

Intensity Measurements

Andreas Peters

(HIT, Head Accelerator Operations)





HIT Betriebs GmbH am Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg

http://www.hit-centrum.de

Outline

- Classification of intensity/current measurement devices
- Beam destructive/scattering monitors:
 - Stopping the Beam, e.g. Faraday cups
 - Interaction of the beam with matter, e.g. ionization chambers
- Non-destructive current monitors → current transformers (ac, dc)
- Conclusion and Acknowledgements



Introduction

• Literature:





https://indico.cern.ch/event/683638/contributions/2801669/attachments/1563566/2540684/juas_script.pdf

- See: http://cas.web.cern.ch/cas/CAS%20Welcome/Previous%20Schools.htm
 - Beam Diagnostics from U. Raich, CERN in CAS, Small Accelerators, 2005
 - CERN Accelerator School on Beam Diagnostics, 2008, Talk of J.-C. Denard
- and much more sources as e.g. <u>www.jacow.org</u>!



Introduction

Beam diagnostic devices are necessary as *"the eyes of the operator"* to the accelerator for:

- Observation and Logging
- Measuring feedback on parameter setting/tuning
- Searching for errors in the machine, e.g. a temperature drift effect, a short in a coil, etc.
- The different beam diagnostics systems can be classified in three groups:
- I. Non-destructive diagnostic systems that will work online during experiments and in all other cases.
- II. Destructive measurement devices that will be used for the daily checks of the machine and the beam stability, and in addition for machine tuning and solving simpler machine problems.
- III. Special devices that will be necessary during the commissioning and in case of serious machine problems.



Beam Intensity/Current Measurement

Definitions:

- The Intensity is the power transferred per unit area, where the area is measured on the plane perpendicular to the direction of propagation of the energy.
- An electric current is a flow of electric charge (in accelerators mostly electrons, protons and ions).

The **beam intensity/current** is the basic quantity of the beam:

- It is the first check of the accelerator functionality.
- It has to be determined in an absolute manner.
- It is important for transmission measurement and to prevent for beam losses.



Beam Intensity/Current Measurement

Different devices are used:

Faraday cups (beam is stopped):

- Measurement of the beam's electrical charges.
- They are destructive.
- For "low" energies only.
- Low currents can be determined.

Particle detectors (beam is scattered):

- Measurement of the particle's energy loss in matter.
- Examples are scintillators, ionization chambers, secondary e- emission monitors, ...
- Used for low currents at high energies e.g. for slow extraction.

Transformers (beam is not disturbed):

- Measurement of the beam's magnetic field.
- They are non-destructive and can measure ac- and dc-beams
- No dependence on beam energy.
- They have (normally) a limited detection threshold (~ μA).



Faraday Cups



Faraday Cups for Beam Charge Measurement

The beam particles are collected inside a metal cup \Rightarrow The beam's charge is recorded as a function of time.



Currents down to 10 pA with bandwidth of 100 Hz!

Magnetic field:

To prevent for secondary electrons leaving the cup

And / Or

Electric field:

Potential barrier at the cup entrance



The cup is moved in the beam pass \rightarrow destructive device!



The Faraday Cup is moved into the beam pipe using an air-pressured actuator.





Example from HIT – uncooled FC for the MEBT section



Example measurements with Faraday cups shown on the previous slide (HIT):

Carbon beam (¹²C⁴⁺) with 8 keV/u from an ECR ion source after the chopper directly in front of the RFQ

Carbon beam (¹²C⁶⁺) with 7 MeV/u after the Linac (RFQ and IH-DTL) and the foil stripper





High Power Version

needed e.g. for beams from ECR Ion Sources (**up to 20 mA** overall DC current possible) at low energies (**keV/u**) → very small penetration depth of only **some ten nm**!

Material with very high melting point needed, e.g. Tungsten or Tantalum with cone shape







Photo of a used Faraday cup at the HIT LEBT, mounted closely behind the ECR ion sources, showing the funnel-shaped geometry \rightarrow Obvious influences of heating and sputtering can be seen.



A "non-interceptive" Faraday Cup

A Chopper faraday Cup (CFC) was designed and is presently in use on the CNAO machine. It measures the chopped beam, namely the beam (8keV/u energy) deviated by the Chopper deflector (installed at the end of the LEBT line) towards the vacuum chamber wall.





CNAO Low Energy Beam Transfer (LEBT) line



A "non-interceptive" Faraday Cup





Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum



Current intensity vs. acquisition time for carbon ion beam: comparison of CFC and AC Current Transformer (at the entrance of the Linac) performances. [By courtesy of CNAO]

Faraday Cups for High Energy Beams Bethe Bloch formula: $-\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e m_e c^2 \cdot \frac{Z_t}{A_t} \rho_t \cdot \frac{Z_p^2}{\beta^2} \left(\ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \beta^2}{I} - \beta^2 \right)$

Range:
$$R = \int_{0}^{E_{\text{max}}} \left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)^{-1} dE$$

with approx. scaling $R \propto E_{max}^{1.75}$ \rightarrow Faraday Cups for ion beams only for

E_{kin} < 100 MeV/u with *R* < 10 mm!

For higher energies more material is necessary (mechanics!), but nuclear reactions and fragments must be taken into account!





Faraday Cups for High Energy Beams

The stopping of electrons in matter differs from protons and ions \rightarrow here the sum of the two relevant processes of collisional loss dE/dx_{lcol} (modified Bethe-Bloch formula for electrons) and radiation loss dE/dx_{lrad} have to be added.



In addition: Electrons have much larger lateral straggling than ions and also the longitudinal straggling is larger resulting in a wider range distribution, thus Faraday cups for stopping electrons are seldom used at electron accelerators.



Faraday Cups for High Energy Beams



Left: A drawing of a Faraday cup used for **60 MeV electrons**. Right: The installation of a Faraday cup used as a beam dump at ALBA, Barcelona.



Particle Counters



Particle Counters

- Used for low current measurements of high-energetic particles e.g. for slow extracted proton and ion beams from synchrotrons for atomic or nuclear physics experiments.
- Overview of the typical dynamic range of detectors:
 - → For an ion rate below 10⁶ s⁻¹, the individual particles can be counted by scintillators.
 - → For the medium range from about 10⁴ to 10⁹ s⁻¹ the energy loss in a gas is measured by an ionization chamber (IC).
 - → For the higher range from about 10⁸ s⁻¹ the emission of secondary electrons from a metal surface forced by the primary ion's energy loss is determined by secondary electron monitors (SEM).



Scintillation Counters

Plastic Scintillator i.e. organic fluorescence molecules in a plastic matrix Advantage: easily machinable, cheap, blue wave length, fast decay time Disadvantage: not radiation hard

Particle counting: PMT \rightarrow discriminator \rightarrow scaler \rightarrow computer





Scintillation Counters



Example of extracted beam (250 MeV/u ²⁰⁸Pb⁶⁷⁺) from GSI's SIS18 Heavy Ion Synchrotron showing the maximum dynamics of **Scintillation Counters** \rightarrow for higher currents the use of **lonization Chambers** is necessary.

Energy loss in matter (mainly gases) \rightarrow electronic stopping:

Bethe Bloch-
Equation:
$$-\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 \cdot \left(\frac{Z_t}{A_t}\rho\right) \left(\frac{Z_p^2}{\beta^2}\right) \left[\ln\frac{2m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \beta^2}{I} - \beta^2\right]$$

Target: Charge & Mass (Z_t , A_t), Density (ρ), Ionization Potential (I) Projectile: effective charge (Z_p), Velocity (γ , β)

Operating ranges of gas detectors











Intensity of extracted beam from HIT synchrotron ("spill" with pauses)

IC detector (upper right): 70x70 mm² active area, $\Delta x=3$ mm, with Ar/CO₂ gas mixture; air-pressured actuator with stainless steel windows (50 µm)





axisymmetric (beam lines)



Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum



Ionization chambers as halo monitors around the beam pipe for online monitoring of beam displacements

[By courtesy of PSI]



Signal levels of several monitors when the beam is steered far off-axis

Beam current: 1.4 nA Beam energy: 230 MeV



Secondary Electron Monitors (SEM)

The secondary emission current depends on the energy loss at the surface dE/pdx, it is given by the Sternglass formula:

$I_{sec} = Y \cdot dE/\rho dx \cdot I_{beam}$

with Y being the yield factor describing the amount of secondary emission per unit of energy loss at the surface.



Left: Scheme of a SEM made of three metal foils. Right: Photo of the SEM part made of 3 Al foils with thickness of 100 µm used for slow extraction meas. at GSI

Secondary Electron Monitors (SEM)



Calibration curve of a SEM with 3 Al foils – the yield factor value was fitted to

Y = 27.4 e⁻/(MeV/mg/cm²)

for various slowly extracted ions from the GSI synchrotron.

Value may depend on surface properties, e.g. material production and cleaning method.

The emission yield Y might change with irradiation, caused by modifications of the surface. A degradation by a factor of two was measured after 10^{18} protons/cm² (beam of 450 GeV at CERN SPS) \rightarrow Ti-foils show a much lower sensitivity to radiation.



Current Transformers



Current Measurement – Pulsed Beams

Pulsed LINACs and cyclotrons used for injection to synchrotrons with $t_{pulse} \approx 100 \ \mu s$:



One distinguish between:

- ➤ Mean current Imean
- \rightarrow long time average in [A]
- Pulse current Ipulse
- \rightarrow during the macro pulse in [A]
- Bunch current Ibunch
- → during the bunch in [C/bunch] or [particles/bunch]

Remark: ECR ion sources:

 \rightarrow no bunch structure / DC

Example: Pulse and bunch structure at GSI LINAC

Heidelberger Jonenstrahl-Therapiezentrur



Magnetic field of the beam and the ideal transformer

> Beam current of N charges with velocity β :

$$I_{beam} = qe \cdot \frac{N}{t} = qe \cdot \beta c \cdot \frac{N}{1}$$

$$\vec{B} = \mu_0 \frac{I_{beam}}{2\pi r} \cdot \vec{e_{\varphi}}$$

(Example: 1 μ A, r = 10cm \rightarrow 2 pT)

Idea: Beam as primary winding and sense by secondary winding ⇒ Loaded current transformer

Ibeam

$$I_{1}/I_{2} = N_{2}/N_{1} \rightarrow I_{sec} = 1/N \cdot I_{beam}$$

> Inductance of a torus of μ_{r}
$$L = \frac{\mu_{0}\mu_{r}}{2\pi} \cdot lN^{2} \cdot \ln \frac{r_{out}}{r_{in}}$$

Goal of Torus: Large inductance L and guiding of field lines.







Vout

30

Torus to guide the magnetic field

Passive Transformer / Fast Current Transformer (FCT)

Simplified electrical circuit of a passively loaded transformer:



A voltage is measured: $U = R \cdot I_{sec} = R / N \cdot I_{beam} \equiv S \cdot I_{beam}$

with **S** sensitivity [V/A], equivalent to transfer function or transfer impedance **Z**.

Equivalent circuit is used for analysis of sensitivity and bandwidth (disregarding the loss resistivity R_{l})



Passive Transformer: Rise and Droop Time



Passive Transformer / Fast Current Transformer (FCT)







Passive Transformer / Fast Current Transformer (FCT)



M 50.0ns Ch1 J

Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum

8.5mV

Chi 5.00mVΩ%



Left: Measurement in the lab \rightarrow achieved resolution of < 0.02 pC RMS Above: Operation in FLASH with 8 pC bunches, estimated resolution ~ 0.6pC RMS (BW=20MHz, non-averaged).

"Active" Transformer with longer Droop Time

An Active Transformer or Alternating Current Transformer ACT uses a transimpedance amplifier (I/U converter) to a $R \approx 0 \Omega$ load impedance i.e. a current sink + compensation feedback

 \Rightarrow longer droop time t_{droop}

Application: measurement of longer pulses with t > 10 μ s e.g. at LINACs



The input resistor is for an op-amp: $R_f/A \ll R_L$

$$\Rightarrow t_{droop} = L/(R_f/A + R_L) \simeq L/R_L$$

Droop time constant can be up to 1 s!

The feedback resistor is also used for range switching.

 \rightarrow An additional active feedback loop is used to compensate the droop.



"Active" Transformer Realization

Active transformer system used at GSI Linacs and for the HIT and CNAO injectors



Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum

System offered by Bergoz, used at MedAustron, MIT and SHPIC (Shanghai) Torus inner radius Torus outer radius Core thickness Core material

Core permeability Number of windings Max. sensitivity Beam current range Bandwidth Droop rms resolution

 $r_i=30 \text{ mm}$ $r_o=45 \text{ mm}$ l=25 mmVitrovac 6025 $(CoFe)_{70\%}(MoSiB)_{30\%}$ $\mu_r=10^5$ 2x10 crossed 10^6 V/A $10 \ \mu\text{A}$ to 100 mA $1 \ \text{MHz}$ 0.5 % for 5 ms

 $0.2 \mu A$ for full bw



"Active" Transformer Measurements



MedAustron: ACCT overview panel during commissioning



Current Measurement of DC beams

- The current transformer discussed above sees only B-flux changes.
- For measuring DC beams non-destructively the DC Current Transformer (DCCT) is the solution – method: look at the magnetic saturation of two tori.





DCCT Function Schematics



- > Modulation without beam: typically about 1 kHz to saturation \rightarrow no net flux
- \blacktriangleright Modulation with beam: saturation is reached at different times, \rightarrow net flux
- > Net flux: double frequency than modulation,
- Feedback: Current fed to compensation winding for larger sensitivity
- > Two magnetic cores: Must be very similar.



DCCT Realizations

Example: The DCCT at GSI synchrotron (designed 1990 at GSI):

Core radii	$r_i = 135 \text{ mm}, r_o = 145 \text{mm}$
Core thickness	10 mm
Core material	Vitrovac 6025: $(CoFe)_{70\%}(MoSiB)_{30\%}$
Core permeability	$\mu_r\simeq 10^5$
Saturation B_{sat}	$\simeq 0.6~{ m T}$
Isolating cap	Al_2O_3
Number of windings	16 for modulation and sensing
	12 for feedback
Ranges for beam current	300 μ A to 1 A
Resolution	$2 \ \mu A$
Bandwidth	dc to 20 kHz
rise time	$20 \ \mu s$
Offset compensation	$\pm 2.5 \ \mu A$ in auto mode
	$< 15 \ \mu A/day$ in free run
temperature coeff.	$1.5 \ \mu A/^{o}C$



Commercial product specification (Bergoz NPCT):

Most parameters: Temperature coeff. Resolution

comparable the GSI-model 0.5 µA/°C several µA (b.w. dependent)



CAS Beam Instrumentation, Tuusula, I



In-flange.NPCT with 96-mm aperture

AC/DC Beam Current Measurement



Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum

Example: Injection and acceleration at the HIT facility

DC Beam Current Measurement



Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum



Top-Up Operation at PETRA synchrotron (upper trace → Bergoz PCT)





Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum

Non-destructive measurement device for low intensity beams (between 1 nA an 10 µA)

<u>Cryogenic Current Comparator:</u> Precise measurement of azimuthal magnetic field (fT-range) using DC-SQUIDS

• CAS Beam Instrumentation, Tuusula, Finland, 10th June 2018

Toroid

version for

FAIR with

(from GSI)

big bore



Schematic Setup of a CCC device including cryostat



CCC measurement device in a GSI beamline behind SIS18 synchrotron (in front of a beam dump)





Left: CCC calibration data; current resolution: 60 pA/ \sqrt{Hz}

Below: Slowly extracted beam, 600 MeV/u Ni²⁶⁺; upper trace: CCC, lower trace: SEM signal





Installation at CERN-AD

Requirements:

- Intensity measurement alternative to Schottky
- Current resolution: 10 nA, intensity resolution: 5x10⁵ pbar
- Bandwidth DC 1 kHz

Heidelberger Ionenstrahl-Therapiezentrum

(Collaboration between CERN, FSU/HIJ/IPHT Jena, TU DA and GSI since 2014)







Courtesy R. Jones, M. Fernandes (CERN)

Conclusion and Acknowledgements

- Beam Instrumentation is the "the eyes of the operator" to the behavior of the accelerator, thus robustness and reliability of beam diagnostic devices is mandatory, especially for the work horses like ac/dc beam transformers described in this talk.
- Current measurement devices need excellent maintenance, e.g. calibration to always guarantee reliable measurement results within the specifications.
- Nowadays a strong link to the accelerator control system is a must for beam instrumentation to filter and post-work the raw signal and to present the measurement data in the control room, fill longtime archives for later data evaluation, etc.



Conclusion and Acknowledgements

Many thanks to all colleagues from HIT, MedAustron, CNAO, PSI, ALBA, DESY, CERN, Bergoz, Univ. of Tokyo and GSI/FAIR – especially to Peter Forck – for their advice and giving me interesting example measurements and photos of beam instrumentation from their facilities!



Thank you for your attention!

