}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \psi(J_{y}, \theta_{y}; t) = De^{j\Omega t} e^{j\theta_{y}} \psi_{0}'(J_{y}) \sqrt{\frac{J_{y}R}{2Q_{y}}}$$
Constant

CERN

Stationary distribution

Perturbation

Equations of motion

Simplification Linearization

Coordinate transform

Perturbation decomposition

Reduction variables

Impedance force



### Force from impedance

After the usual change of variables  $(y, y') \rightarrow (J_y, \theta_y)$ :

Equations of

Stationary distribution

Perturbation

Simplification

motion

CERN

Coordinate transform

Perturbation decomposition Reduction variables

force

**Final equation** 

 $F_{y}^{imp} = \frac{e^{2}}{2\pi R} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} W_{y}(2\pi kR)$   $\times \iint dJ_{y} d\theta_{y} \Delta \psi \left(J_{y}, \theta_{y}; t - k \frac{2\pi R}{v}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2J_{y}R}{Q_{y}} \cos \theta_{y}}$  Y

#### Force from impedance CERN Using what we know from the perturbation Stationary distribution $\Delta \psi (J_y, \theta_y; t) = D e^{j\Omega t} e^{j\theta_y} \psi_0' (J_y) \sqrt{\frac{J_y R}{2\theta_y}}$ Perturbation we get **Equations of** $F_{y}^{imp} = \frac{e^{2}De^{j\Omega t}}{2\pi Q_{y}} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-j2\pi k\Omega R}{v}} W_{y}(2\pi kR) \iint dJ_{y} d\theta_{y} J_{y} \psi_{0}'(J_{y}) \cos \theta_{y} e^{j\theta_{y}}$ motion Simplification Linearization Can we $= -\frac{Ne^2D}{4\pi Q_v}e^{j\Omega t}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}e^{\frac{-j2\pi k\Omega R}{v}}W_y(2\pi kR)$ Can we simplify this? Coordinate transform Perturbation Number of decomposition particles from Reduction variables $\int_{0}^{\infty} dJ_{y} J_{y} \psi_{0}'(J_{y}) = \left[ J_{y} \psi_{0}(J_{y}) \right]_{0}^{\infty} - \int_{0}^{\infty} dJ_{y} \psi_{0}(J_{y}) = -\frac{N}{2\pi}$ Impedance force $\int^{2\pi} d\theta_y \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j}\theta_y} \mathrm{cos}\, \theta_y = \pi$ and **Final equation**



### Force from impedance

Recall the definition of a wake function as a Fourier transform of the impedance:

$$W_{y}(z) = -\frac{j}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{j\omega \frac{z}{v}} Z_{y}(\omega)$$

We get



### Final expression of Vlasov equation...

Stationary distribution

CERN

Perturbation

Equations of motion

Simplification Linearization

> Coordinate transform

Perturbation decomposition

Reduction variables

Impedance force Dropping  $D, e^{j\Omega t}, \psi'_0(J_y), \sqrt{\frac{J_yR}{2Q_y}}$  on both sides:  $j\omega_0 N e^2 \qquad \sum^{+\infty} \pi = (2 - i)^{-1}$ 

$$\Omega - Q_{y}\omega_{0} = \frac{j\omega_{0}Ne^{2}}{8\pi^{2}m_{0}\gamma\nu Q_{y}}\sum_{k=-\infty}Z_{y}\left(\Omega + k\omega_{0}\right)$$

In principle, this is a non-linear equation of  $\Omega$ .

Still  $Z_y(\omega)$  is typically is very smooth (at the level of the tune shifts we are looking for) such that in the right-hand side one can make the approximation:

$$\Omega \approx Q_y \omega_0$$

and we get finally

$$\Omega - Q_y \omega_0 = \frac{j\omega_0 N e^2}{8\pi^2 m_0 \gamma v Q_y} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_y \left( Q_y \omega_0 + k\omega_0 \right)$$

Final equation which is a fully analytical formula giving the frequency shift of the mode  $\rightarrow$  that's our Vlasov solver!

### Direct Vlasov solvers – Summary part l

- We introduced the topic of collective effects, and more specifically transverse instabilities from impedance.
- We provided some motivation for an alternative to multi-particle simulations.
- We sketched a brief overview of the underlying principles of Vlasov equation, and its historical uses.
- We built our first "naive" Vlasov solver for longitudinally rigid bunches, providing a general outline of the method.

 $\Rightarrow$  Some algebra is required, but not much advanced knowledge is needed, in order to build a Vlasov solver.

 $\Rightarrow$  But with a few more tools, we can do it more efficiently and elegantly – this is part II.



# Appendix



 $\Rightarrow$  circulant matrix model [1], later extended by S. White and X. Buffat [2].

[1] V. V. Danilov & E. A. Perevedentsev, Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. A 391 (1997) pp. 77-92.
[2] S. White et al, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 17 (2014), 041002.

### Invariant of motion: linear optics

Starting from Hill's equation (in the smooth approximation):

$$\frac{d^2 y}{ds^2} + \left(\frac{Q_y}{R}\right)^2 y = 0$$

$$\times \left(\frac{dy}{ds}\right) \qquad \Rightarrow \frac{d^2 y}{ds^2} \cdot \frac{dy}{ds} + \left(\frac{Q_y}{R}\right)^2 y \frac{dy}{ds} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{d}{ds} \left[ \left(\frac{dy}{ds}\right)^2 \right] + \left(\frac{Q_y}{R}\right)^2 \frac{d}{ds} (y^2) \right\} = 0$$

$$\times \left(\frac{R}{Q_y}\right) \int ds \qquad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{Q_y} \left[ \left(\frac{dy}{ds}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Q_y}{R}\right)^2 y^2 \right] = \text{constant}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{R}{Q_y} \left(\frac{p_y}{m_0 \gamma v}\right)^2 + \frac{Q_y}{R} y^2 \right] = \text{constant}$$

$$\text{using} \quad \frac{dy}{ds} = \frac{dy}{dt} \frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{v_y}{v} = \frac{p_y}{m_0 \gamma v}$$

CERN