

# **High gradient X-band accelerating structures** testing under beam loading at the CTF3



INC

TRA

REF

E.Senes<sup>1,5</sup>, F. Tecker<sup>1</sup>, T.Argyropoulos<sup>1,2</sup>, D.Gamba<sup>1,4</sup> J.Giner-Navarro<sup>1,2</sup>, J.L.Navarro Quirante<sup>1,3</sup> <sup>1</sup> CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, <sup>2</sup> IFIC (CSIC-UV), Valencia, Spain , <sup>3</sup> ADAM, Geneva, Switzerland, <sup>4</sup> JAI, Oxford, United Kingdom,<sup>5</sup> University of Torino, Torino, Italy

The effect of the beam loading on the

breakdown rate needs to be well understood.

(green) at 6.5 MW input power.

measured []]

10

Longitudinal accelerating gradient profile for

the CIIC structure under test unloaded (blue)

at 43.3 MW input power, with 1.6 A of beam loaded (red) at 43.3 MW and anti-loaded

For the first time, the breakdown

rate with beam-loading was

The beam does not increase the BDR for the same input power.

but has not been previously measured

### Introduction

- CLIC is based on travelling wave (TW) accelerating cavities working at an average gradient of 100 MV/m
- CLIC luminosity limited by RF breakdowns (BD) => Breakdown rate (BDR) < 3 10<sup>-7</sup> BD/(pulse m)
- BD rate achievable but all tests performed without beam -
- RF beam loading significantly changes field profile in a travelling wave accelerating structure
- Whole-structure BDR varies with the field E as ~E<sup>30</sup>
- BDR along structure varies ~linearly with surface field
- => beam-loading effect on BDR hard to predict

## Experiment Setup



1st dedicated experiment measured the breakdown rate with beam-loading BD rate dominated by maximum peak gradient rather than average gradient

BD distribution inside the structure supports this conclusion

If confirmed => CLIC structure tapering can be optimised for loaded gradient

# **Experimental Results**

50

40

¥ 30





90 100 60 70 80 Gradient [MV/m] Breakdown rate for the loaded (red). unloaded (blue), and anti-loaded (green) cases. The plot shows both average gradient (filled dots) and peak gradient (empty dots) for each case connected by a line.

=> Comparable BDR for similar peak gradient, not average gradient



**BD** example

with beam

The transmitted power rises again after the BD due to the beam-loading.



Breakdown cell distribution along the TD26CC structure for the unloaded (blue), loaded (red) and anti-loaded (green) case.

BDs predominantly in the high-field region

1.5 time (us

TRA REF



peak gradient

Idea: the transmitted power rise after the BD is induced by the beam that keeps advancing in the structure, so depends on the BD position in the structure ?

### **Breakdown migration**

Idea: comparing the reflected power pattern with the incident and the position of the breakdown in the pulse is it possible to understand if the breakdown is moving

#### Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank M. Kastriotou, A.G. Lee Bruton, A. Olyunin, E. Paju, H. Rambeau, P. Skowronski, A. Solodko, H. Vestergard and CERN PS and CTF operators for their help

١,