# CAS: Acceleration of electrons in plasma II

Alec Thomas University of Michigan

## **Topics covered**

- Parameters for wakefield drivers
- Linear and nonlinear wakes
- Limits on Maximum energy gain of an electron beam
  - Dephasing
  - Depletion
- Wake Hamiltonian
- Wake phase space

- Trapped/untrapped orbits
- Phase space rotation
- Transformer ratio
- Beamloading and energy spread considerations
- Transverse emittance
- Betatron oscillations in wakefields

### Recap: Summary of scalings (LWFA)

Lu PRZ 2007

|               | $a_0$ | $k_p w_0$     | $oldsymbol{\epsilon}_{LW}$ | $k_p L_d$  | $k_p L_{pd}$  | $\lambda_W$                   | ${m \gamma}_{\phi}$                            | $\Delta W/(mc^2)$                                  |
|---------------|-------|---------------|----------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Linear:       | <1    | $2\pi$        | $a_{0}^{2}$                | $\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2}$                        | $\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2} \frac{\omega_p \tau}{a_0^2}$ | $\frac{2\pi}{k_p}$            | $\frac{\omega_0}{\omega_p}$                    | $a_0^2 rac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2}$               |
| 1D Nonlinear: | >1    | $2\pi$        | $a_0$                      | $4a_0^2rac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2}$                   | $rac{1}{3} rac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2} \omega_p \tau$     | $\frac{4a_0}{k_p}$            | $\sqrt{a_0} \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_p}$         | $4a_0^2rac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2}$               |
| 3D Nonlinear: | >2    | $2\sqrt{a_0}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{a_0}$    | $\frac{4}{3} \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2} \sqrt{a_0}$ | $rac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2}\omega_p	au$                   | $\sqrt{a_0} \frac{2\pi}{k_p}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_p}$ | $\frac{2}{3}\frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2}a_0$      |
| Ref. [24]:    | >20   | $\sqrt{a_0}$  | $\sqrt{a_0}$               |  | $a_0 rac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2} \omega_p 	au$             |                               |  | $rac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_p^2}a_0^{3/2}\omega_p	au$ |



$$\begin{aligned} L_d \propto 2\gamma_p^2 \frac{c}{\omega_p} & \text{if } y_2 \\ \Delta \gamma m c^2 \propto 2\gamma_p^2 \frac{e\Delta \phi}{mc^2} & \text{if } \\ \gamma_p(\text{laser}) \sim \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_p} & \text{if } \\ \end{aligned}$$



#### Plasma-based accelerators for future colliders





#### **Recap:**

## Hamiltonian for wakefield

• Start with Hamiltonian for a system that depends on the wake phase coordinate  $\xi = x - v_p t$ 

$$H = \sqrt{(mc^2)^2 + p_x^2 c^2 + (p_\perp - eA_\perp(\xi))^2 c^2} - e\phi(\xi) - p_x v_p$$

• We can show that this is the correct Hamiltonian for the coordinates  $\xi$ , z,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$ 

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \qquad \qquad \frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}$$

## Wake phase space

 Consider the possible particle trajectories described by the Hamiltonian by solving for p<sub>x</sub>

$$p_x c = \gamma_p^2 (H + \phi) \left( \beta_p \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2 c^4 + e^2 A^2 c^2}{\gamma_p^2 (H + \phi)^2}} \right)$$

 Note we have assumed conservation of transverse canonical momentum

$$p_{\perp} = p_{\perp 0} = 0 \quad (p_{\perp} - eA) = 0$$
kinetic rombu

## Wake phase space

• To illustrate, we calculate numerical solutions of the nonlinear Poisson equation (other lectures for derivation):

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \xi^2} = \gamma_p^2 k_p^2 \left( \beta_p \left( 1 - \frac{1+a^2}{\gamma_p^2 \left(1+\phi\right)^2} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right)$$

with gaussian driver field

$$a = a_0 \exp\left(-4\ln 2\frac{\omega_p^2 \xi^2}{\pi^2 c^2}\right)$$

### Wake potential, field, density

a= O.S



## Wake phase space



Wake phase space for different driver amplitudes

- As the driver amplitude increases the potential changes
  - from sinusoidal (with near circular orbits)
  - to inverted parabola (with parabolic forward orbit)



Wake phase space for different driver amplitudes

- As the driver amplitude increases the potential changes
  - from sinusoidal (with near circular orbits)
  - to inverted parabola (with parabolic forward orbit)



### Wake phase space: Injection / trapping







## Thermal / ionization induced trapping



## Energy spread compression by phase rotation



## Energy spread compression by phase rotation



Show spectrum calculated from Hamiltonian

orbit inside separatrix each orbit is described by S(p-p(s,h))f(h) <sup>1</sup><sup>4</sup> f(h) S(p-y(s,h))ds



#### Analytic spectrum for continuous injection

$$f(h) = f_0 \exp(-h^2/\Delta h^2)$$







#### Hussein Sci. Rep. 2019

## Depletion limited energy gain and beamloading

## **Depletion length**

- The length over which the driver energy is deposited in the plasma
- Inherently complicated as depletion affects the beam ulletintensity / erodes the head / changes the driver velocity / wakefield amplitude
- $\frac{U_L}{\varepsilon_0 E_x^2 L_{pd} w_0^2} \sim 1$ We can estimate it in the following way:  $\bigcirc$  $\gamma_b mc^2 \sim eE_x L_{pd}$
- For a beam estimate from average decelerating field experienced by the bunch  $\bullet$
- For a laser it is more complicated can estimate using average field energy density of wake

## **Transformer ratio**

• In PWFA one important definition is the transformer ratio

wake field of single electron charge sheet

- We accelerate a "witness" bunch in the wake of the first but this has its own wakefield
- Total wake is linear superposition of wake contributions from drive bunch and witness bunch



- Assume two short bunches at  $x-v_pt = 0$  and  $x-v_pt = w$
- Each *individual* electron induces a wakefield  $E_{x1}(\xi)$
- Total wakefield is linear superposition of  $E_{x1}(\xi)$

 $\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_{b} mc^{2} = -N_{b} e E_{z}(0) c$  $\frac{d \mathcal{Y}_w m c^2}{d t} = -N_b e E_z(w) - N_w e E_z(w)$ 

 $\frac{d}{dt} \left( N_b \mathcal{Y}_b mc^2 + N_w \mathcal{Y}_w mc^2 \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{Y}_b}{\partial t} \right) \right)$ 

 $N_{h}^{2} e E_{z_{1}}(0) + N_{b} N_{w} e E_{z_{1}}(w) + N_{w}^{2} e E_{z_{1}}(0) / 0$ EZ, (w) must regative for acceleration (N<sup>2</sup><sub>b</sub>+N<sup>2</sup>)eE<sub>z</sub>(0) > N<sub>b</sub>N<sub>w</sub>e/E<sub>z</sub>(w) Must be force for any N<sub>b</sub>N<sub>w</sub>  $= |E_{z_1}(w)| \leq ZE_{z_1}(o)$ 

 $E_{z_{au}}\left(2N_{b}-N_{w}\right)E_{z_{i}}(0)$ 

 $L_{dp} = \frac{\gamma_b mc^2}{eE_{z_1}(o)N_b}$ 

 $\Delta V_w mc^2 = eE_Z Lpl \leq (ZN_b - N_w) gm^2}_{N_b}$  $R_{T} = \frac{\Delta \mathcal{J}_{w}}{\gamma_{b}} = Z - \frac{N_{w}}{N_{b}}$ 

 $R_{T} = \frac{|E_{z}(w)|}{|E_{z}(w)|}$ 

### **Transformer ratio**

• The transformer ratio is

$$R_T = \frac{\Delta \gamma_w}{\Delta \gamma_b} = 2 - \frac{N_w}{N_b} \qquad \qquad R_T = \frac{|E_x(w)|}{|E_x(b)|}$$

- This upper limit can be overcome by asymmetric bunches
- For a wedge bunch of length L

$$R_T \sim \frac{L}{\Lambda_0}$$

W Lu PAC Proceedings 2009



## Energy transfer efficiency

• The overall energy transfer efficiency is the ratio weighted by the bunch charges

$$\eta = \frac{N_w \Delta \gamma_w}{N_b \Delta \gamma_b} \le \frac{N_w}{N_b} \left(2 - \frac{N_w}{N_b}\right)$$

• Which has maximum efficiency approaching 1 for  $N_w = N_b$  (but this is pointless!)

## Beamloading

- We have ignored the effect of the fields of the witness bunch so far
- This is ok if the witness bunch charge is small, but if the bunch charge per unit length approaches that of the plasma density perturbation, its effect will be significant
- It is possible to flatten the field completely with an appropriately shaped bunch - beamloading
- This will result in the electron beam being accelerated with equal field strength preserves beam energy spread



**Tzoufras Phys. Plasmas 2009** 

## Summary

• Having an accelerating field structure move at v<sub>p</sub> results in upshift in maximum energy gain and accelerator length by dephasing by  $2\gamma_p^2$ 

- For laser driven case, since  $\gamma_p \propto \omega_0/\omega_p$ , accelerator length scales as  $\gamma_0^{3/2}$  and maximum energy as  $\gamma_0^{3/2}$
- This means the accelerating gradient scales as  $\sqrt[4]{n_0}$  which implies staging for high energy gain

## Summary

- For the beam driven case, the maximum energy gain is limited by driver energy loss under realistic conditions
- The acceleration length scales as the beam energy and inversely to the decelerating field strength

$$L_{pd} \sim \frac{\gamma_b mc^2}{e|E_x(\mathbf{r})|}$$

• And the maximum energy gain is limited by the transformer ratio

$$R_T = \frac{|E_z(w)|}{|E_z(b)|}$$

## Summary

- The energy spread of the accelerated beam is affected by
  - Phase space rotation
  - The fields of the bunch (Beam loading for flat field)
- And can result in low energy spread for correct extraction timing / accelerated charge profile / localisation of trapping in wake phase

Thank you!