



Laser Wakefield Experiments

Stuart P D Mangles John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science Imperial College London

Laser wakefield accelerators around the World



- -There are many labs around the world doing laser driven wakefield experiments
- -This lecture could never cover them all!

Laser Wakefield Accelerator Experiments can be split into a few broad categories

electron beam energy frontier

stability frontier

characterising beam properties

> diagnosing physics of wakefields

applications of wakefield accelerators

Laser Wakefield Accelerator Experiments can be split into a few broad categories

electron beam energy frontier

stability frontier

characterising beam properties

> diagnosing physics of wakefields

applications of wakefield accelerators

Fast progress in electron beam energy



- Electron beam from laser wakefield accelerators has been going up steadily since 2004 results.
 - How has this been achieved?

Electron Acceleration by a Wake Field Forced by an Intense Ultrashort Laser Pulse

 V. Malka,^{1*} S. Fritzler,¹ E. Lefebvre,² M.-M. Aleonard,³ F. Burgy,¹ J.-P. Chambaret,¹ J.-F. Chemin,³ K. Krushelnick,⁴ G. Malka,³
 S. P. D. Mangles,⁴ Z. Najmudin,⁴ M. Pittman,¹ J.-P. Rousseau,¹ J.-N. Scheurer,³ B. Walton,⁴ A. E. Dangor⁴

Plasmas are an attractive medium for the next generation of particle accelerators because they can support electric fields greater than several hundred gigavolts per meter. These accelerating fields are generated by relativistic plasma waves—space-charge oscillations—that can be excited when a highintensity laser propagates through a plasma. Large currents of background electrons can then be trapped and subsequently accelerated by these relativistic waves. In the forced laser wake field regime, where the laser pulse length is of the order of the plasma wavelength, we show that a gain in maximum electron energy of up to 200 megaelectronvolts can be achieved, along with an improvement in the quality of the ultrashort electron beam.



V. Malka, Science, 298, 1596-1600 (2002)

- Extends to 200 MeV
- $n_e = 2.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 3 mm gas jet
- P = 33 TW, "Salle Jaune" laser at LOA

а 10 ×103 tpC GeV-1 sr GeV electron beams from a 111 0 centimetre-scale accelerator 10 0.03 0.15 0.175 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 CeV W. P. LEEMANS^{1**}, B. NAGLER¹, A. J. GONSALVES², Cs. TÓTH¹, K. NAKAMURA^{1,3}, C. G. R. GEDDES¹, b E. ESAREY^{1*}, C. B. SCHROEDER¹ AND S. M. HOOKER² ×10⁸ (pC GeV⁻¹ sr⁻¹) 3 10 ¹Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ³University of Oxford, Clarendon Laboratory, Parks Road, Oxford 0X1 3PU, UK 15 0 ³Nuclear Professional School, University of Tokyo, 22-2 Shirane-shirakata, Tokai, Naka, Ibaraki 319-1188, Japan *Also at: Physics Department, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89667, USA Fermail: WPLeemans@bl.gov -100.15 0.175 0.3 0.03 0.4 0.8 0.6 1.0 GeV W.P. Leemans, Nature Physics, 2, 696-699 (2006) C 600 500 d 1-795 250 20 1-A20 200 0

0.40

0.45

0.50

GeV

0.60

0.90

0.95

1.00

GeV

1.05

1.10

0.55

• 1.0 GeV

- $n_e = 4.3 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 33 mm capillary discharge waveguide
- P = 40 TW, TREX laser at LBNL

Self-Guided Laser Wakefield Acceleration beyond 1 GeV Using Ionization-Induced Injection

C. E. Clayton, ^{1,a} J. E. Ralph,² F. Albert,² R. A. Fonseca,³ S. H. Glenzer,² C. Joshi,¹ W. Lu,¹ K. A. Marsh,¹ S. F. Martins,³
 W. B. Mori,¹ A. Pak,¹ F. S. Tsung,¹ B. B. Pollock,^{2,4} J. S. Ross,^{2,4} L. O. Silva,³ and D. H. Froula²
 ¹Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA
 ²L-399, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, California 94551, USA
 ³GoLP/IPFN-LA, Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisboa, Portugal
 ⁴MAE Department, University of California, San Diego, La Joila, California 92093, USA (Received 23 April 2010; published 1 September 2010)

The concepts of matched-beam, self-guided laser propagation and ionization-induced injection have been combined to accelerate electrons up to 1.45 GeV energy in a laser wakefield accelerator. From the spatial and spectral content of the laser light exiting the plasma, we infer that the 60 fs, 110 TW laser pulse is guided and excites a wake over the entire 1.3 cm length of the gas cell at densities below 1.5×10^{18} cm⁻³. High-energy electrons are observed only when small (3%) amounts of CO₂ gas are added to the He gas. Computer simulations confirm that it is the *K*-shell electrons of oxygen that are ionized and injected into the wake and accelerated to beyond 1 GeV energy.

C. Clayton, Phys. Rev. Lett, 105, 105003 (2010)



• Extends to 1.45 GeV

- $n_e = 4.3 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 1.3 cm gas cell
- P = 220 TW Callisto Laser at LLNL

ARTICLE

Received 2 Dec 2012 | Accepted 8 May 2013 | Published 11 Jun 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2988

OPEN

Quasi-monoenergetic laser-plasma acceleration of electrons to 2 GeV

Xiaoming Wang¹, Rafal Zgadzaj¹, Neil Fazel¹, Zhengyan Li¹, S. A. Yi¹, Xi Zhang¹, Watson Henderson¹, Y.-Y. Chang¹, R. Korzekwa¹, H.-E. Tsai¹, C.-H. Pai¹, H. Quevedo¹, G. Dyer¹, E. Gaul¹, M. Martinez¹, A. C. Bernstein¹, T. Borger¹, M. Spinks¹, M. Donovan¹, V. Khudik¹, G. Shvets¹, T. Ditmire¹ & M. C. Downer¹



- •2 GeV
- $n_e = 4.8 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 7 cm gas cell
- P = 1000 TW "Texas PetaWatt" at University of Texas

i CeV Regim

Enhancement of Electron Energy to the Multi-GeV Regime by a Dual-Stage Laser-Wakefield Accelerator Pumped by Petawatt Laser Pulses

Hyung Tack Kim,^{1,2} Ki Hong Pac,¹ Hyuk Jin Cha,¹ J Jong Kim,^{1,2} Tac Jun Yu,^{1,2} Jac Hee Sung,^{1,2}
 Seong Ku Lee,^{1,2} Tae Moon Jeong,^{1,2,1} and Jongmin Lee^{1,3}
 ¹Advanced Photonics Research Institute, GIST, Gwangja 500-712, Korea
 ²Center for Relativistic Laser Science, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Gwangja 500-712, Korea (Received 17 July 2013; published 15 October 2013)

Laser-wakefield acceleration offers the promise of a compact electron accelerator for generating a multi-GeV electron beam using the huge field gradient induced by an intense laser pulse, compared to conventional rf accelerators. However, the energy and quality of the electron beam from the laser-wakefield accelerator have been limited by the power of the driving laser pulses and interaction properties in the target medium. Recent progress in laser technology has resulted in the realization of a petawatt (PW) femtosecond laser, which offers new capabilities for research on laser-wakefield acceleration. Here, we present a significant increase in laser-driven electron energy to the multi-GeV level by utilizing a 30-fs, 1-PW laser system. In particular, a dual-stage laser-wakefield acceleration scheme (injector and accelerator scheme) was applied to boost electron energies to over 3 GeV with a single FW laser pulse. Three-dimensional particle-in-cell simulations corroborate the multi-GeV electron generation from the dual-stage laser-wakefield accelerator from the dual-stage laser-wakefield accelerator driven by PW laser pulses.



H.T. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 165002 (2013)

• 3 GeV

- $n_e = 8 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 4 + 10 mm dual gas jet
- P = 1 PW laser at APRI

Accepted Paper

Multi-GeV electron beams from capillary-discharge-guided subpetawatt laser pulses in the self-trapping regime

Phys. Rev. Lett.

W. P. Leemans, A. J. Gonsalves, H.-S. Mao, K. Nakamura, C. Benedetti, C. B. Schroeder, Cs. Tóth, J. Daniels, D. E. Mittelberger, S. S. Bulanov, J.-L. Vay, C. G. R. Geddes, and E. Esarey

Accepted 21 October 2014

ABSTRACT

Multi-GeV electron beams with energy up to 4.2~GeV, 6~\% rms energy spread, 6\\pico\coulomb charge, and 0.3\,\mill\radian rms divergence have been produced from a 9\,\cent\meter-long capillary discharge waveguide with a plasma density of \approx 7 \times 10¹⁷\,\rm{cm}-3, powered by laser pulses with peak power up to 0.3~PW. Preformed plasma waveguides allow the use of lower laser power compared to unguided plasma structures to achieve the same electron beam energy. Detailed comparison between experiment and simulation indicates the sensitivity in this regime of the guiding and acceleration in the plasma structure to input intensity, density, and near-field laser mode profile.



Electron beam spectrum

•4 GeV

• $n_e = 7 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 9 cm capillary discharge waveguide

• P = 300 TW "Bella" at LBNL

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 122, 084801 (2019)

Editors' Suggestion Featured in Physics

Petawatt Laser Guiding and Electron Beam Acceleration to 8 GeV in a Laser-Heated Capillary Discharge Waveguide

A. J. Gonsalves,^{1,*} K. Nakamura,¹ J. Daniels,¹ C. Benedetti,¹ C. Pieronek,^{1,2} T. C. H. de Raadt,¹ S. Steinke,¹ J. H. Bin,¹ S. S. Bulanov,¹ J. van Tilborg,¹ C. G. R. Geddes,¹ C. B. Schroeder,^{1,2} Cs. Tóth,¹ E. Esarey,¹ K. Swanson,^{1,2} L. Fan-Chiang,^{1,2} G. Bagdasarov,^{3,4} N. Bobrova,^{3,5} V. Gasilov,^{3,4} G. Korn,⁶ P. Sasorov,^{3,6} and W. P. Leemans^{1,2,7} ¹Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ²University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ³Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics RAS, Moscow 125047, Russia ⁴National Research Nuclear University MEPhI (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute), Moscow 115409, Russia ⁵Faculty of Nuclear Science and Physical Engineering, CTU in Prague, Brehova 7, Prague 1, Czech Republic ⁶Institute of Physics ASCR, v.v.i. (FZU), ELI-Beamlines Project, 182 21 Prague, Czech Republic

(Received 7 December 2018; revised manuscript received 30 January 2019; published 25 February 2019)

Guiding of relativistically intense laser pulses with peak power of 0.85 PW over 15 diffraction lengths was demonstrated by increasing the focusing strength of a capillary discharge waveguide using laser inverse bremsstrahlung heating. This allowed for the production of electron beams with quasimonoe-nergetic peaks up to 7.8 GeV, double the energy that was previously demonstrated. Charge was 5 pC at 7.8 GeV and up to 62 pC in 6 GeV peaks, and typical beam divergence was 0.2 mrad.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett 122.084801



•7.8 GeV

• $n_e = 7 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 9 cm capillary discharge waveguide

• P = 850 TW "Bella" at LBNL

But science isn't about collecting World Records.... Can we extract some physics from the data trends?



Collection of data from a variety of experiments

- (not just the record breakers, but probably the highest beam each experiment was capable of producing)
 - Trend is: higher laser power = higher electron energy
 - •What is physics behind this?

Electron energy is limited by dephasing – move to lower densities



 Beam energy, W_{max}, is inversely proportional to plasma density as expected for dephasing

Electron energy is limited by dephasing – move to lower densities



 Beam energy, W_{max}, is inversely proportional to plasma density as expected for dephasing

Electron energy is limited by dephasing – move to lower densities



• In 3D non-linear wakes expect $W_{max} \propto a_0$ [Wei Lu, PRSTAB 2007]

• **But** these experiments were not at fixed value for vacuum a_0

-Implies that plasma "prefers" (pulse evolution) $a_0 \approx 3$

Electron energy is limited by dephasing – move to lower densities and longer accelerators



Accelerator length increases for lower density experiments

 consistent with dephasing limit

Electron energy is limited by dephasing – move to lower densities and longer accelerators



• Accelerator length increases for lower density experiments

data lies close to dephasing length (even for simplest linear regime expression)

Electron energy is limited by dephasing – lower densities need more laser power

Power = intensity × area $\propto a_0^2 w_0^2$



 Driving large amplitude plasma waves at lower density needs more laser power

 So we expect an inverse relationship between the laser power used to drive the experiment and the density where highest energy beams are reported Electron energy is limited by dephasing – lower densities need more laser power



• We do indeed see this inverse scaling

- can this data tell us anything else?

Electron energy is limited by dephasing – lower densities need more laser power



• We do indeed see this inverse scaling

- can this data tell us anything else?

To guide or not to guide?



 Data shows that experiments in pre-formed plasma structures are "best" performers

- i.e. for a given laser power the highest energy beams produced come from guided experiments
- -one (common) explanation is that guiding structure is less lossy

Is injection mechanism the same?

Laser-Driven Acceleration of Electrons in a Partially Ionized Plasma Channel

T. P. Rowlands-Rees,¹ C. Kamperidis,² S. Kneip,² A. J. Gonsalves,^{1,*} S. P. D. Mangles,² J. G. Gallacher,³ E. Brunetti,³ T. Ibbotson,¹ C. D. Murphy,⁴ P. S. Foster,⁴ M. J. V. Streeter,⁴ F. Budde,⁵ P. A. Norreys,⁴ D. A. Jaroszynski,³ K. Krushelnick,² Z. Najmudin,² and S. M. Hooker¹

> ¹University of Oxford, Clarendon Laboratory, Parks Road, Oxford OXI 3PU, United Kingdom ²Imperial College, Blackett Laboratory, Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2BW, United Kingdom ³University of Strathclyde, John Anderson Building, 107 Rottenrow, Glasgow G4 ONG, United Kingdom ²Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, OX11 0QX, United Kingdom ⁵Friedrich-Schiller-University, PF 07737 Jena, Germany (Received 19 November 2007; published 14 March 2008)

> The generation of quasimonoenergetic electron beams, with energies up to 200 MeV, by a laser-plasma accelerator driven in a hydrogen-filled capillary discharge waveguide is investigated. Injection and acceleration of electrons is found to depend sensitively on the delay between the onset of the discharge current and the arrival of the laser pulse. A comparison of spectroscopic and interferometric measurements suggests that injection is assisted by laser ionization of atoms or ions within the channel.

T Rowlands-Rees, PRL, 100, 105005 (2008)

• But is that the final answer?

a 200 () 100 100 0.0 900 (a.u.) (ع 800 × 700 700 a 600 Ö n_e(r,t) (10¹⁸ cm⁻) С ľ, 2 0 -2 n_e(0) (x10¹⁸cm³) 10 200 50 100 150 250 delay t (ns)

1.0

⊢ 0.5

300

- -In 2008 an Oxford-led experiment at Astra in UK showed that ionisation injection can play a role inside capillary discharge waveguides
- Could injection mechanism be the reason behind better performance?

To inject or not to inject?



- some ionisation injection experiments also lie at upper edge of distribution
 - data too noisy for a definitive answer, but an interesting research question

Self-injection threshold model



Simple model for self-injection threshold [Mangles PRSTAB 2012]

- •takes into account pulse evolution (self-focusing and compression)
- looks to see if bubble will reach size (amplitude) needed for SI
- Experimental data where threshold is specifically reported agrees
 what about this larger data set?

Self-injection threshold model



• many self-injection experiments (mostly) lie above this

 other injection method experiments often lie below this threshold

Electron beam charge



• Electron beam charge very variable between experiments

- different measurement techniques?
- different focal spot qualities?

But only energy within the focal spot is captured and drives the wakefield



- Most (not all) groups only quote the *total* power for their laser system (i.e. total energy / pulse duration)
- But some of this is wasted and not coupled into the wake -e.g. Mangles PRSTAB 2012, Genoud POP 2013)
 - -this is possibly why there is so much noise in the scaling plots

What are the next big directions going to be?



• Turn up the power, turn up the energy!



-BUT remember its not really the power that is important... pump depletion in blow-out regime is $L_{pd} \simeq \frac{n_e}{n_c} c \tau_L$

- compare this with simple expression for dephasing length $L_{dp} \simeq \frac{n_e}{n_c} \lambda_p$ - so, to get to dephasing energy we need pulse lengths $c\tau \simeq \lambda_p$ » to reach higher energy we need high energy laser pulses





- Apollon laser in France
 - -150 J; 15 fs (10 PW)
 - -1 shot per minute
 - multiple beams
 - -OPCPA front end with TiSapph amplifiers



• CALA laser facility at MPQ in Germany

-ATLAS 3000

•50 - 75 J, 25 fs (2 - 3 PW)

•1 Hz

-PFS & PFS Pro

- 100 mJ, 5 fs (20 TW) or 1 J, 5 fs (200 TW)



• ELI Nuclear Physics (Romania)

 $-2 \times 10 \text{ PW}$

))))ei

-1.3 PW demonstrated





ELI Beamlines (Czech Rep.) -10 PW, 1.5 kJ in 150 fs





OPAL, Rochester USA -75 PW (1.5 kJ, 20 fs)





• CoRels Korea

-30 fs, 1.5 PW dual laser system

What are the next big directions going to be?

more power, more energy



- SULF, Shanghai China
 - -5.3 PW, 120 fs

• Station of Extreme Light, 100 PW expected online 2023

What are the next big directions going to be? – applications, applications, applications

See talk by Zulfikar Najmudin!

What are the next big directions going to be? – increasing the repetition rate

- very high repetition rates needed for many applications
 (parameter scans, statistics, accumulation of low signals)
- e.g. lights sources, colliders
 - -can we every get to > 10 kHz needed at the very high laser powers needed?
 - -Ti:Sapph and OPCPA lasers are < 0.1% efficient, at > 10 kHz that is an expensive electricity bill
- is it time for a new approach?

What are the next big directions going to be? – increasing the repetition rate



- High rep rates are easier for lower pulse energies
 - -can we combine many lower energy pulses to get make a high rep rate LWFA?
 - -highly efficient diode pumping can be used, e.g. fibre lasers can have > 20%
- Three approaches (that I know of)
 - -Coherent combination (N lasers coherently combine to make one big pulse) [ICAN project]
 - -Incoherent combination (N lasers incoherently combine to make one big pulse) [LBNL, Schroeder et al Phys Plasma 2014]
 - -Resonant excitation (separate pulses buy plasma period) [JAI + Jena, Hooker et al J Phys B 2014]

What are the next big directions going to be? - staging to beat depletion: a TeV collider?



Leemans & Esarey, Physics Today 2009



Schroeder et al PRSTAB 2010

Length of a 1 TeV (CoM) laser plasma collider

What are the next big directions going to be? - staging to beat depletion: a TeV collider?



Energy (MeV)

Summary

• LWFA are a rapidly growing area

- -I gave you an overview of the high energy frontier
 - •there's much more to LWFA than that though (see other talks on diagnostics, applications etc)
- I showed you some of the trends in the experimental data
 - higher energy beams needs lower density plasma, longer accelerator & higher power laser
- -Future directions:
 - energy
 - •repetition rate
 - applications
 - •staging -> colliders

