### **Particle Colliders and Concept of Luminosity**

(or: explaining the jargon<sup>\*)</sup>...)

#### http://cern.ch/Werner.Herr/CAS2018\_Romania/lectures/luminosity.pdf

\*) (beta\*, cross section, femtobarn, inverse femtobarn, crossing angle, luminosity measurement, filling schemes, pile-up, hour glass effect, crab crossing, dynamic beta, beam-beam effects ...)



# Particle colliders ?

Used in particle physics
 Look for rare interactions
 Many interactions (events)
 Want highest energies

Collider versus fixed target (once more ..):

Fixed Target:  $\vec{p_2} = \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \sqrt{s} = \sqrt{2m^2 + 2E_1m}$ Symmetric Collider:  $\vec{p_1} = -\vec{p_2} \rightarrow \sqrt{s} = E_1 + E_2$  **Circular Colliders (mostly synchrotrons):** 



double ring colliders (e.g. LHC, ISR) can accelerate any type of particle some may have to be produced first :  $\mu, \gamma, ...$ usually with crossing angles

single ring colliders (e.g. LEP, SppS)
collides particles - antiparticles
some sort of separation required



# Linear colliders (SLC, CLIC, ILC, ...)



Mainly used (proposed) for leptons

(reduced synchrotron radiation)

→ With or without crossing angle

Need very small beam sizes

(maybe treated if time permits ..)

#### Rare interactions and cross section

Cross section  $\sigma$  measures the <u>likelihood</u> a particular process occurs: nothing to do with its size ! (imagine  $e^+e^-$  or  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions)

Characteristic for a given process

**Measured in:**  $barn = b = 10^{-24} cm^2$  (picobarn =  $10^{-36} cm^2$ )

Some examples for the LHC energy:

 $\begin{aligned} \sigma(pp \to X) &\approx 0.1 \text{ b} \\ \sigma(pp \to X + H) &\approx 1 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ b} \\ \sigma(pp \to X + H \to \gamma\gamma) &\approx 50 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ b} &= 50 \text{ fb (femtobarn)} \end{aligned}$ 

VERY rare (one in  $2 \ 10^{12}$ ), need many collisions ...

(traditionally:  $cm^2$  instead of  $m^2$ )

# **Collider performance issues**

Luminosity:

Number of interactions

Number of interactions per second

More: they have to be useful, some issues

- Time structure of interactions (how often and how many at the same time: pile-up)
- Space structure of interactions (size of interaction region: vertex density)
- Quality of interactions (background, dead time etc.)

Luminosity - we want:

- ----

Relates cross section  $\sigma_p$  and number of interactions per second  $\frac{dR}{dt}$ 

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = L \times \sigma_p \qquad (\rightarrow \text{ units}: \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1})$$

**Typically:** 
$$\frac{dR}{dt}$$
 measured and  $\sigma_p$  wanted

<u>Must</u> be:

- → Relativistic invariant (see lecture on "Relativity")
- A property of the collider: Independent of the physical reaction, i.e.  $\sigma_p$
- Reliable procedures to compute and measure



Interaction rate from:

flux N/s target density  $\rho$ 

size

#### **Collider luminosity: now target (bunched beam) is moving**



- $L \propto N_1 N_2 \int \int \int \int \rho_1(x,y,s,-s_0) \rho_2(x,y,s,s_0) dxdydsds_0$
- $s_0$  is "time"-variable:  $s_0 = c \cdot t$  (at:  $s_0 = 0$  and t = 0 bunch <u>centres</u> collide)

Assume uncorrelated densities in all planes, then they factorize:

$$\rho(x, y, s, s_0) = \rho_x(x) \cdot \rho_y(y) \cdot \rho_s(s \pm s_0)$$

Moving beams: requires a <u>Kinematic Factor</u>

$$\mathbf{K} = \sqrt{((\vec{v_1} - \vec{v_2})^2 - (\vec{v_1} \times \vec{v_2})^2)/c^2}$$

For head-on collisions:  $\vec{v_1} = -\vec{v_2} \implies K_{bb} = 2$  (Space charge:  $K_{sc} = 1 - \beta$ )

With revolution frequency f and number of bunches  $n_b$  the luminosity L becomes:

$$L = \mathsf{K} \cdot N_1 N_2 \cdot f \cdot n_b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_x(x) \rho_y(y) \rho_s(s-s_0) \cdot \rho_x(x) \rho_y(y) \rho_s(s+s_0)$$

In principle: should know all distributions  $\rho$  and  $\rho$ , but Gaussian distributions are usually a good approximation, tails can be ignored

transverse : 
$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_x \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right)$$
  $\rho(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma_y \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right)$ 

#### Plugging it in:

For beams of equal size:  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \rho_2 = \rho^2$ :  $L = \frac{2 \cdot N_1 N_2 f n_b}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^6 \sigma_s^2 \sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2} \int e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sigma_x^2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{\sigma_y^2}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{\sigma_s^2}} e^{-\frac{s_0^2}{\sigma_s^2}} dx dy ds ds_0$ 

Integrating over s and  $s_0$  (means during of passage), using:

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-at^2} dt = \sqrt{\pi/a} \quad \text{(the happy Gaussian)}$  $L = \frac{2 \cdot N_1 N_2 f n_b}{8(\sqrt{\pi})^4 \sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2} \int e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sigma_x^2}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{\sigma_y^2}} dxdy$ 

Finally after integration over x and y:  $\implies$ 

$$L = \frac{N_1 \ N_2 \ f \ n_b}{4\pi \ \sigma_x \ \sigma_y}$$

The more general case:

$$\sigma_x \neq \sigma_x$$
 and  $\sigma_y \neq \sigma_y$ 

$$\implies L = \frac{N_1 \ N_2 \ f \ n_b}{2 \ \pi \ \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_x^2} \ \sqrt{\sigma_y^2 + \sigma_y^2}}$$

What if the distributions are not Gaussian ?

Using r.m.s. of an arbitrary (but realistic) distribution for  $\sigma$  to compute Luminosity the errors are typically 5%

→ This has consequences for luminosity measurements (makes it easier) ...

# **Examples: some circular colliders**

	Energy	$L_{max}$	rate	$\sigma_x/\sigma_y$	Particles
	$({ m GeV})$	$\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$\mathbf{s}^{-1}$	$\mu {f m}/\mu {f m}$	per bunch
SPS $(p\bar{p})$	$315 \mathrm{x} 315$	<b>6</b> 10 <sup>30</sup>	$4 \ 10^5$	60/30	pprox 10 10 <sup>10</sup>
Tevatron $(p\bar{p})$	1000 x 1000	100 10 <sup>30</sup>	<b>7</b> $10^{6}$	30/30	$pprox$ 30/8 10 $^{10}$
$HERA ~(e^+p)$	$30 \times 920$	$40  10^{30}$	40	250/50	$pprox$ 3/7 10 $^{10}$
LHC (pp)	7000x7000	<b>10000 10</b> <sup>30</sup>	$10^9$	17/17	$pprox$ 16 10 $^{10}$
$\rm LEP~(e^+e^-)$	$105 \mathrm{x} 105$	$100  10^{30}$	$\leq 1$	200/2	$pprox$ 50 10 $^{10}$

I will concentrate on elephants

# Complications

- Crossing angle
- Hour glass effect
- Collision offset (wanted or unwanted)
- Displaced waist (minimum beam size not where we collide)
- Non-Gaussian profiles
- Dispersion at collision point
- Strong coupling
- 🧧 etc.

### **Collisions at crossing angle**



Needed to avoid unwanted collisions

- → For colliders with many bunches: e.g. LHC, CESR, KEKB
- → For colliders with coasting beams: e.g. the late ISR

Some numbers:

- → LHC: 0.300 mrad
- → ISR: 300 mrad



# **Collisions angle geometry (horizontal plane)**



For the calculation of the integral:

The coordinate systems for the two beams are tilted (by half the crossing angle and in opposite directions)

Assume crossing in horizontal (x, s)- plane. Transform to new coordinates (now different coordinate systems for the two beams):

$$(x,s) \rightarrow (x_1, s_1, x_2, s_2)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 = x \cos \frac{\phi}{2} - s \sin \frac{\phi}{2}, & s_1 = s \cos \frac{\phi}{2} + x \sin \frac{\phi}{2}, \\ x_2 = x \cos \frac{\phi}{2} + s \sin \frac{\phi}{2}, & s_2 = s \cos \frac{\phi}{2} - x \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

# After longitudinal integration:

$$L = 2 \cdot \cos^2 rac{\phi}{2} \cdot N_1 \cdot N_2 f n_b \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} 
ho_x(x_1) 
ho_y(y_1) 
ho_x(x_2) 
ho_y(y_2) dxdy$$

#### The Integration with crossing angle:



A simplification here: since  $\sigma_x$ , x and  $\sin(\phi/2)$  are small:

1. drop all terms  $\sigma_x^k \sin^l(\phi/2)$  or  $x^k \sin^l(\phi/2)$  when  $k+l \ge 4$ 2. approximate  $\sin(\phi/2) \approx \tan(\phi/2) \approx \phi/2$ 

(not a good approximation for the ISR, but it had coasting beams ... )

# **Correction for crossing angle**

**Crossing Angle** 
$$\Rightarrow$$
  $L = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_b}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot S$ 

S is called the "geometric factor"

For small crossing angles and  $\sigma_s \gg \sigma_{x,y}$ 

$$\Rightarrow S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_x} \tan \frac{\phi}{2})^2}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_x} \frac{\phi}{2})^2}}$$

Example nominal LHC (at 7 TeV):  $\Phi = 285 \ \mu \text{rad}, \ \sigma_x \approx 17 \ \mu \text{m}, \ \sigma_s = 7.5 \ \text{cm}, \ \text{S} = 0.84$ 

For large crossing angle  $\Phi$  and small beam size  $\sigma_x$  the loss can be large, maybe too large

### A proposed fix: "crab" crossing scheme

 $\rightarrow$  crossing angle: loss of luminosity can be large for long bunches or small  $\beta^*$  (small beam sizes)

**"crab" crossing** can recover geometric factor



Done with transversely deflecting cavities (if you wondered what they can be used for)

 $\rightarrow$  Foreseen for the LHC luminosity upgrade (lower  $\beta^*$  planned)

### **Crossing angle plus : Offset**

Transformations with offsets  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  in crossing plane:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \mathbf{d}_1 + x \cos \frac{\phi}{2} - s \sin \frac{\phi}{2}, & s_1 = s \cos \frac{\phi}{2} + x \sin \frac{\phi}{2}, \\ x_2 = \mathbf{d}_2 + x \cos \frac{\phi}{2} + s \sin \frac{\phi}{2}, & s_2 = s \cos \frac{\phi}{2} - x \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \end{cases}$$

Gives after integration over y and  $s_0$ :

$$L = \frac{L_0}{2\pi\sigma_s\sigma_x} 2\cos^2\frac{\phi}{2} \int \int dxds \ e^{-\frac{x^2\cos^2(\frac{\phi}{2}) + s^2\sin^2(\phi/2)}{\sigma_x^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2\sin^2(\phi/2) + s^2\cos^2(\phi/2)}{\sigma_s^2}}$$
$$\times \ e^{-\frac{d_1^2 + d_2^2 + 2(d_1 + d_2)x\cos(\phi/2) - 2(d_2 - d_1)s\sin(\phi/2)}{2\sigma_x^2}}.$$

# After integration over x:

$$L = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_b}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma_s} \quad 2\cos\frac{\phi}{2} \quad \int W \cdot \frac{e^{-(As^2 + 2Bs)}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} ds$$

with:

$$A = \frac{\sin^2 \phi/2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\cos^2 \phi/2}{\sigma_s^2} \qquad B = \frac{(d_2 - d_1)\sin(\phi/2)}{2\sigma_x^2}$$

and 
$$W = e^{-\frac{(d_2 - d_1)^2}{4\sigma_x^2}}$$
 (important, see later !)

# $\implies$ After integration: Luminosity with correction factors

### Luminosity with correction factors

$$L = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_b}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot \mathbf{W} \cdot e^{\frac{B^2}{A}} \cdot \mathbf{S}$$

- $\longrightarrow$  W: correction for beam offset (one per plane)
- $\rightarrow$  S: correction for crossing angle
- $\rightarrow e^{\frac{B^2}{A}}$ : correction for crossing angle and offset

(if in the <u>same</u> plane)

What about crossing in both planes (e.g. LHCb in the LHC) ???

# **Next: Hour glass effect**



Remember the insertion:  $\beta$ -functions depends on longitudinal position s



Remember the insertion:  $\beta$ -functions depends on longitudinal position s



In our low  $\beta$  insertion we have:  $\beta(s) \approx \beta^* \left(1 + \left(\frac{s}{\beta^*}\right)^2\right)$ 

For small  $\beta^*$  the beam size grows very fast:  $\approx \frac{s^2}{\beta^*}$ 

Beam size  $\sigma$  depends on longitudinal position s

Contribution to luminosity depends on longitudinal position s !



Beam size has shape of an Hour Glass

# Hour glass effect - short bunches



Small variation of beam size along bunch

(Picture shows LHC values)

# Hour glass effect - long bunches



Significant variation for long bunches and small  $\beta^*$ 

$$\square$$
  $\beta$ -functions depends on position s

Need modification to the overlap integral

**Usually:** 
$$\beta(s) = \beta^* \left( 1 + \left( \frac{s}{\beta^*} \right)^2 \right)$$

• i.e. 
$$\sigma \implies \sigma(s) \neq \text{const.}$$
  
•  $\sigma(s) = \sigma^* \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{s}{\beta^*}\right)^2}$ 

Then the same procedure as before, but watch out for the longitudinal integration now.

Important when  $\beta^*$  comparable to the r.m.s. bunch length  $\sigma_s$  (or smaller !)

Here just for one plane, becomes more laborious for flat beams (see literature)

Using the expression:  $u_x = \beta^* / \sigma_s$ 

Without crossing angle and for symmetric, round Gaussian beams we get the relative luminosity reduction as:

$$\frac{L(\sigma_s)}{L(0)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{-u^2}}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{u}{u_x}\right)^2\right]} du = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot u_x \cdot e^{u_x^2} \cdot \operatorname{erfc}(u_x)$$

$$L(\sigma_s) = L(0) \cdot \mathbf{H}$$
 with:  $\mathbf{H} = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot u_x \cdot e^{u_x^2} \cdot \operatorname{erfc}(u_x)$ 

**Complicated situations may need numerical intengration** 



Now LHC works with  $\beta^*/\sigma_s$  larger than 4 (nominal above 7)

# Luminosity with (more) correction factors

$$L = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_b}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot \frac{W}{W} \cdot e^{\frac{B^2}{A}} \cdot S \cdot H$$

- $\rightarrow$  W: correction for beam offset
- $\rightarrow$  S: correction for crossing angle
- $\rightarrow e^{\frac{B^2}{A}}$ : correction for crossing angle and offset
- $\rightarrow$  *H*: correction for hour glass effect

# Calculations for the (nominal) LHC

$$N_1 = N_2 = 1.15 \times 10^{11}$$
 particles/bunch

$$\square$$
  $n_b = 2808$  bunches/beam

$$f = 11.2455 \text{ kHz}, \phi = 285 \mu \text{rad}$$

$$\square \quad \beta_x^* = \beta_y^* = 0.55 \text{ m}$$

$$\Box \quad \sigma_x^* = \sigma_y^* = 16.6 \ \mu \text{m}, \ \sigma_s = 7.7 \ \text{cm}$$

Simplest case 
$$L_0$$
 (Head on collision)

 $L = 1.200 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ 

$$L = 0.973 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$$

$$L = 0.969 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$$

But there is more:


For large amplitude particles: collision point CP longitudinally displaced

they do not meet the centre of the other beam at the smallest  $\beta^*$  (at IP)



For large amplitude particles: collision point CP longitudinally displaced

they do not meet the centre of the other beam at the smallest  $\beta^*$  (at IP)

Can introduce coupling (transverse and synchro betatron, bad for flat beams)



- A particle's collision point (centre of other beam) amplitude dependent
- Different (vertical)  $\beta$  functions at collision points (not to scale) Only small (zero) amplitude particle collide at minimum  $\beta^*$



- A particle's collision point (centre of other beam) amplitude dependent
- $\rightarrow$  Different  $\beta$  functions at collision points (hour glass like !)

# A fix: crossing angle plus "crab waist" scheme



Make vertical waist ( $\beta_y^{min}$ ) also amplitude (x) dependent

"Different particles" have diferent waists

All particles in both beams collide in minimum  $\beta_y$  region

# "crab waist" (or "crabbed waist") scheme

- **Make vertical waist (minimum of**  $\beta$ ) amplitude (x) dependent
- Without details: can be done with two sextupoles
- First tried at DAPHNE (Frascati) in 2008
- Geometrical gain small, it is <u>not</u> the issue
  - Less betatron and synchrotron coupling
  - Good remedy for flat (i.e. lepton) beams with large crossing angle

# Luminosity in Operation



(Courtesy X. Buffat)

- Luminosity evolution as function of time in LHC during 2 typical days
- Run time up to 15 hour
- Preparation time 3 4 hours

#### What really counts: Integrated luminosity

$$L_{\rm int} = \int_0^T L(t)dt$$

 $L_{\text{int}} \cdot \sigma_p = \text{total}$  number of events observed of process p

Unit is:  $cm^{-2}$ , i.e. inverse cross-section

Often expressed in inverse barn

**1** fb<sup>-1</sup> (inverse femtobarn) is  $10^{39} cm^{-2}$ 

for **1** fb<sup>-1</sup>: requires  $10^5$  s running at  $L = 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ 

#### Assume:

You are interested in σ(pp → X + H → γγ) ≈ 50 fb (femtobarn)
You have: accumulated 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> (inverse femtobarn)
You have: 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> · 50 fb = 1000
You have: 1000 events of interest in your data !!

But you have to find them !

# A popular story: Clean and Dirty machines ...

 $\sim$  Cross section into hadrons :  $\approx 100 \text{ mb} \approx const.$ рр

	$E_{beam}$ (GeV)	L	events/s	events/d	events/year
LHC	7000	<b>1.0 10</b> <sup>34</sup>	$1.0  10^9$	<b>1.4 10</b> <sup>14</sup>	<b>4.5 10</b> <sup>16</sup>
LHC	7000	<b>5.0 10</b> <sup>34</sup>	<b>5.0 10</b> <sup>9</sup>	<b>7.0 10</b> <sup>14</sup>	<b>22.5 10</b> <sup>16</sup>



 $e^+e^ \longrightarrow$  Cross section into hadrons :

 $\frac{22 \text{ nb GeV}^2}{E_{beam}^2}$ 

	$E_{beam}$ (GeV)	L	events/s	events/d	events/year
LEP	55	<b>1.0 10</b> <sup>34</sup>	0.07	6000	<b>2 10</b> <sup>6</sup>
LEP	100	<b>1.0 10</b> <sup>34</sup>	0.02	2000	<b>7 10</b> <sup>5</sup>
	1000	<b>1.0 10</b> <sup>34</sup>	0.0002	20	<b>7 10</b> <sup>3</sup>

#### Simultaneous interactions per crossing - pile-up

• Only an issue for hadron (pp) colliders (see previous slide)

LHC at <u>nominal</u> luminosity: Per bunch crossing more than 20 interactions pile-up (much more in the future)

Bunch crossing every 25 ns (can you think of another problem ?)

Very difficult to handle by the detectors:



Ideal: Operate all the time at maximum digestible luminosity

A possible fix - Luminosity Levelling:

- at the start adjust the luminosity to ideal level
- keep it constant during all data taking, options are:
- decreasing  $\beta^*$  during a run to maintain this level (was done at SPS collider)
- separate the beams and adjust to get the desired luminosity (remember the W): already done in LHCb



# The relevance of integrated luminosity:



A very popular picture (shown many times at CAS and elsewhere) Find the Higgs !

# The relevance of integrated luminosity:



A very popular picture (shown many times at CAS and elsewhere) Find the Higgs !

Although sometimes claimed to be obvious:

→ Nobody knows whether it is a Higgs and where !!!

Why (and this is one reason why we want high integrated luminosity )?



The "excess" increases proportionally to integrated luminosity - the background does not !

Higher <u>integrated</u> luminosity increases signal over background ratio ! (when particlists take about  $3\sigma$ ,  $4\sigma$ ,  $5\sigma$ ,... signals) One needs to get a signal proportional to interaction rate Beam diagnostics

Dynamic range can be very large:  $10^{27}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> to  $10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

Should be very fast, if possible for individual bunches

Should also be used for optimization

But for absolute luminosity needs calibration

# Luminosity calibration

Remember the basic definition:

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \boldsymbol{L} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$$

- For a well known and calculable process we know  $\sigma_p$
- The experiments measure the counting rate  $\frac{dR}{dt}$  for this process
- Get the absolute, calibrated luminosity

# But: hadron and lepton colliders are very different !

# Luminosity calibration - $e^+e^-$

Use exactly calculable (QED) process:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  elastic scattering (Bhabha scattering)



Measure coincidence at small angles ( $\sigma_{el} \propto \Theta^{-3}$ ) Low counting rates at high energy ( $\sigma_{el} \propto \frac{1}{E^2}$ )

**Background may be problematic** 

Luminosity calibration (hadrons, e.g. pp or  $p\bar{p}$ )

- Must measure beam current and beam sizes
- Beam size measurement:
  - > Wire scanner or synchrotron light monitors
  - > Measurement with beam  $\dots$  > remember luminosity with offset
  - Move the two beams against each other in transverse planes (remember the W) (van der Meer scan, ISR 1973 LHC 2012)



From ratio of luminosity  $L(\mathbf{d})/L_0 = W = e^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma^2}(d_2-d_1)^2}$ 

one obtains  $\sigma$ 

A problem for very high bunch intensities:

size of bunches can change during the scan (caused by beam-beam effects)

For LHC acceptable, for LEP it changed by a factor 2 !

#### Absolute value of L (pp or $p\bar{p}$ ) by Coulomb normalization

Look at elastic scattering  $pp \rightarrow pp$  which has 2 contributions

The Coulomb contribution  $f_C$  exactly calculable, however the nuclear part  $f_N$  is not, try to separate them:

$$\sum_{t \to 0} \frac{d\sigma_{el}}{dt} = \frac{1}{L} \frac{dN_{el}}{dt}|_{t=0} = \pi |f_C + f_N|^2$$

$$\simeq \pi |\frac{2\alpha_{em}}{-t} + \frac{\sigma_{tot}}{4\pi}(\rho+i)e^{-\frac{b}{2}t}|^2 \simeq \underbrace{\frac{4\pi\alpha_{em}^2}{t^2}|_{|t|\to 0}}_{calculable}$$

Coulomb contribution strongly dominates at small scattering angles Measure  $\frac{d\sigma_{el}}{dt}$  at very small angles and you get: *L* 

(t measures the momentum transfer (related to the scattering angle) for elastic scattering)



Measure dN/dt at small t : (t < 0.001 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>) and extrapolate to t = 0.0 Needs special optics to go to small t : very large  $\beta^*$ 

To measure at small t (e.g. close to beam): beam divergence  $\sigma'$  must be very small, i.e. particle trajectories almost parallel

$$ightarrow$$
 since  $\sigma'~=~\sqrt{\epsilon/eta^*}$  one should have a very large  $~eta^*$  ( $\geq 2000$  m)

Rule of thumb:  $\sigma'$  more than 5 times smaller than typical scattering angle Can hope for a precision of 1 - 2 %

#### First glance at beam-beam effects - almost verbatim

**Remember:** 
$$L = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_B}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot W \cdot S \cdot H = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_B}{4\pi \cdot \sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot W \cdot S \cdot H$$

High luminosity is not good for beam-beam effects ... Beam-beam effects are not good for high luminosity ...

It will cause (amongst many others):

- **WERY** large tune spread ( $\approx$  4 times for uncorrected chromaticity)!
- Not only tune spread but also <u>excites</u> nonlinear betatron and synchrobetatron resonances
- Emittance growth and bad life time
- Sudden, total beam loss, Multi bunch coherent modes
- Orbit, Tune and Chromaticity changes, also different from bunch to bunch (further increase of total tune/orbit/chromaticity spread)

# LHC beam-beam interactions

Two types: head on and long range interactions
 Beams separated, but still same vacuum chamber
 Particles experience distant (weak) forces
 Separation typically 6 - 12 σ (weak, but many: 120)

Head on first: Force for round Gaussian beams

Simplification 1:  $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$ ,  $Z_1 = -Z_2 = 1$ Simplification 2: very relativistic  $\rightarrow \beta \approx 1$ 

Force has only radial component, i.e. for round beams depends only on distance **r** from bunch centre where:  $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ 

$$F_r(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{Ne^2(1 + \beta^2)}{2\pi\epsilon_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}} \left[1 - \exp(-\frac{\mathbf{r}^2}{2\sigma^2})\right]$$

# Form of the kick (as function of amplitude)



- For small amplitudes: linear force (like quadrupole), the same in both planes ! Slope is  $\frac{N}{\epsilon n}$  independent of beta\* and energy
  - Focusing (or defocusing) in both planes !! But:

For large amplitudes: very non-linear force

# **Non-linear force: Amplitude detuning**



 $\rightarrow \Delta Q$  depends on amplitude

- Different particles have different tunes
- Largest effect for small amplitudes

with 
$$\xi = \frac{N}{\epsilon_n}$$
 we get:  $\Delta Q = \xi \frac{4}{\alpha^2} \left[ 1 - I_0(\frac{\alpha^2}{4}) \cdot e^{\frac{-\alpha^2}{4}} \right]$ 

# **Non-Linear tune shift - two dimensions**





```
No single tune in the beam:
Tunes are "spread out"
```

Point becomes a footprint

Tune (of beam centre) shifted to "injection working point"

The spread is  $\approx$  0.004 (one IP) ! Are we worried ??



# **Quantitatively: Long range kick**



**Modified** "kick" with horizontal separation <u>d</u>:

$$\Delta x'(x+d, y, r) = -\frac{2Nr_0}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{(x+d)}{r^2} \left[ 1 - \exp(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}) \right]$$
(with:  $r^2 = (x+d)^2 + y^2$ )

<u>Red flag:</u> to use this expression, e.g. in a simulation, there is a small complication, was used incorrectly in the past (before 1990 and in Chao Handbook), if interested ask offline

- Tune shift large for largest amplitudes (where non-linearities are strong)
- Size proportional to  $\frac{1}{d^2}$
- We should expect problems at small separation
- Footprint is very asymmetric



One observes a "folding" (can easily be understood from the picture)

For small separation, the size of the footprint can be large  $\rightarrow$  particle losses

# Small crossing angle $\iff$ small separation $\iff$ big problem ?



For too small separation: particles may be lost and/or bad liftime Long range interactions are the bad guys !



- Here one head on beam-beam interaction, many resonances (6th, 8th, 10th, 13th, 26th, ..) seen ...(note: no losses !!)
- Can we reproduce (analytically) this features ??
- Are Hamiltonians good for something ?
- Try a comparison with tracking:

### Invariant from tracking: Poincaré section of one IP



- Phase space coordinates (action-angle) plotted each turn
- $\rightarrow$  Shown for particle amplitudes of 5 $\sigma_x$  and  $10\sigma_x$ 
  - Without beam-beam: a straight line
- Try to use Hamiltonian treatment:

# Invariant versus tracking: one IP



One can reproduce and analyse the motion ...

Used for optimization

Buzzword: effective Hamiltonians (maybe 2019) ...

# Summary I

Colliders are used exclusively for particle physics experiments

Colliders are the only tools to get highest centre of mass energies

> Type of collider is decided by the type of particles and its purpose

Design and performance must take into account the needs of the experiments



Most likely beam dynamics problem: beam-beam effects ....
## **Summary Ib**

Colliders are used exclusively for particle physics experiments

- Colliders are the only tools to get highest centre of mass energies
- Type of collider is decided by the type of particles and its purpose
- Design and performance must take into account the needs of the experiments
- Most likely beam dynamics problem: beam-beam effects ....
- But if you have to fight elephants: Hamiltonians are you gun
- Maybe something on that: Danmark 2019

## **Bibliography**



#### Luminosity lectures and basics:

W. Herr and B. Muratori, *Concept of Luminosity*, CERN Accelerator School, Zeuthen 2003, in: CERN 2006-002 (2006).

A. Chao and M. Tigner, *Handbook of Accelerator Physics and Engineering*, World Scientific, (1998).

T. Pieloni, *Beam-beam effects*, CAS, Advanced Accelerator Physics, 2017, Egham, UK.

W. Herr, *Nonlinear Dynamics: Methods and Tools*, CAS, Advanced Accelerator Physics, 2017, Egham, UK.

## **Linear colliders**

- **Mainly (only)**  $e^+e^-$  colliders
- Past collider: SLC (SLAC)
- Under consideration: CLIC, ILC
- **Special issues:** 
  - > Interaction cross section low for  $e^+e^-$  collisions requires very high luminosity
  - Particles collide only once (dynamics) !
- Must be taken into account

## Luminosity in linear colliders

Single pass: replace frequency f by repetition rate  $f_{rep}$ .

$$L = \frac{N^2 f n_b}{4\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} \quad \longrightarrow \quad L = \frac{N^2 f_{rep} n_b}{4\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y}$$

Effective beam sizes  $\overline{\sigma}_x, \overline{\sigma}_y$ 

Effective beam sizes  $\overline{\sigma}_x, \overline{\sigma}_y$ 

$$L = \frac{N^2 f_{rep} n_b}{4\pi \overline{\sigma}_x \overline{\sigma}_y}$$

Enhancement factor  $H_D$  due to "pinch effect"

$$L = \frac{H_D \cdot N^2 f_{rep} n_b}{4\pi \overline{\sigma}_x \overline{\sigma}_y}$$

# **Pinch effect - disruption**





# **Pinch effect - disruption**





It is usually described by the "Disruption Parameter":

$$D_{x,y} = \frac{2r_e N \sigma_z}{\gamma \sigma_{x,y} (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)}$$

<u>Meaning</u>: ratio of the r.m.s. bunch length to the focal length of the interaction

For weak disruption  $D \ll 1$  and round beams:

$$H_D = 1 + \frac{2}{3\sqrt{\pi}}D + O(D^2)$$

For strong disruption and flat beams: computer simulation necessary, (maybe can get some scaling)

Some numbers: electric field  $\vec{E} \ge 10^{12} \frac{V}{m} \longrightarrow \vec{B} \ge 3 \ kT$ 

## Beamstrahlung

- Disruption at interaction point is basically a strong "bending"
- Results in strong synchrotron radiation: beamstrahlung
- This causes (unwanted):
  - Spread of centre-of-mass energy
  - Pair creation and detector background
- Again: luminosity is not the only important parameter

## Not treated :

- **Coasting beams (e.g. ISR)**
- Asymmetric colliders (e.g. PEP, HERA, LHeC)
- → All concepts can be formally extended ...

## Luminosity in a nutshell

$$L = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_b}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot W \cdot e^{\frac{B^2}{A}} \cdot S \cdot H$$

Are there limits to what we can do ?

#### Yes, there are **beam-beam effects**

In LHC:  $\approx 10^{11}$  collisions with the other beam per fill !!

$$L = \frac{N_1 N_2 f n_b}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} \cdot W \cdot e^{\frac{B^2}{A}} \cdot S \cdot H$$

## **Summary**

Colliders are used exclusively for particle physics experiments

- Colliders are the only tools to get highest centre of mass energies
- > Type of collider is decided by the type of particles and its purpose
- Design and performance must take into account the needs of the experiments
  - Not the highest, but highest <u>useful</u> Luminosity



Most likely a mean saboteur: beam-beam effects

## **Bibliography**



#### Luminosity lectures and basics:

W. Herr and B. Muratori, *Concept of Luminosity*, CERN Accelerator School, Zeuthen 2003, in: CERN 2006-002 (2006).

A. Chao and M. Tigner, *Handbook of Accelerator Physics and Engineering*, World Scientific, (1998).

# - BACKUP SLIDES -

## If the beams are not Gaussian ??

Assume flat distributions (normalized to 1)  

$$\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2a} = \rho,$$
 for  $[-a \le z \le a]$ ,  $z = x, y$ 

Calculate r.m.s. in x and y:

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^2 \cdot \rho(x, y) dx dy \qquad \langle y^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y^2 \cdot \rho(x, y) dx dy$$

and 
$$L_p = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^2(x,y) \, dx dy$$

**Compute:**  $L_p \cdot \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle \cdot \langle y^2 \rangle}$ 

Repeat for various distributions and compare

## Rare interactions and high energy



 $\blacktriangleright$  Often seen: cross section  $\sigma$  for Higgs particle

Typical channels

Rare interactions and high energy





→ Typical channels

#### **Maximising Integrated Luminosity**

**Assume exponential decay of luminosity**  $L(t) = L_0 \cdot e^{t/\tau}$ 

Average (integrated) luminosity 
$$< L >$$
  
 $< L > = \frac{\int_0^{t_r} dt L(t)}{t_r + t_p} = L_0 \cdot \tau \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-t_r/\tau}}{t_r + t_p}$ 

**[** (Theoretical) maximum for:  $t_r \approx \tau \cdot \ln(1 + \sqrt{2t_p/\tau} + t_p/\tau)$ 

- $oxedsymbol{B}$  Example LHC:  $t_ppprox$  10h, aupprox 15h,  $\Rightarrow$   $t_rpprox$  15h
- Exercise: Would you improve au (long  $t_r$ ) or  $t_p$  ?