Vacuum in Accelerators 16-24 May 2006, Platja d'Aro, Spain

Beam vacuum interactions II

Oswald Gröbner

O. Gröbner, CERN-Vauum group (ret.)

Present address: Schmiedgasse 5, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

E-mail: Oswald.Groebner@chello.at Oswald.Grobner@cern.ch

Specific ionisation of the residual gas

Ionisation of the residual gas by the high energy beam

 σ_p is the ionisation cross section

Ionisation cross-sections for high energy particles in units of 10⁻¹⁸ cm²

e.g. LHC arc: $I_p \sim 20$ nA/m at nominal current and density $(10^{15} H_2/m^3)$

Gas	26 GeV	7 TeV
H_2	0.22	0.37
He	0.23	0.38
CH_4	1.2	2.1
CO	1.0	1.8
А	1.1	2.0
CO_2	1.6	2.8

 $I_p = \sigma_p \frac{P_{gas}}{kT} I_{beam}$

Power loss by nuclear scattering

Particles lost by nuclear scattering along the arcs of a machine can not be collimated and their losses occur uniformly distributed around the arcs

$$P(w/m) = \frac{1}{c} \frac{IE}{\tau} = 0.93 \frac{I(A)E(TeV)}{\tau(h)}$$

LHC design requires a nuclear-scattering life time of ~ 100h

LHC -> 0.1 W/m for two beams at ultimate current required gas density equivalent to $10^{15} \text{ H}_2/\text{m}^3$ Each W at 1.9 K ~ 500 W at RT (Ph.L. lecture)

Space charge potential of the beam

Line density (particles/m), total current I

with uniform charge and radius aElectric field follows from Gauss law

Integrating the field gives the potential in the centre of the beam

$$V_{b} = \frac{e\lambda}{2\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{r_{p}}{a}\right) \right]$$

ISR: I = 20 A,
$$r_p = 0.08$$
 m
a = 0.01 m
Electrons remain trapped
in the potential well



Fig. 5 Beam Potential versus Stacked Current as Determined from the Clearing Electrode Potential at Saturated Electron Current.

2

Record book: the largest ionisation gauge



!! LHC has a **bunched** beam therefore this system can not be used.

Further reading: ISR clearing current monitoring system, O. Grobner, P. Strubin, PAC1977_1376

Beam vacuum interactions II

Beam space charge neutralisation

Trapped charges neutralise the beam space charge and cause a tune shift and beam instabilities

The neutralisation factor is defined as

 N_b is the number of beam particles

 n_i is the neutralising charge, i.e. electrons trapped in a proton beam, or positive ions trapped in an electron or antiproton beam.

Production rate (s⁻¹ m⁻¹) depends on gas density $R_p = \beta c n_g \sigma_i$ Equilibrium neutralisation $\eta_{equ} = \frac{R_p}{R_c}$ prop. to pressure!

The clearing rate R_c depends on the specific mechanism

 $\eta \!=\! \frac{n_i}{N_b} \!\leq\! 1$

Space charge neutralisation tune shift

Space charge due to
neutralisation changes
$$\vec{F} = \vec{F}_e(\frac{1}{\gamma^2} - \eta)$$
 $\frac{E_x}{dx} = \frac{I_b}{2\pi\varepsilon_0\beta c}\frac{1}{a^2}$
the focussing
 $d_i = \frac{1}{2\pi R}\frac{N_b}{\pi a^2}\eta$ $\Delta Q = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int\beta(s)k(s)ds$ $k(s) = \frac{e}{\gamma m_0c^2}\frac{d\vec{E}}{dx}$

$$\Delta Q = -r_0 R \langle \beta_x \rangle \frac{I_b}{e\beta c} \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\eta}{\gamma} = r_0 \frac{R}{Q_x} \frac{N_b}{2\pi a^2} \frac{\eta}{\gamma}$$

For most accelerators a few 10^{-3} neutralisation are harmful For ISR-> $\Delta Q \sim 20 \frac{\eta}{\gamma}$

Ion stability in a bunched beam

Positive ions can be trapped in a bunched electron beam-> successive bunches give kicks to the ions

 $\alpha\,$ attractive kick given by a bunch, $\,n\,$ number of bunches, T $\,$ revolution time

$$\alpha = \frac{4cr_0}{b(a+b)} \frac{1}{A} \frac{N_b}{n} = \frac{2cr_0}{a^2} \frac{1}{A} \frac{N_b}{n}$$

The ion motion is stable if

Ions with masses larger than a critical mass A_c accumulate

LHC: $A_c \sim 10$ -> electrons are ejected LEP: 4 intense e- bunches $A_c > 200$ e⁻ rings all require a 'clearing gap' $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix}_{after} = M \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix}_{before}$ $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ Drift * Kick

$$-2 < Tr(M) < 2$$

$$-1 < (1 - \alpha \frac{T}{2n}) < 1 \implies \alpha_c = \frac{4n}{T}$$

$$A_{c} = \frac{cr_{0}}{2a^{2}} \frac{N_{b}}{n} \frac{T}{n} = \pi r_{0} \frac{RN_{b}}{a^{2}n^{2}}$$

Proton-electron instability

 $\frac{(n-Q_e)^2 - Q^2}{2 \sqrt{Q_e^2 - Q_e^2}}$

Electrons oscillate in the potential well of protons -> excite protons to oscillate: coupled oscillators

 $Q_e^2 \omega^2 = \frac{N_p r_e c^2}{\pi R a^2} \qquad Q_p^2 \omega^2 = \frac{r_p c^2 N_e}{\gamma \pi R a^2}$ frequencies: Stability limit for protons a few % neutralisation

Bounce

e-p oscillations observed in the ISR and in many accelerators: $\sim 80 \text{ MHz}$ -> beam size increases!

Spectral lines -> harmonics of the revolution frequency.

With bad vacuum electron oscillations cover a wide frequency range

$$\ddot{z}_p + (Q^2 + Q_p^2)\omega^2 z_p = Q_p^2\omega^2 \overline{z}_e$$
$$\ddot{z}_e + Q_e^2\omega^2 z_e = Q_e^2\omega^2 \overline{z}_p^2$$



Single instability ~20 ms

Coulomb heating of trapped electrons

Multiple collisions with the beam -> beam heating

Momentum transfer depends on the velocity and the charge, not on the mass of the beam particle

Physical limits on b: maximum allowed energy transfer and the condition that the electron can be considered stationary.

Energy transfer is inversely proportional to the mass of the particle -> for ions heating is very inefficient



$$\Delta p(b) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} eE(t)dt = \frac{2mc}{\beta} \frac{r_e}{b}$$

$$\Delta E(b) = \frac{\left(\Delta p\right)^2}{2m} = \frac{2mc^2}{\beta^2} \frac{r_e^2}{b^2}$$

$$\Delta E_{\max} \Rightarrow b_{\min} = \frac{r_e^2}{\beta^2 \gamma}$$

Electron heating by multiple scattering (ISR)



 $I_b = eN_b \frac{c}{2\pi R}$ $\rho = \frac{N_b}{2\pi R\pi a^2}$

 $\Delta E(b) = \frac{2mc^2}{\beta^2} \frac{r_e^2}{b^2}$

 $\frac{d^2 N_b(r)}{dt} = \frac{N_b}{2\pi R \pi a^2} \beta c \ 2\pi r dr$

Beam section

$$\frac{d^2 E(r)}{dt} = \frac{2r_e^2 mc^2}{\beta} \frac{cN_b}{R\pi a^2} \frac{dr}{r} \qquad b_{\min} = \frac{r_e}{\beta^2 \gamma}$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{4r_e^2 mc^2 I_b}{ea^2} \ln\left(\frac{r_{\text{max}}}{r_{\text{min}}}\right)$$

Numerical example ISR: I = 20 A, R = 150 m, a = 0.01 m $R_{max} = \text{vacuum chamber} = 0.04 \text{m}$ $\gamma = 28.$ beam potential ~ 2kV Heating rate ~ 680 eV/s Clearing rate ~0.3/s

Electron clearing by a bunched beam

Electrons have 1/2000 the mass of a proton -> are not trapped in a bunched proton and positron beam

ISR: e-cloud build-up in a section with bad vacuum and without electrostatic clearing electrodes.

Bunching the ISR beam clears electrons



Ion impact energy

Ions are repelled by the positive space charge and hit the wall with a significant energy -> several keV

Bunched beams: heavy ions integrate the passage of many bunches and see an average field.

Light ions gain a more energy, since they see the peak field. Final energy depends on the initial position in the beam

Ion energy in LHC



Density increase by ions

Ions trapped in the beam contribute to the gas density

The ion density for a given degree of neutralisation will add to the neutral gas density

The beam density and the residual gas densities add up to give

$$d_g = \frac{P_g}{kT}$$
$$d_i = \eta d_b$$
$$d_b = \frac{I_b}{r} - \frac{1}{r}$$

$$=\frac{a_{b}}{e}\frac{1}{\beta ca^{2}\pi}$$

$$d_{total} = \eta \frac{I_b}{e} \frac{1}{\beta c a^2 \pi} + \frac{P_g}{kT}$$

e⁻ ring: $I_b = 1A$ a = 0.002m $d_b \sim 1.6 \ 10^{15} \ m^{-3}$ $P_g = 10^{-7} \ Pa$ $d_g \sim 2.4 \ 10^{13} \ m^{-3}$

few% neutralisation will be a dominating contribution

Dust particle trapping

Trapping of 'macroscopic' (<10⁻⁶ m size) dust particles has caused problems in several machines with negative beams: Antiproton accumulator, e⁻ ring in HERA and Super-ACO

Dust charges positively due to loss of electrons ~ 10^6 charges

- Dust can remain trapped in the intense beam and cause lifetime degradation
- Remedies are fast beam shaking to eject the 'slow' dust from the potential well
- Origin of dust is not clear but evidence points to integrated sputter ions pumps in HERA e⁻ ring and to ion pumps mounted above the beam in LEP
- HERA dust problem solved by replacing IP's with linear NEG pumps.

Electron cloud



Key parameters

Synchrotron radiation $Y(E,\phi)$ photoelectric yield $\delta(E,\phi)$ second. electron Y Second. electron energy residual gas ionization Photon reflectivity Beam pipe shape Bunch intensity and spacing External fields (magnetic, electric, space charge)

Electron cloud multipacting

 $E(r) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \quad \lambda = \frac{b}{\beta c\tau}$ The electric field of a bunch with the line density λ and β ~1 $\Delta p = eE\tau = \frac{e^2 n_b}{2\pi\varepsilon_o cr}$ Momentum transfer by the bunch is independent on the

Velocity gained by an electron

bunch length au

$$\Delta v = \frac{\Delta p}{m} = 2cr_e \,\frac{n_b}{r}$$

 $\frac{2r_p}{t} = t_{bb}$

 $|n_b| =$

V



particles per bunch With $L_{bb} = ct_{bb}$

>> can occur also in a beam transfer line with a single pass



Beam pipe

ſр

Gaussian beam

Gaussian density distribution

Electrons move during the kick of a bunch Integrating the equation of motion gives r(t), the velocity and energy

Energy (eV) of a stationary (red) and moving electron versus the initial radial position for different bunch length







Effect of a dipole magnetic field

Cyclotron oscillations/bunch ~120 Cyclotron radius ~ 6 µm for 200 eV F force by the proton bunch

SR photons -> median plane Photoelectrons suppressed by the magnetic field.

Reflected photons reach top and bottom of the beam pipe -> Low photon reflectivity is desirable to reduce photoelectrons which can move freely along the field lines.



Electron cloud in a dipole beam screen



Beam screen in an LHC dipole





e cloud build up @ 25 ns bunch spacing Spatial distribution / baffles to intercept electrons





CERN AT Division, Vacuum Group Prepared by J.M. Jimenez "Electron Cloud Induced Pressure rises in the SPS" 13th ICFA Beam Dynamics Mini-Workshop, 8 December 2003

Pressure increase due to BIM

Gas load, Q_{cloud} is related to the power deposited by the electrons, P_{cloud} , to the molecular desorption yield, η_e and to the average energy of the electrons in the cloud, $\langle E_{cloud} \rangle$.



LHC cooling limit: $P_{cloud} \sim 1 \text{ W/m} \rightarrow 10^{-6} \text{ mbar l/s/m}$

Reduction of BIM with beam dose



Photon dose in EPA

Suppression of secondary electrons



Solenoid field in drift chambers



KEKB

Drift chambers with solenoids



Solenoids in quadrupoles are ineffective -> would require a much higher field

O. Gröbner

Beam pipe with antechamber

Synchrotron radiation is captured in the antechamber section.

C-magnet around antechamber traps photoelectrons. S.R.

Residual gas ionisation in the beam duct remains as an electron source

KEKB (Y. Suetsugu)

Beam Duct with Ante-chamber _3

- Electrons in the beam channel
 - Photoelectrons decreased by factors at high current ($I_{\rm b} \ge 1000$ mA).
 - The reduction was by orders at low current ($I_{b} \leq 100$ mA).
 - Multipactoring seems to become important at higher current.
- Combination with solenoid field, and an inner surface with a low SEY will be required at higher current.



7

Surface coating (A. Rossi)





The Secondary Electron Yield of TiZr and TiZrV NEG thin film coatings

- Normal PE (Primary Electrons) angle of incidence, 60 eV to 3 keV. PE ~ $5 \cdot 10^{-9}$ A, pulsed, giving a total dose < 10^{-8} C/mm² [1].
- TiZr and TiZrV thin film (1 μ m) deposited onto chemically polished copper substrates [2].
- An important δ_{max} decrease from above 2 to
 <1.4 already occurs after 2h at 200°C (TiZr) and 160°C (TiZrV), i.e. below the activation temperature [2].
- δ_{max} ~ 1.1 after 2h at 250°C (TiZr) and 200°C (TiZrV) [2].



A. Rossi, presented at E-Cloud 04

Surface coatings have been applied to many vacuum systems

Conclusions

Numerous processes exist by which the beam and the residual gas interact. The walls and surface characteristics of the vacuum system have a vital influence.

In addition to static and dynamic out-gassing properties, also generation of electrons: photo- and secondary electrons are important

Electron cloud effect with its consequences on beam dynamics has become a performance limiting effect in many accelerators

Future vacuum system designs must put emphasis on surface properties of vacuum chambers and incorporate remedies for ecloud effects to enable very high bunch currents and short bunch spacing

Literature

CAS Vacuum Technology, CERN99-05, 19 August 1999

- G. Guignard, Selection of formulae concerning proton storage rings, CERN 77-10, 6 June 1977
- W. Chao, M. Tigner, Handbook of Accelerator Physics and Engineering, World Scientific1999
- J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, John Wiley & Sons, 1975
- H. Wiedemann, Particle Accelerator Physics, Springer-Verlag, 1993
- P. J. Bryant, K. Johnsen, The Principles of Circular Accelerators and Storage Rings, Cambridge University Press
- Y. Baconnier, G. Brianti, The stability of ions in bunched beam machines, CERN/SPS/80-2, 1980
- E. Keil, B. Zotter, Landau damping of coupled electron-proton oscillations, CERN-ISR-TH/71-58, 1971
- D. R. C. Kelly, Dust in Accelerator Vacuum Systems, PAC 97
- C. Benvenuti, R. Calder, O. Gröbner, Vacuum for particle accelerators and storage rings, Vacuum 37, 8/9, 1987
- O. Gröbner, Beam induced multipacting, PAC 97