CERN Accelerator School: Accelerator Physics Advanced Level Trondheim, Norway 24 August 2013

on Beam Collimation for the FAIR Synchrotron SIS100

Ivan Prokhorov* TEMF, TU Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

Ivan Strasik and Oliver Boine-Frankenheim GSI, Darmstadt, Germany



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



Abstract

Simulation of collimation efficiency

The collimation system for the SIS 100 synchrotron has to operate with protons as well as with light and heavy fully-stripped ions (²H¹⁺ -¹⁹⁷Au⁷⁹⁺). The concept and basic design features of the betatron halo collimation in SIS 100 were developed previously for proton operation. The main task of the presented work is to compare the efficiency of proton and ion beam collimation. For this purpose multi pass tracking of halo particles was performed using MAD-X including the simulation of scattering process and energy loss in the collimators using the ATIMA code. The collimation efficiency as a function of the ion species together with the detailed beam loss distribution along the ring circumference are presented.

Motivation



Halo collimation system localizes beam losses and makes machine hands-on maintainable.

(i) Angular distribution of the ions scattered in the primary collimator is approximated as Gaussian with the r.m.s. width calculated using the Moliere theory of multiple Coulomb scattering by ATIMA code [3].

$$\theta_{r.m.s} = \frac{13.6 MeV}{\beta pc} Z \sqrt{\frac{x}{\chi_0}} \left[1 + 0.38 \ln \left(\frac{x}{\chi_0}\right) \right]$$

(ii) The stopping power in a material is calculated using Bethe-Bloch formula. For calculations of the stopping power of high relativistic heavy fully-stripped ions ATIMA code use Lindhard and Soerensen theory [4], which imply several corrections to standard formula L_{LS} :



(iii) Tracking of halo particles in MAD-X [5] with given accelerator



 \succ Simulations has been performed for beams at the injection energy. >Over 99% of light ion (up to ²⁰Ne) beam losses are located in the collimators.

>Heavy ions with bigger momentum losses in a primary collimator are lost during one turn in an accelerator.

➢Beam losses outside the collimation system in SIS 100 are mainly located in cryocollimators. ➤The amount of particles taking part in an inelastic nuclear scattering is at the level of 2-4% for light ions and at the level of 4-6% for heavy ions.





Principles of collimation

The two-stage betatron collimation system [1] consists of the primary collimator (thin foil) which scatters halo particles and the secondary collimators (bulky blocks) which absorb the scattered particles.



Impact parameter

optics and physical aperture.

>The impact parameter δ is the typical transverse offset from the edge of a [d] primary collimator jaw for the particles impacting the collimator. $^{1}H^{1+} \bullet ~^{20}Ne^{10+}$ $^{2}H^{1+} \bullet ~^{40}Ar^{18+}$ >Impact parameter is ${}^{4}He^{2+}$ ${}^{84}Kr^{36+}$ proportional to the rate of $^{12}C^{6+} \circ ^{132}Xe^{54+}$ the emittance growth. • ${}^{14}N^{7+}$ • ${}^{181}Ta^{73+}$ The slope of the beam • ${}^{16}O^{8+}$ • ${}^{197}Au^{79+}$ envelope at the location 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} of the primary collimator Impact parameter δ [μm] gives different effective thickness of the foil for 10^{-1} different impact parameter. 10^{-} d/dargetx = 1 mm 10^{-} + • $^{14}N^{7+}$ • $^{84}Kr^{36+}$ 10^{-} $^{2}H^{1+} \bullet {}^{16}O^{8+} \bullet {}^{132}Xe^{54+}$ $\delta = 3\mu m$ ${}^{4}He^{2+}$ ${}^{20}Ne^{10+}$ ${}^{181}Ta^{73+}$ 10^{-6} $^{-12}C^{6+} \bullet ~^{40}Ar^{18+} \bullet ~^{197}Au^{79+}$ $\delta = 0.1 \mu m$ 10^{-1} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{0} Impact parameter δ [μm] A series of simulations for initial impact parameters in the range of $10^{-3} - 3 \mu m$ has been performed: >It was found out that after one turn less than 0.1% of ions, which was cie scattered with the small impact parameter, hit the primary collimator jaw with the same or smaller impact parameter for all ion species. $--- ^{84}Kr^{36+}$ $- - ^{132} X e^{54+}$ \mathbf{O} ≻The collimation efficiency of light ions (up 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} Impact parameter [μm] to ²⁰Ne) has almost no 8 dependence on impact $12C^{18+}$ parameter. \mathbf{O} $40 A r^{18+}$ ➤Heavy ions collimation $--- ^{84}Kr^{36+}$ efficiency grows with the $- - ^{132} X e^{54+}$ increasing impact parameter due to wider angular straggling. pa of >After certain value of initial impact parameter collimation efficiency decreases due to 10^{-1} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} growing energy losses. Impact parameter $[\mu m]$

Effect of lattice errors

To estimate the influence of the lattice errors on the collimation performance the closed orbit distortion was included in the particle tracking simulations. Closed orbit distortion with r.m.s. value of 6.8 is a result of transverse positional error of magnets edges.

Simulation has been performed for 100 different seeds of errors. ➢Closed orbit distortion affects the collimation efficiency of light ions: standard deviation is 5% >Heavy ions beams collimation is weakly dependent on closed orbit distortion.





Collimators in SIS 100

Taking into account technical aspects of SIS 100 synchrotron [2], the following design of the collimation system has been developed: Collimators have a rectangular aperture with movable jaws The primary collimator is a 1 mm thick tungsten foil The secondary collimators are 40 cm long blocks



The concept of two-stage collimation is considered for protons and fully-stripped ions.

>The primary collimator were transversely placed at 4.5 σ_{rms} of the beam size.

Retraction distance (normalized difference of normalized collimators) acceptance) has been chosen 0.1 based of previous investigations.



Conclusion

It has been shown that conventional method of betatron halo collimation can be applied for fully-stripped light and heavy ions in the SIS 100 synchrotron. The main reason of higher level of ions leakage with the growing mass number is the increasing momentum losses. After certain level of momentum losses a halo particle in an accelerator could not make one turn to be scattered by the primary collimator again.

References

1. J.B. Jeanneret, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 1 (1998) 081001 2. P. Spiller et al., "Status of the SIS 100 heavy ion synchrotron project at FAIR", IPAC'13, Shanghai, May 2013, THPWO011. 3. <u>http://web-docs.gsi.de/~weick/atima/</u> 4. A.H. Soerensen J. Lindenhard. Relativistic theory of stopping for heavy ions. Phys. Rev., A53:2443, 1996.

5. http://mad.web.cern.ch/

*prokhorov@temf.tu-darmstadt.de This work is supported by BMBF contract 05P12RDRBM

