Part III Technology of Insertion Devices

Pascal ELLEAUME

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble

III, 1/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Technology of Undulators and Wigglers



- The main issue in the magnetic design of a planar undulator or wiggler is to produce a sinusoidal field with a high peak field B and the shortest period λ_0 within a given aperture (gap).
- Three type of technologies can be used :
 - Permanent magnets (NdFeB, Sm_2Co_{17})
 - Room temperature electromagnets (iron and coils)
 - Superconducting electromagnets (superconducting coils with or without iron)

Current Equivalent of a Magnetised Material



Air coil with Surface Current Density[A/m]
$$\cong \frac{B_r[T]}{\mu_0}$$

III, 3/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Periodic array of magnets λ_0 Surface Current Density[A/m] $\cong \frac{2B_r[T]}{T}$ μ_{0} or Current Density[A/m²] $\cong \frac{4B_r[T]}{\mu_0 \lambda_0}$ Example: $B_r = 1 T$, $\lambda_0 = 20 mm \implies$

Equiv. Current Density=160A/mm²!!

Permanent Magnet Undulator



magnet materials					
Material	$B_{\mathbf{r}}$ [T]	$\mu_{\mathbf{r},\parallel}$	$\mu_{\mathrm{r},\perp}$	H _{cJ} [kA/m]	$10^{-2}/^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
SmCO5 Sm2CO17 NdFeB	0.9–1.01 1.04–1.12 1.0–1.4	1.05 1.05–1.08 1.04–1.06	1.15–1.17	1500–2400 800–2000 1000–3000	-0.04 -0.03 -0.10

III, 5/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Magnetic Field of a Pure Permanent Magnet Undulator (Halbach Formula)



Assume relative permeability of magnet =1 with remanent field B_r , then the exact field computation gives :

$$B_n = 2B_r \frac{\sin(n\frac{\pi}{4})}{n\frac{\pi}{4}} \exp(-n\pi\frac{gap}{\lambda_0})(1 - \exp(2n\pi\frac{h}{\lambda_0}))\cos(2n\pi\frac{s}{\lambda_0})$$

if $h > \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \Rightarrow 1 - \exp(2n\pi\frac{h}{\lambda_0}) \sim 1$
$$B_n = B_r b_n \exp(-n\pi\frac{gap}{\lambda_0})$$

$$\Rightarrow n = 1 \text{ dominates}$$

$$B_z(s) \approx 1.8 B_r \exp(-\pi\frac{gap}{\lambda_0})\cos(2\pi\frac{s}{\lambda_0})$$

III, 6/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

b1 b3 b5 b7

Field from Pure Permanent Magnet vs Hybrid



Ą

4

-0 4

Numerical Computation of Magnetic Field

- No Iron (perm. magnet & coil)
 - Integration of Biot and Savart Law

$$\vec{B} = \mu_0 \int I \, \frac{d\vec{l} \times \hat{u}}{r^2}$$

- Simple Numerical Methods based on the current sheet or surface charge model. The total field is the linear sum of the field produced by each block. Particularly simple and efficient for parallellepipedic shapes
- With Iron (perm. magnet & coil & iron) : Best solved with numerical methods
 - Finite Element Method
 - Used dominantly for Dipole/Quadrupole/Sextupole ... Magnets
 - 2D : POISSON (Public Domain)
 - from http://laacg1.lanl.gov/laacg/services/possup.html
 - 3D : Commercial Codes (TOSCA, FLUX3D, ANSYS,...)
 - Volume Integral Method : Radia
 - Particularly adapted to undulators and Wigglers
 - Compute field and field integral in 3D
 - Public Domain http://www.esrf.fr/machine/groups/insertion_devices/Codes/software.html

Design Process



III, 9/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Magnetic Forces

Force between upper and lower magnetic arrays :

$$Force = \frac{\hat{B}^2 WL}{4\mu_0}$$

	В	W	L	F
	[T]	[mm]	[m]	[kN]
Undulator	0.8	40	1.6	8.1
Wiggler	1.5	120	1.6	85.9



Force on each magnet can be large : \Rightarrow rigid holding structures \Rightarrow special assembly tools

III, 10/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

ESRF Undulators



Magnetic Force : 1-10 Tons Gap Resolution : $< 1 \mu m$ Parallellism $< 20 \mu m$



Undulators are Fundamentally Small Gap Devices

- Like any accelerator magnet, the smaller the magnetic gap the less volume of magnetic material required to reach a specific field geometry.
- The lower the gap the higher the energy of the harmonics in the undulator emission.

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\lambda_0}{2n\gamma^2} (1 + \frac{K^2}{2})$$
with

$$K = 0.0934 B_0[T] \lambda_0[mm]$$
$$B_0 \sim 1.8B_r \exp(-\pi \frac{gap}{\lambda_0})$$

Application : Build a pure permanent magnet undulator with NdFeB Magnets ($B_r = 1.2 \text{ T}$)

Gap [mm]	B [T]	Period [mm]	Fundamental [keV] @ 6 GeV	Electron Energy [GeV] Fund = 15.2 keV
5	0.72	15	15.2	6.0
10	0.49	22	10.3	7.3
15	0.38	28	8.2	8.2

Undulator with K=1

III, 13/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Flexible Chambers



III, 14/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

In Vacuum Undulators



- Developed at NSLS, Spring-8, ESRF
- Required by many new light sources (SLS,CLS,LBL,Diamond,Soleil,..)
- Open the gap during injection if needed
- Allow a minimum magnetic gap of 3 to 6 mm



SPring-8 In-Vacuum Undulator

ESRF In-vacuum Undulator



Figure 5.26 3D view of an ESRF in-vacuum undulator.

III, 16/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Electro-Magnet Undulator



-Limited by the electrical power requirement and associated cooling of the coils : Current Densities < 10-15 A/mm²

-Only interesting for long periods

SRC Electro-magnet Undulator (Wisconsin USA)



http://www.src.wisc.edu/research/highlights/undulator/default.html

III, 18/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Delta Superconducting Wiggler



High field : up to 10 T => Shift the spectrum to higher energies
Sophisticated engineering & high cost

III, 19/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

SRS Superconducting Wiggler



III, 20/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Local Field Measuring Bench



Optimized for fast longitudinal field scanning :

- Optical & Laser Encoder
- 3-axis Hall probe sensor
- On-the-fly scanning 2000pts/m
- Measuring length 2-10 m
- Essential for phase shimming

Field Integral Measuring Bench



Figure 5.16 View of a field integral measuring bench.

- Absolute accuracy < 10 Gcm
- Essential for multipole shimming

Magnetic Field Errors of Permanent Magnet Insertion Devices :

- Originate from :
 - Non uniform magnetization of the magnet blocks (poles).
 - Dimensional and Positional errors of the poles and magnet blocks.
 - Interaction with environmental magnetic field
- Need to purchase highly uniformly magnetized blocs and
 - perform a systematic characterization
 - Perform a pairing of the blocks to cancel field integrals
 - but still insufficient.
- Type of Field Errors
 - Multipole Field Errors (Normal and skew dipole, quadrupole, sextupole,...).
 - Phase errors which reduce the emission on the high harmonic numbers

Undulator Shimming

• Mechanical : Moving permanent magnet or iron pole vertically or horizontally

- Magnetic : Add thin iron piece at the surface of the blocks
 - More precise and local
 - Field reduction

III, 24/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Magnetic shims



III, 25/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Field Integral and Multipole Shimming



III, 26/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Phase Error and Phase Shimming



Horizontal electric field produced on-axis by a single 6 GeV electron propagating through an undulator with a 34 mm period and a 0.7 T peak field.

Each pulse interfere constructively If $T_p = T$ for all p and for a wavelength so that $\lambda = 2T/n$ where n is an integer (harmonic number). Real undulators have small field errors which result in fluctuations of T_p . These are also called phase errors.

Assuming: T_p identically independently distributed for every p with : $\langle T_p \rangle = T, \ \langle (T_p - T)^2 \rangle = \sigma_T$

Then, it is a consequence of the Fourier Transform that :

$$\frac{d\Phi_n}{d\theta_x d\theta_z \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}} (0,0,\lambda_n) = \frac{d\Phi_n}{d\theta_x d\theta_z \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}} (0,0,\lambda_n)_{ideal} e^{-(n\pi\frac{\sigma_T}{T})^2}$$

independently of the number of period N

The effect is usually characterised by a rms phase error σ expressed

in degrees.
$$\sigma[\text{deg}] = \frac{1}{180} \frac{\sigma_T}{T}$$

On-axis angular flux, flux and brilliance are multiplied by $e^{-(n\pi\frac{\sigma_T}{T})^2}$

Phase error [deg]	6	1
Harmonic #		
1	0.99	1.00
5	0.76	0.99
9	0.41	0.98
13	0.16	0.95

III, 28/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

A Practical Example of Phase Shimming of an ESRF Undulator : (period 35 mm, N= 46 periods, Gap=11 mm)



III, 29/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.

Remarks on Phase Errors

- Small phase errors may have a large impact on the undulator spectrum in particular on the high harmonic numbers. The associated magnetic field errors can be detected on the field plot where they appear as period and peak field fluctuations. Some of them (generating internal angles) may also be visible from the wandering of trajectory.

- Emittance and energy spread induce a broadening of the peak and may mask a part of the spectral flux lost due to phase errors. Nevertheless, in most cases, even with large emittance and energy spread, low phase error undulators perform much better on the high harmonics.

- They are important for long undulators or undulators intended to be used on a high harmonic number

- They are usually not important in undulators used on the fundamental of the spectrum such as in Free Electron Lasers

III, 30/30, P. Elleaume, CAS, Brunnen July 2-9, 2003.