Plasma Accelerators the Basics

R Bingham Central Laser Facility Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK.

CERN Accelerator School, 24th November 2014

Introduction

• General Principles

- Laser-plasma accelerators
- Electron-beam-plasma accelerators
- Theory Simulations
- Experiments
- Future

Plasma Wakes

photon beam electron beam neutrino beam ion beams





Plasma Acceleration Technologies

Plasma Accelerators

1) Electron beam-induced Plasma Wakefield Accelerator (PWA)

2) Laser-induced Plasma Wakefield Accelerators

a) Plasma Beat-Wave Accelerator (PBWA)

b) Laser Plasma Wakefield Accelerator (LPWA)

c) Self-Modulated Laser Wakefield Accelerator (SMLWA)

Particle Accelerators – compact to country size Rich Physics and Applications

Large

- Verified Standard Model of Elem particles
- W, Z bosons
- Quarks, gluons and quarkgluon plasmas
- Asymmetry of matter and antimatter
- In pursuit of the Higgs Boson (cause of mass)

<u>Compact</u>

- Medicine
 - Cancer therapy, imaging
- Industry and Gov't
 - Killing anthrax
 - lithography
- Light Sources (synchrotrons)
 - Bio imaging
 - Condensed matter science



Plasma Acceleration

- Electric fields generated in a plasma can accelerate electrons, protons to high energies
- Definition

$$\Delta T = q v_0(t) \cdot E(r_0(t), t) dt$$

 ΔT is change in particle kinetic energy

- *E* is the electric field
- q is the particle charge
- v_0 is the particle velocity
- Deterministic System
 - *E*(*r*,*t*) does not change significantly during the acceleration phase.
- Stochastic
 - *E*(*r*,*t*) changes in a random fashion.

Proposed Acceleration Mechanisms

Coherent $t_{acc} > t_{change}$

E-Fields

- Generated by reconnection inductively driven field
- Double layers conservative field cannot energise particle, can only locally accelerate them (KE at expanse of PE)
- Coherent waves
 - Acceleration mechanisms, Beat-Wave, wake field need large amplitudes or large distances of uniform plasma
- Coulombic Explosions

Wave-Particle Resonance

- $\omega = kv$ Simplest is Cerenkov resonance
- corresponds to law of momentum and energy conservation particle emits $\hbar\omega$, $\hbar k$ wave quantum

$$\Delta E = \hbar \omega = \Delta \underline{p} \cdot \underline{v}$$
 where $\Delta \underline{p} = \hbar \underline{k} \quad \& \quad \omega = \underline{k} \cdot \underline{v}$

In a Magnetic Field

- Resonant particle moves along a spiral on Larmor orbit
- $\omega_c = \frac{eB}{r}$ Particle may be treated as an oscillator with energy $n\hbar\omega_{c} = (n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ ۲ moving along B
- Both energy of translational motion and oscillator energy may change

$$\Delta E = \hbar \omega = \Delta p_z v_z + n\hbar \omega_c \qquad \Delta p_z = \hbar k_z$$

$$\omega = k_z v_z + n\omega_k \qquad \qquad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Plasma Accelerators – Why Plasmas?

Conventional Accelerators

- Limited by peak power and breakdown
- 20-100 MeV/m
- Large Hadron Collider (LHC) --27km, 2010
- Plans for "Next" Linear Collider (NLC) -- 100km ?

<u>Plasma</u>

- No breakdown limit
- 10-100 GeV/m

<u>Laser</u>

• >1 GeV in several cm

<u>e-beam</u>

~100 GeV in 1m

Drivers for Plasma Based Accelerators

- Lasers Terawatt, Petawatt Compact Lasers 10¹²–10¹⁵ Watts already exist.
 - Some with high rep. Rates *i.e.* **10 Hz**.
 - Capable of 10¹⁹-10²² Watts/cm² on target.
 - Future ~10²⁴ Watts/cm² using OPCPA.
- Electrons Beams Shaped electron beams such as the Stanford/USC/UCLA experiment generate 100GV/m accelerating gradient using the 30 – 50GeV beam in a 1 meter long Lithium Plasma.
- Ion beams AWAKE experiment CERN

Laser Plasma Accelerators

- The electric field of a laser in vacuum is given by $E_{\perp} = 30\sqrt{I}$ V/cm
- For short pulse intense lasers,

$$P = 10 \text{ TW}, \ \lambda_0 = 1 \ \mu \text{m}, \ I = 1.6 \text{x} 10^{18} \text{ W/cm}^2$$

 $E_\perp = 40 \text{ GV/cm}$

- Unfortunately, this field is perpendicular to the direction of propagation and no significant acceleration takes place.
- The longitudinal electric field associated with electron plasma waves can be extremely large and can accelerate charged particles.

Concepts For Plasma Based Accelerators*

Plasma Wake Field Accelerator(PWFA)
 A high energy electron bunch



Laser Wake Field Accelerator(LWFA, SMLWFA, PBWA)
 A single short-pulse of photons

Drive beam
 Trailing beam

*Godfather of the field: Prof. John Dawson Physical Review Letters July 1979.

Laser Wakefield Acceleration

- Laser Wake Field Accelerator(LWFA)
 A single short-pulse of photons
- Plasma Beat Wave Accelerator(PBWA)
 Two-frequencies, i.e., a train of pulses



evolves to

• Self Modulated Laser Wake Field Accelerator(SMLWFA)

Raman forward scattering instability

Plasma waves driven by electrons, photons, and neutrinos

Electron beam

$$\left(\partial_t^2 + \omega_{pe0}^2\right) \delta n_e = -\omega_{pe0}^2 n_{e-beam}$$

 $\delta n_e \equiv \frac{\text{Perturbed electron}}{\text{plasma density}}$

Photons $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 + \omega_{pe0}^2 \end{pmatrix} \delta n_e = \frac{\omega_{pe0}^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \Box_{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}^{N_{\gamma}}$ Neutrinos

$$(\partial_t^2 + \omega_{pe0}^2) \delta n_e = \frac{\sqrt{2}n_{e0}G_F}{m_e} \nabla^2 n_v$$

Ponderomotive force physics/9807049 physics/9807050

Kinetic/fluid equations for electron beam, photons, neutrinos coupled with electron density perturbations due to PW

Self-consistent picture of collective e, γ, ν -plasma interactions

Plasma Beat Wave Accelerator (PBWA)

- In the Plasma Beat Wave Accelerator (PBWA) a relativistic plasma wave is resonantly excited by the "ponderomotive" force of two lasers separated by the plasma frequency ω_p.
- The two laser beams beat together forming a modulated beat pattern in the plasma.



• For relativistic plasma wave the accelerating field E_|| is given by $E_{\parallel} = \varepsilon \sqrt{n_0}$ V/cm

 \mathcal{E} is the fractional electron density bunching, n_0 is the plasma density. For $n_0 = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\mathcal{E} = 10\% \implies E_{||} = 10^8 \text{ V/cm}$

Plasma Beat Wave

Relativistic plasma wave driven by beating 2 lasers in a plasma

$$\omega_1 - \omega_2 \cong \omega_p$$
 energy

 $\underline{k}_1 - \underline{k}_2 \cong k_p \qquad \text{momentum}$

For $\omega_1, \omega_2 >> \omega_p$ i.e. $\omega_1 = 10\omega_p$ $\omega_2 = 9\omega_p$

Then
$$k_1 - k_2 \sim \Delta k$$

 $\omega_1 - \omega_2 \sim \Delta \omega$ $\frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta k} = v_g$

 v_g is the group velocity of the laser beat pattern. But $k_1 - k_2 \sim k_p$; $\omega_1 - \omega_2 \sim \omega_p$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\omega_p}{k_p} = \mathbf{v}_{ph} \equiv \mathbf{v}_g \qquad \mathbf{v}_g = c \left(1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega_{1,2}} \right)^{1/2}$$

For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle \omega_p \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_g \approx \mathbf{c} \Rightarrow$ "Hence relativistic"

Plasma accelerators basic equations

Relativistic fluid, Maxwell's and Poisson's equations

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \nabla . \left(n_e \mathbf{v}_e \right) &= 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_e . \nabla \right) \gamma \mathbf{v}_e + \frac{3k_B T_e}{n_o m_e} \nabla n_e = \frac{e}{m_e} \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{B} \right), \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= -\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \\ \nabla . \mathbf{E} &= 4\pi e \left(n_e - n_o \right), \end{split}$$

where $\gamma = \left(1 - (v_e/c)^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the relativistic Lorentz factor.

Note that the ions are not represented, we only consider high frequencies where ion dynamics are not important. Ions are important for filamentation Parametric decay etc.

Poisson's Equation

From Poisson's equation we can estimate how large these longitudinal electron plasma waves can be $\nabla \cdot E = 4\pi e \, \delta n_a$

 δn_{ρ} is perturbed electron density of the plasma ions immobile on short time scale.

Largest field exists for $\delta n_e = n_0$ *i.e.* background density.

Electron plasma waves oscillate with frequency $\omega_n = (4\pi n_0 e^2 / m_z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ cgs, or $(n_0 e^2 / m_2 \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ MKS.

Relativistic plasma waves have phase velocities close to c

i.e.
$$\frac{\omega_p}{k_p} \cong c.$$

With Poisson's equation we get

Poisson's equation we get
$$eE_{MAX} \approx \frac{4\pi n_0 e^2}{(\omega_p/c)} \cong 0.97\sqrt{n}$$
 eV/cm
i.e. $eE_{MAX} \approx \sqrt{n}$ eV/cm

0

Beat wave



Beat wave generation

For the plasma beat wave the equation for the plasma wave can easily be derived by introducing slowly varying amplitudes describing the laser field,

 $\mathbf{E}_{1,2} = Re\mathbf{E}_{1,2}'(x,t) \exp\left\{i\left(k_{1,2}x - \omega_{1,2}t\right)\right\}$

and the electron density perturbation as,

$$\delta n_e = n_e - n_o = Re\delta n'_e(x,t) \exp\left\{ik_p x\right\}$$

Note we have not separated the timescales in the density perturbation since this mode can be strongly nonlinear.

The equation for the electron density perturbation is found to be,

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \omega_p^2\right)\delta n_e = \frac{3}{8}\omega_p^2 \frac{\delta n_e^2}{n_o^2}\delta n_e - \frac{n_o}{2}\omega_{pe}^2\alpha_1\alpha_2 e^{-i\delta t}$$

where $\alpha_j = \frac{eE_j}{m_e\omega_j c}$, j = 1, 2 is the normalised quiver velocity in the field of each laser $\delta = \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

The 1st term on the RHS is the relativistic mass shift in the electron plasma wave and the 2nd term is the laser beat drive term.

The Relativistic Plasma Wave is described by

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \omega_p^2\right) \delta n = \frac{3}{8} \omega_p^2 \frac{\delta n^2}{n_0^2} \delta n - \frac{n_0}{2} \omega_p^2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 e^{-i\delta t}$$
$$\alpha_j = \frac{eE}{m_e \omega_j c} \quad ; \ \delta = \omega_1 - \omega_2$$

For $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \text{constant}$

$$\frac{\delta n}{n_0} = \frac{\delta n_0(0)}{n_0} + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \omega_p t$$

<u>Linear growth</u>: However due to 1st term on RHS i.e. cubic non-linearity wave saturates before reaching wave breaking limit $\delta n/n \sim 1$; acts as nonlinear frequency shift.

$$\frac{\delta n}{n}\max=\left(\frac{16}{3}\alpha_1\alpha_2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}=\epsilon$$

Relativistic mass increase of electrons reduces natural frequency

$$\omega_p^1 = \omega_p \left(1 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{osc}^2}{c^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \omega_p \left(1 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{\delta n^2}{n^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

This results in $\omega_1 - \omega_2 \neq \omega_p \Rightarrow$ non-resonant interaction \Rightarrow saturation.

Beat wave generation

The longitudinal electric field amplitude of the relativistic plasma waves can be extremely large with a theoretical limit obtained from Poisson's equation and given by

 $E=\epsilon n_o^{\frac{1}{2}}V/cm$

where ϵ is the Rosenbluth Liu saturation value.

The growth of the plasma wave due to the beat wave mechanism is,

$$\epsilon = \int_{o}^{t} \frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\omega_{p}}{4} dt$$

The red shift due to the relativistic mass increase of the electron in the plasma wave $\Delta \omega = -3/16 \epsilon^2$

causes the wave to saturate at,

$$\epsilon_{SAT} = \left(\frac{16}{3}\alpha_1\alpha_2\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

in a time $\tau_{SAT} = \frac{8}{\omega_p} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$

Other factors that can limit the interaction or acceleration length is diffraction of the laser beams or pump depletion.

Beat Wave Growth



Energy Gain

- For $n_0 = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\varepsilon = n_1 / n_0 = 10\%$
- Gain in energy of electron $\Delta W \approx eE_p I$, $\Delta W = 2 \varepsilon \gamma^2 m_e c^2$
- $\gamma = \omega_1 / \omega_p$ is the Lorentz factor
- For a neodymium laser, $\omega_1/\omega_p \sim 30$, and $n_0 \sim 10^{18}$ cm⁻³

$$E_{p} = \frac{e}{k_{p}} \delta n = \frac{ec}{\omega_{p}} \delta n$$

$$l = \frac{\lambda_{p}}{2} \frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{p}^{2}} = \frac{\lambda_{p}}{2} \gamma^{2}$$

$$l = \frac{\lambda_{p}}{2} \chi \implies \chi = \frac{c}{c - v_{ph}} \implies v_{ph} \approx c \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$eEl = 2\varepsilon \gamma^{2} m_{e} c^{2}$$

• Maximum energy gain $\Delta W \approx eE_p I$

 $\Delta W \approx 100 \; MeV$

UCLA Experiments

UCLA Plasma Beat Wave Accelerator

CO₂ Laser, 2 wavelengths $\lambda_1 = 10.29 \ \mu m$, $\lambda_2 = 10.59 \ \mu m$, $\lambda_p = 360 \ \mu m$, $n_{PLASMA} = 10^{16} \ cm^{-3}$, $\alpha_1 = 0.17$, $\alpha_2 = 0.07$, $\tau = 150 \ psec$. Electrons injected at 2.1 MeV are accelerated to 30 MeV in a plasma length of 1 cm. This corresponds to an accelerating field

 $E_{||} = 30 \text{ MeV/cm}$

Amplitude of relativistic plasma wave $\varepsilon = 30\%$ $n_0 = \delta n/n_0$ 1st successful demonstration.

C. Clayton *et al.* Phys Rev Lett., <u>70</u>, 37 (1994)
M. Everett *et al.* Nature, <u>368</u>, 527 (1994)

Overview of Beatwave Expt.

• Experiment consists of five major components ...



UCLA Experimental Results

• Electrons injected from 0.3 GHz rf LINAC ⇒ train of pulses, <10ps duration



- 1% or 10⁵ electrons are accelerated in the diffraction length of ~1cm.
- 2→30 MeV Gradient of 3 GeV/m

Self-Modulated Wakefield

Break-up of a long pulse $L >> k_p$

via Forward Raman Scattering in 1-D limit or

via an Envelope-Self-Modulation instability in 2-D limit.



<u>Pulse Power</u> > relativistic self-focusing

$$P > P_c = 17 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_p^2} \quad \text{GW}$$

Stimulated Raman & Modulational Instability



Physical mechanism for stimulated Raman forward scattering driven by Ponderomotive force.

Self-modulated wakefield



Modulated laser pulse



Chirped pulse laser, wavelength of the laser Increases from the front to the back of the Pulse.

Alternatively can use density ramp.

Beat wave with long pulse laser modulated at the plasma wavelength. Laser has a constant wavelength.



Imperial College London

Laser acceleration experiments using the Rutherford VULCAN PetaWatt Laser



Short pulse wakefield generation

Analysis based on one fluid, relativistic hydrodynamics and Maxwell's equation

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v}_z \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}{\partial z} = -\boldsymbol{e} \left(\boldsymbol{E} + \frac{1}{c} \, \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B} \right)$$

where

$$p = m_0 \gamma v, \quad \gamma = (1 + p^2/m_0^2 c^2)^{1/2},$$

$$\boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}_{\perp}}{\partial t} - \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}; \ \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{\perp}; \ \boldsymbol{A}_{\perp} = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{A}_{x} + \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{A}_{y},$$

Where A is the vector potential of the laser pulse, Ø is the scalar potential due to space charge separation in the plasma.

Normalise the potentials
$$\frac{e}{m_0 c^2} A_{\perp} \equiv a(z, t); \quad \frac{e}{m_0 c^2} \phi \equiv \Phi$$

We can now derive the longitudinal component of the electron momentum equation and combine it with the continuity equation Poisson's and Maxwell's equation to form a 1 D model for laser pulse and wakefield.

Short pulse wakefield generation

In the envelope approximation assume a pulse of the form,

$$\boldsymbol{a}(z, t) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{a}_0(\xi, \tau) e^{-i\theta} + c.c.,$$

Where the amplitude is cast in the frame of the moving laser pulse, with

$$\theta = \omega_0 t - k_0 z \qquad \xi = z - v_g t$$

The final equations for \boldsymbol{a}_{o} and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ are obtained on

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \xi^2} = \frac{\omega_{p0}^2}{c^2} G,$$

$$2i\omega_0 \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \tau} + 2c\beta_0 \frac{\partial^2 a_0}{\partial \tau \partial \xi} + \frac{c^2 \omega_{p0}^2}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 a_0}{\partial \xi^2} = -\omega_{p0}^2 Ha_0$$
where
$$G = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_{\parallel}^2 - 1}}{\beta_0 \gamma_{\parallel} - \sqrt{\gamma_{\parallel}^2 - 1}}, \quad H = 1 - \frac{\beta_0}{\gamma_a (\beta_0 \gamma_{\parallel} - \sqrt{\gamma_{\parallel}^2 - 1})}.$$

Valid for arbitrary laser pulses and laser intensities



The Bubble Regime



technology feature RNA interference

Imperial College London

Mono-energetic spectra can be observed at higher power (∆E/E = 6 %)



E ~ 500 mJ, pulse duration ~ 40 fsec Focal spot ~ 25 μ m Density ~ 2 x 10¹⁹ cm⁻³

Significant shot-to-shot fluctuations in a) energy spread b) peak energy

Careful control of laser and plasma conditions is necessary

Courtesy: K. Krushelnick, IC



Linear Plasma Wakefield Theory

$$(\partial_t^2 + \omega_p^2) \frac{n_1}{n_o} = -\omega_p^2 (\frac{n_b}{n_o} + k_p^2 \nabla^2 \sqrt{1 + a_o^2})$$

Large wake for a beam density $\mathbf{n_b} \sim \mathbf{n_o}$ or laser amplitude $\mathbf{a_o} = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{E_o}/\mathbf{m}\omega_o\mathbf{c} \sim \mathbf{1}$ for τ_{pulse} of order $\omega_p^{-1} \sim 100$ fs $(10^{16}/n_o)^{1/2}$ and speed $\sim \mathbf{c} = \omega_p/k_p$

$$\nabla \bullet E = -4\pi e n_1 \Rightarrow eE = \frac{n_1}{n_o} \sqrt{\frac{n_o}{10^{16} cm^{-3}}} 10 GeV/m \cos \omega_p (t - z/c)$$

But interesting wakes are very nonlinear => PIC simulations

SLAC Plasma Wakefield Expt.



a,b) Density of electron pulse (brown) and plasma electrons (blue) at two different points in the plasma (12.3 and 81.9 cm). Scalloping features are the result of increasing focusing force.

c) Maximum energy reached after 85 cm. Saturation occurs due to the beam head spreading to the point that it can no longer ionize the lithium vapour.

- a) Energy spectrum of electrons in the 30-100 GeV range. Electrons reach 85 GeV ($3x10^6 \text{ e/GeV}$).
- b) Experimental (blue) and simulation (red)

Reference: Blumenfeld et al. Nature (2007)

3 Limits to Energy gain DW=eE₂L_{acc} (laser driver)

• Diffraction:

$$L_{dif} \cong \pi L_R = \pi^2 w_0^2 / \lambda$$

order mm! (but overcome w/ channels or relativistic self-focusing)

• Dephasing: • \mathbf{V}_{gr} \mathbf{V}_{gr} $\mathbf{L}_{dph} = \frac{\lambda_p/2}{1 - V_{gr}/c}$ order 10 cm x 10¹⁶/n_o

•Depletion:

For small $a_0 >> L_{dph}$ For $a_0 > \sim 1$ $L_{dph} \sim L_{depl}$

 $\Delta W_{ch}[MeV] \sim 60 \left(\lambda_p / w_0\right)^2 P[TW]$

Requirements for High Energy Experiments

- Use Collider Parameters
- Luminosity = 10^{31} cm⁻² sec⁻¹
- Beam Energy ~ 1 TeV
- No. of particles per pulse ~ 10¹¹
- Total Laser Energy (assuming 40% transfer efficiency) = 40 kJ/pulse
- Laser efficiency 10%
- Multiple staging required, pulse rate 1 kHz
- For a 10 stage accelerator requires 10 x 4 kJ lasers
- Power requirements :

 $P_{TOTAL} = 40 \text{ kJ x } f$ (pulse rate second⁻¹) $P_{TOTAL} = 0.4 \text{ GW Power}$