# Global Review

- > What did we « learn » ?
- Can we start something with this?
- Some (subjective) personal comments/hints ...

# **Project Organisation**

When starting with a new project, you have to make sure that <u>some</u> <u>expertise</u> is available on the following topics:

> Lattice Design (TBD)	» Magnets
> RF (LBD)	> Vacuum
Collective Effects (Instabilities)	Power Converters
Beam Instrumentation	Controls, Radiation Safety,

# What machine for what Project?

Whatever the project, it is (more than) likely that the choice of your machine will be influenced by:

- > The specific purpose of the machine (SLS, HEP,...).
- > The availability of some already existing facilities (upgrade).
- > The required final energy.

# The final energy

Example: a machine for particle physics

> <u>Remember</u>: The center of mass energy  $E_{cm}$  is given by (with  $\gamma = E/E0$ ):  $E_{cm} \propto m \cdot (2\gamma+2)^{1/2}$  for fixed target  $E_{cm} \propto 2m\gamma = 2E$  for a collider

➢ High energy not required → linacs or cyclotrons (fixed target)

➢ High energy required → linacs or synchrotrons (fixed target or collider)

## Linacs and Cyclotrons



#### Single pass, high intensities, high energy possible

RF



### Synchrotrons ...

#### Accelerator chain of CERN (operating or approved projects)



D. Brandt

#### Accelerators in the world (2002)

Basic and Applied Research		Medicine	
High-energy phys.	120	Radiotherapy	7500
S.R. sources	50	Isotope Product.	200
Non-nuclear Res.	1000	Hadron Therapy	20
Industry			
Ion Implanters	7000		
Industrial e- Accel.	1500	Total: 17390	

Courtesy: W. Mondelaers JUAS 2004

### Fundamental relation:

At this very early stage, you will have to use the fundamental relation:

$$p = m_0.c.(\beta\gamma)$$

Relation also holds for relativistic case provided the classical momentum mv is replaced by the relativistic momentum p

### Why fundamental ?

Constraints:

**E** and  $\rho$  given  $\Rightarrow$  Magnets defined (**B**)

Constraints: E and B given ➡ Size of the machine (ρ)

Constraints: B and ρ given ⇒ Energy defined (E)

#### Interesting homework:

Compute machine parameters for LHC physics with fixed target:

> Compute beam energy (momentum) required for equivalent  $E_{cm}$ 

Keeping the existing LHC tunnel (R fixed)  $\rightarrow$  B = ? Keeping the existing LHC magnets (B fixed)  $\rightarrow$  R = ?

# What type of particles?

- The choice of the type of particles is intimately linked to the dedicated application. For high energy circular machines, synchrotron radiation and the available magnet strength will be the important parameters. Possible candidates:
  - Electrons and/or positrons (synchrotron radiation in circular machines)
  - Protons (magnet strength)
  - Antiprotons, neutrinos (available intensities)
  - Jons (sources)
  - Muons (future machines)



Remember: For warm magnets (not SC):  $B \le 2 T$ 

# End of step 1:

So, at this stage, with your given boundary conditions and a single (simple) relation, you already know:

The type of your machine

> The energy of your machine

> The type of particles

> The size of your machine

> The type of your magnets (SC or conventional)

 $\succ$  ... and the radiation losses

### NB: Size of the real machine

- Required kinetic energy of the beam is known: Ekin
- Available field in the dipoles is given: B [T]
- ► Evaluate additional space required for injection, extraction, acceleration, collisions... → (L<sub>bend</sub>/L<sub>tot</sub>) = A (E<sub>kin</sub> = 450 Gev, B = 1.9 T, A = 2/3)

> Compute momentum p:  $p^2c^2 = (E_{tot}^2 - E_0^2) : p=450.93 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $\succ$  Compute ρ: B<sub>ρ</sub> = 3.3356 p : ρ = 791.64 m

> Compute R:  $\rho/A \rightarrow$  Circumference of the machine C=2 $\pi$ R

R = 1187.5 m C = 7461.2 m

D. Brandt

# Step 2: Choice of the lattice

If you are working on a conventional machine, then you are very likely to use a standard FODO lattice. For the FODO cells, the lectures on « Transverse Dynamics » directly apply.

> If your synchrotron has insertions (injection, extraction, RF, low- $\beta$ , experiments), then you will need an « Optics program » to adapt (match) these specific regions to the FODO/periodic cells.

➢ If you are working on a Synchrotron Light Source (very small emittance, insertion devices, FELs) you will opt for a special lattice (CAS Intermediate course). For such a case, the use of a dedicated « Optics program» is probably unavoidable.

# The phase advance per cell $\mu$



E. Wilson's lecture, CAS Sesimbra 2002

> Aperture expensive  $\rightarrow \mu$ between 60 and 90 degrees.

Closed orbit correction

Chromaticity correction with a reasonable number of sextupole families

Some phase advances are advantageous for the lattice design (Intermediate level)

 $60^{\circ} < \mu > 90^{\circ}$ 

D. Brandt

### The Twiss Parameters

#### Matrix for the FODO cell (mid-F to mid-F):



 $cos \mu = 1 - L^2/2f^2$ sin (µ/2) = L/2f  $\beta_{max} = 2L (1+sin (µ/2))/sin \mu$  $\alpha = 0$ 

<u>Aperture optimisation</u>: Start reversed process by defining  $\beta_{max}$ 

### More general case ...

You will need an « Optics program » to compute the lattice of your machine (e.g. MAD-X, more detailed tuition on « Optics design » and how to use the « Optics code » belongs to the Intermediate level CAS course with a dedicated afternoon course (10-12 hours)).



- Match your insertions.
- Correct the chromaticity
- Compensate coupling

Predict the performance



- Compute Tunes vs. Momentum
- Perform tracking with errors
- Evaluate the dynamic aperture

# A few useful checks...

> Although the « Optics code » will provide you all the required parameters, it is always recommended to perform a few very basic checks (garbage IN, garbage OUT ↔ the program does what YOU asked it to do).

Useful checks:
$$<\beta> \approx R/Q$$
 $\alpha \approx 1/Q^2$  $= \alpha R \approx R/Q^2$  $\gamma_{tr} \approx Q$ 



#### **Effect from Dipoles**

> If  $\Delta p/p > 0$ , particles are less bent in the dipoles  $\rightarrow$  should spiral out !

> If  $\Delta p/p < 0$ , particles are more bent in the dipoles  $\rightarrow$  should spiral in !

#### <u>No!</u>

There is an equilibrium with the restoring force of the quadrupoles

D. Brandt



# Dispersion



 $(E_{y}\beta_{y})^{1/2} \qquad y \qquad (E_{x}\beta_{x})^{1/2} \qquad (E_{x}\beta_{x})^{1/2} \qquad y \qquad (E_{x}\beta_{x})^{1/2} \qquad (E_{x}\beta_{x}$ 

#### VH: $A_v(s) = (E_v \beta_v(s))^{1/2}$ and HW: $A_x(s) = (E_x \beta_x(s))^{1/2} + D(s) \cdot \Delta p/p$



#### Aperture is a key parameter which has to be defined at an early stage! It deserves a lot of attention!





- > If  $\Delta p/p > 0$ , particles are less focused in the quadrupoles  $\rightarrow$  lower Q!
- > If  $\Delta p/p < 0$ , particles are more focused in the quadrupoles  $\rightarrow$  higher Q!

Particles with different momenta would have a different betatron tune  $Q=f(\Delta p/p)!$ 



# The chromaticity Q'

The tune dependence on momentum is of fundamental importance for the stability of the machine. It is described by the chromaticity of the machine Q':

#### $\mathbf{Q'} = \Delta \mathbf{Q} / (\Delta \mathbf{p} / \mathbf{p})$

> For relativistic particles, the chromaticity has to be positive (stability)!

> The natural chromaticity of the machine is negative!

> The chromaticity has to be corrected and kept under control.

#### > This is achieved by means of sextupoles

### Natural chromaticity...

# Take a particle and slightly increase its momentum: → ∆p/p > 0 → ∆Q < 0 → Q' < 0</li>

# Take a particle and slightly decrease its momentum: → △p/p < 0 → △Q > 0 → Q' < 0</li>

Q' is always negative !

# The sextupoles (SF and SD)



#### > $\Delta \mathbf{X'} \propto \mathbf{X}^2$

- A SF sextupole basically « adds » focusing for the particles with ∆p/p > 0, and « reduces » it for ∆p/p < 0.</li>
- The chromaticity is corrected by adding a sextupole after each quadrupole of the FODO lattice.

# **Chromaticity correction**



The undesired effect of sextupoles on particles with the **nominal energy** can be avoided by grouping the sextupoles into « families ». Nr. of families:  $N = (k * 180 \circ)/\mu = Integer$ e.g. 180  $\circ$ / 90  $\circ$  = 2

#### Tune vs. momentum



#### Correction with 2 sextupole families: Excellent!

Tunes remain almost constant over the whole range of momentum!





# Step 3: Required RF voltage

> 
$$\Delta E_{turn} = e \rho (\Delta B / \Delta t) C$$
  
→  $V_{min} = (\Delta E_{turn}) / e sin(\phi_s)$   
>  $\Delta E_{turn} = e V sin(\phi_s)$ 

Easier:

Request  $(A_B / A_b) = (Bucket Area / Bunch Area) = 2 \rightarrow V_{max}$ 

Easy capture at injection:

 $(\Delta E/E)_{beam} = (\Delta E/E)_{Bucket}$ 

# The RF cavities

- > If Accelerator chain  $\rightarrow$  try to keep the same frequency.
- > Look what is available on the market.
- ➢ If cavities too big → f<sub>RF</sub> ↑ → h ↑
- $\succ$  Injection/extraction may impose constraints on the bunch spacing.

$\beta = pc / E$
<b>f</b> <sub>0</sub> = β <b>c</b> / <b>C</b>
$f_{RF} = h \cdot f_0$
Check $\gamma_{tr}$ !

∆f / f <sub>min</sub>	f <sub>RF</sub> [MHz]	V [kV/m]	
> 2	1 — 10	≤ 10	Ferrite, good longit. accept.
< 0.01	10 — 100	10 — 50	
<< 0.01	> 100	>> 50	Resonators

D. Brandt

# 2 elements of the injector chain

Our « test » machine

	E [GeV]	pc [GeV]	β	fo [kHz]	$\Delta f / f_{min}$
Injection	26.936	26.92	0.9994	40.156	0,0006
Extraction	450.931	450.93	0.999982	40.180	0.0006

A smaller machine in the chain (B=1.5 T, C=228.35 m)

	E [GeV]	pc [GeV]	β	fo [kHz]	$\Delta f / f_{min}$
Injection	0.949	0.1453	0.153	200.95	EE
Extraction	10.938	10.898	0.9963	1308	5.5

### Step 4: Collective effects

> Interaction between the particles within a bunch (space charge, watch out at injection energy!).

> Interaction between the bunch and the environment (impedance-wake).

Interaction between the different bunches via the environment (multibunch instabilities)

> There are other collective effects to be considered when the beams are colliding! (CAS Intermediate course)

Taking into account the collective effects at the design phase is a relatively new procedure (~ LEP). The creation of an "Impedance Police Team" proved to be very useful for LEP and vital for LHC!

# Procedure:

> Expected performance of the machine defined  $\rightarrow$  required intensities known.

> Compute maximum longitudinal (Z/n) and transverse ( $Z_T$ ) impedances which allow for these intensities.

Make sure your Impedance Police Team has sufficient scientific credit to manage (unavoidable) conflicts with component designers and/or Finance Committee:

Remember:  $Z_T = (2R/b^2) \cdot (Z/n)$  (Broad-band Impedance)

Magnets + Finance want  $\mathbf{b} \downarrow$  and Collective Effects want  $\mathbf{b} \uparrow$ 

# The Impedance Police Team

- Every single object visible by the beam should be submitted for approval to the Impedance Police Team.
- The team evaluates by means of dedicated programs the longitudinal and transverse impedances of the object.
- > The team approves or proposes modifications for the object.
- Once approved, the object is included in the Impedance budget of the machine, which is regularly updated.
- > For each update, ALL the instability thresholds are re-evaluated.
- The time domain codes yield the corresponding wakefields to be used for further multi-particle simulations.
- The frequency domain codes yield BB-impedances or single resonant modes (narrow-band impedances) which will be used to compute instabilities, but also power deposition in the different elements of the machine (essential for SC machines).

### LHC Beam-Screen (material)

• Without proper Cu-coating of the beam-screen, nominal intensity foreseen for the LHC could not circulate in the machine!



# Z/n as a function of time:

Machine	Z/n  [Ω]
PS (~ 1960)	> 50
SPS (~ 1970)	~ 20
LEP (~ 1990)	~ 0.25 (1.0)
LHC (~ 2007)	~ 0.10 (0.25)

### About Impedances...

> One often refers to |Z/n| but, please, remember that the impedance is a Complex function !

> Values quoted for (Z/n) are in fact |Z/n| to be inserted in handy criteria for longitudinal stability (e.g. KS criterion).

The resistive part Re(Z/n) yields the instability growth times related to the impedance

(Damping, Feedback)

The reactive part Im(Z/n) yields the tune shifts caused by the impedance

(Resonances)

D. Brandt

### Step 5: Beam Instrumentation

➢ We have seen the basic components used for beam diagnostics (more detailed tuition belongs to the Intermediate level CAS course with a dedicated afternoon course (10-12 hours)).

Once the machine is closed, the instruments available from the beam instrumentation represent the only possibility to « see » the beam! Seems obvious but is often forgotten!

Beam Instrumentation is often a good candidate when costs reductions are envisaged. Think twice before abandoning such instruments (e.g. BPMs).

### Magnets and Power Converters

Errors in Magnets or Power Converters (misalignments, field, current, ripples) can induce severe "distortions" of the closed orbit:

 $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}) = (\beta_i \beta(\mathbf{s}))^{1/2} / (2\sin(\pi Q)) \cdot \theta_i \cdot \sin(\phi(\mathbf{s}) - \phi_i)$ 

 $\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{s}) = (\beta_i \beta(\mathbf{s}))^{1/2} / (2\sin(\pi Q)) \cdot \theta_i \cdot \cos(\phi(\mathbf{s}) - \phi_i)$ 

> The accuracy and the reproducibility (specifications) of these elements is crucial for the performance of the machine.

### Beta function in a real machine

 $\beta_{x}(m), \beta_{y}(m)$ 



D. Brandt

# Step 6: In any case ...

It is essential that everybody knows about the latest status of the Project

→ regularly updated Parameter List.

LHC Parameters for Ultimate Proton Performance Version 3.0 (*))		
Number of experiments	luminosity	
Energy	7 (**)	TeV
Number of particles per bunch	1.67	1011
Number of bunches	2808	
Bunche harmonic number (Trev / bunch-spacing)	3564	
Filling time per ring	4.3	min
Bunch spacing	24.95	ns
Number of long range interactions per experimental insertion	30	
Total number of particles	4.7	1014
DC beam current	0.85	Α
Stored energy per beam	531	MJ
Normalized longitudinal emmittance at 450 GeV	1	eVs
Normalized longitudinal emmittance at 7 TeV GeV (******)	2.5	eVs
Normalized transverse emmittance	75 (*****)	μm
Maximum transverse beam size in the arc at injection (r.m.s.)	1.19	mm
Maximum transverse beam size in the arc at 7 TeV		~~~
	0.3	
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV	0.3 15.9 (****)	μm
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****)	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7	µm µrad
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4	µm µrad
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015	µm µrad
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread Total tune spread (beam-beam + lattice)	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015 0.02	µm µrad
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread Total tune spread (beam-beam + lattice) Beta-function at IP	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015 0.02 0.5	µm µrad
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread Total tune spread (beam-beam + lattice) Beta-function at IP Luminosity	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015 0.02 0.5 2.3	μm μrad 
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread Total tune spread (beam-beam + lattice) Beta-function at IP Luminosity Events per crossing	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015 0.02 0.5 2.3 44	μm μrad 
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread Total tune spread (beam-beam + lattice) Beta-function at IP Luminosity Events per crossing Total crossing angle	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015 0.02 0.5 2.3 44 400	μm μrad 34 cm- <sup>2</sup> s- <sup>1</sup>
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread Total tune spread (beam-beam + lattice) Beta-function at IP Luminosity Events per crossing Total crossing angle Minimum beam separation at parasitic crossings	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015 0.02 0.5 2.3 44 400 9.3	μm μrad 34 cm- <sup>2</sup> s- <sup>1</sup> μrad sigma
Transverse beam size at IP (r.m.s.) at 7 TeV Transverse rms beam divergence at IP (****) Beam beam parameter Total beam beam tune spread Total tune spread (beam-beam + lattice) Beta-function at IP Luminosity Events per crossing Total crossing angle Minimum beam separation at parasitic crossings Total cross section	0.3 15.9 (****) 31.7 5.4 0.015 0.02 0.5 2.3 44 400 9.3 100	μm μrad 34 cm- <sup>2</sup> s- <sup>1</sup> μrad sigma mbarn

# Step 7: Summary "Introductory"

- Relativity, E.M. Theory
- Introduction to Accelerators (types, physics, applications)
- Longitudinal and Transverse Dynamics
- Beam Diagnostics
- Linear Imperfections, Low Order Resonances
- > Transfer Lines, Injection and Extraction
- > Multi Particle Effects
- Synchrotron Radiation, Electron Dynamics and SLS
- > Vacuum, Apertures, Particle Sources
- Computational Methods for Accelerator Physicists

#### The next step is ...

# The Intermediate Level CAS course, which is the logical follow-up of the Introductory level:

- > The "core topics" are re-visited in some more details.
- ➢ The "Afternoon courses" propose to discover a specific topic and to study it in detail (Optics Design, Beam Instrumentation, RF).
- New topics are introduced:

# New topics:

Plenary talks on the topics retained for the Afternoon courses		
Insertions, Special lattices, Non-linearities		
Lattices for Light Sources, Insertion devices		
Sources of emittance growth (lifetime)		
Longitudinal and transverse instabilities		
Landau damping Dynamics with damping		
Luminosity Beam-beam effects		
RF cavities, Linac structures Accelerator Magnet design II		



Specialised course on « DSP » Digital Signal Processing Sigtuna, Sweden, 1-9 June 2007

You are more than welcome ...

and please let your colleagues know !

Accelerator Physics course « Intermediate level » Cockcroft Institute Daresbury, UK, September 2007