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SELF FIELDS AND WAKE FIELDS

The realm of collective effects

- Direct self fields
- Image self fields
- Wake fields

Space Charge
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Trace space of an ideal laminar beam

\[ x' = \frac{dx}{dz} = \frac{p_x}{p_z} \quad p_x \ll p_z \]
Trace space of a laminar beam
Trace space of non laminar beam
Geometric emittance: $\varepsilon_g$

Ellipse equation: $\gamma x^2 + 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2 = \varepsilon_g$

Twiss parameters: $\beta \gamma - \alpha^2 = 1$ $\beta' = -2\alpha$

Ellipse area: $A = \pi \varepsilon_g$
Fig. 17: Filamentation of mismatched beam in non-linear force
Trace space evolution

No space charge => cross over

With space charge => no cross over
Define rms emittance:

\[ \gamma x^2 + 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2 = \varepsilon_{rms} \]

such that:

\[ \sigma_x = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\beta \varepsilon_{rms}} \]

\[ \sigma_{x'} = \sqrt{\langle x'^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\gamma \varepsilon_{rms}} \]

Since:

\[ \alpha = -\frac{\beta'}{2} \]

\[ \beta = \frac{\langle x^2 \rangle}{\varepsilon_{rms}} \]

it follows:

\[ \alpha = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{rms}} \frac{d}{dz} \langle x^2 \rangle = -\frac{\langle xx' \rangle}{\varepsilon_{rms}} = -\frac{\sigma_{xx'}}{\varepsilon_{rms}} \]
\[ \sigma_x = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\beta \varepsilon_{rms}} \]
\[ \sigma_{x'} = \sqrt{\langle x'^2 \rangle} = \sqrt{\gamma \varepsilon_{rms}} \]
\[ \sigma_{xx'} = \langle xx' \rangle = -\alpha \varepsilon_{rms} \]

It holds also the relation: \[ \gamma \beta - \alpha^2 = 1 \]

Substituting \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) we get \[ \frac{\sigma_{x'}^2}{\varepsilon_{rms}} \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\varepsilon_{rms}} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{xx'}}{\varepsilon_{rms}} \right)^2 = 1 \]

We end up with the definition of rms emittance in terms of the second moments of the distribution:

\[ \varepsilon_{rms} = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 \sigma_{x'}^2 - \sigma_{xx'}^2} = \sqrt{\left( \langle x^2 \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle - \langle xx' \rangle^2 \right)} \]
What does rms emittance tell us about phase space distributions under linear or non-linear forces acting on the beam?

Assuming a generic $x, x'$ correlation of the type: $x' = Cx^n$

$$\varepsilon_{rms}^2 = C^2 \left( \langle x^2 \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle - \langle xx' \rangle^2 \right)$$

- When $n = 1 \implies \varepsilon_{rms} = 0$
- When $n \neq 1 \implies \varepsilon_{rms} \neq 0$
Constant under linear transformation only

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \langle x^2 \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle - \langle xx' \rangle^2 = 2 \langle xx' \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle + 2 \langle x^2 \rangle \langle x' \rangle \langle x'' \rangle - 2 \langle xx'' \rangle \langle xx' \rangle = 0
\]

For linear transformations, \( x'' = -k_x^2 x \), and the right-hand side of the equation is

\[
2k_x^2 \langle x^2 \rangle \langle xx' \rangle - 2 \langle x^2 \rangle \langle xx' \rangle k_x^2 = 0,
\]

so

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \langle x^2 \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle - \langle xx' \rangle^2 = 0
\]

And without acceleration:

\[
x' = \frac{p_x}{p_z}
\]
Normalized rms emittance: $\varepsilon_{n,rms}$

Canonical transverse momentum: $p_x = p_z x' = m_o c \beta \gamma x'$  \hspace{1cm} $p_z \approx p$

$$
\varepsilon_{n,rms} = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 \sigma_{p_x}^2 - \sigma_{xp_x}^2} = \frac{1}{m_o c} \sqrt{\left(\langle x^2 \rangle \langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle xp_x \rangle^2 \right) \approx \langle \beta \gamma \rangle \varepsilon_{rms}}
$$

Liouville theorem: the density of particles $n$, or the volume $V$ occupied by a given number of particles in phase space $(x,p_x,y,p_y,z,p_z)$ remains invariant under conservative forces.

$$
\frac{dn}{dt} = 0
$$

It hold also in the projected phase spaces $(x,p_x),(y,p_y),(z,p_z)$ provided that there are no couplings
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Envelope Equation without Acceleration

Now take the derivatives:

\[
\frac{d\sigma_x}{dz} = \frac{d}{dz} \sqrt{\left< x^2 \right>} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_x} \frac{d}{dz} \left< x^2 \right> = \frac{1}{2\sigma_x} 2 \left< xx' \right> = \frac{\sigma_{xx'}}{\sigma_x}
\]

\[
\frac{d^2 \sigma_x}{dz^2} = \frac{d}{dz} \frac{\sigma_{xx'}}{\sigma_x} = \frac{1}{\sigma_x} \frac{d}{dz} \sigma_{xx'} - \frac{\sigma_{xx'}}{\sigma_x^3} = \frac{1}{\sigma_x} \left( \left< x'^2 \right> - \left< xx'' \right> \right) - \frac{\sigma_{xx'}}{\sigma_x^3} = \frac{\sigma_{xx'}^2 + \left< xx'' \right>}{\sigma_x} - \frac{\sigma_{xx'}^2}{\sigma_x^3}
\]

And simplify:

\[
\sigma''_x = \frac{\sigma_x^2 \sigma_{xx'}^2 - \sigma_{xx'}^2}{\sigma_x^3} - \frac{\left< xx'' \right>}{\sigma_x} = \frac{\varepsilon_{rms}^2}{\sigma_x^3} + \frac{\left< xx'' \right>}{\sigma_x}
\]

We obtain the rms envelope equation in which the rms emittance enters as defocusing pressure like term.

\[
\sigma''_x - \frac{\left< xx'' \right>}{\sigma_x} = \frac{\varepsilon_{rms}^2}{\sigma_x^3}
\]
Assuming that each particle is subject only to a linear focusing force, without acceleration:
\[ x'' + k_x^2 x = 0 \]

take the average over the entire particle ensemble \( \langle xx'' \rangle = -k_x^2 \langle x^2 \rangle \)

We obtain the rms envelope equation with a linear focusing force in which the rms emittance enters as defocusing pressure like term.

\[ \sigma_x'' - \frac{\langle xx'' \rangle}{\sigma_x} = \frac{\varepsilon_{rms}^2}{\sigma_x^3} \]

\[ \sigma_x'' + k_x^2 \sigma_x = \frac{\varepsilon_{rms}^2}{\sigma_x^3} \]
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Space Charge: what does it mean?

The net effect of the **Coulomb** interactions in a multi-particle system can be classified into two regimes:

1) **Collisional Regime** ==> dominated by **binary collisions** caused by close particle encounters ==> **Single Particle Effects**

2) **Space Charge Regime** ==> dominated by the **self field** produced by the particle distribution, which varies appreciably only over large distances compare to the average separation of the particles ==> **Collective Effects**
Continuous Uniform Cylindrical Beam Model

\[ \rho = \frac{I}{\pi R^2} \]
\[ J = \frac{I}{\pi R^2} \]

Gauss’ s law

\[ \int \varepsilon_o E \cdot dS = \int \rho dV \]

Ampere’s law

\[ \int B \cdot dl = \mu_o \int J \cdot dS \]

\[ E_r = \frac{I}{2\pi \varepsilon_o R^2} \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{for } \quad r \leq R \]

\[ E_r = \frac{I}{2\pi \varepsilon_o R} \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{for } \quad r > R \]

\[ B_\theta = \frac{\beta}{c} E_r \]

\[ B_\theta = \mu_o \frac{I r}{2\pi R^2} \quad \text{for } \quad r \leq R \]

\[ B_\theta = \mu_o \frac{I}{2\pi r} \quad \text{for } \quad r > R \]
Bunched Uniform Cylindrical Beam Model

\[ E_z(0,s,\gamma) = \frac{I}{2\pi\gamma\varepsilon_0 R^2 \beta c} h(s,\gamma) \]

\[ E_r(r,s,\gamma) = \frac{Ir}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 R^2 \beta c} g(s,\gamma) \]
Lorentz Force

\[ F_r = e \left( E_r - \beta c B_\theta \right) = e \left( 1 - \beta^2 \right) E_r = \frac{e E_r}{\gamma^2} \]

is a \textit{linear} function of the transverse coordinate.

\[ \frac{dp_r}{dt} = F_r = \frac{e E_r}{\gamma^2} = \frac{e I r}{2\pi \gamma^2 \varepsilon_0 R^2 \beta c} g(s, \gamma) \]

The attractive magnetic force, which becomes significant at high velocities, tends to compensate for the repulsive electric force. Therefore space charge defocusing is primarily a non-relativistic effect.

\[ F_x = \frac{e I x}{2\pi \gamma^2 \varepsilon_0 \sigma_x^2 \beta c} g(s, \gamma) \]
Envelop Equation with Space Charge

Single particle transverse motion:

\[
\frac{dp_x}{dt} = F_x, \quad p_x = p \ x' = \beta\gamma m_0 c x'
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(p x') = \beta c \frac{d}{dz}(p \ x') = F_x
\]

\[
x'' = \frac{F_x}{\beta c p}
\]

\[
F_x = \frac{e I x}{2\pi \gamma^2 \varepsilon_0 \sigma_x \beta c} g(s, \gamma)
\]

\[
x'' = \frac{k_{sc}(s, \gamma)}{\sigma_x^2} x
\]
Now we can calculate the term $\langle xx'' \rangle$ that enters in the envelope equation

$$\sigma''_x = \frac{\varepsilon_{rms}^2}{\sigma_x^3} - \frac{\langle xx'' \rangle}{\sigma_x}$$

$$x'' = \frac{k_{sc}}{\sigma_x^2} x$$

$$\langle xx'' \rangle = \frac{k_{sc}}{\sigma_x^2} \langle x^2 \rangle = k_{sc}$$

Including all the other terms the envelope equation reads:

$$\sigma''_x + k^2 \sigma_x = \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{(\beta \gamma)^2 \sigma_x^3} + \frac{k_{sc}}{\sigma_x}$$

**Space Charge De-focusing Force**

**Emittance Pressure**

**External Focusing Forces**

**Laminarity Parameter:**

$$\rho = \frac{(\beta \gamma)^2 k_{sc} \sigma_x^2}{\varepsilon_n^2}$$
The beam undergoes two regimes along the accelerator

\[ \sigma''_x + k^2 \sigma_x = \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{(\beta \gamma)^2 \sigma_x^3} + \frac{k_{sc}}{\sigma_x} \]

\( \rho \gg 1 \)  Laminar Beam

\[ \sigma''_x + k^2 \sigma_x = \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{(\beta \gamma)^2 \sigma_x^3} + \frac{k_{sc}}{\sigma_x} \]

\( \rho \ll 1 \)  Thermal Beam

Fig. 10: Particle trajectories in laminar beam

Fig. 11: Particle trajectories in non-zero emittance beam
**Laminarity parameter**

**Transition Energy \( (\rho=1) \)**

\[
\rho = \frac{2I\sigma^2}{\gamma I_A \epsilon_n^2} \equiv \frac{2I\sigma_q^2}{\gamma I_A \epsilon_n^2} = \frac{4I^2}{\gamma' \gamma^2 I_A \epsilon_n \gamma^2}
\]

\[
\gamma'_{tr} = \frac{2I}{\gamma' I_A \epsilon_n}
\]

**Potential space charge emittance growth**

- \( \epsilon_{th} = 0.6 \, \mu m \)
- \( E_{acc} = 25 \, MV/m \)

- \( I = 4 \, kA \)
- \( I = 1 \, kA \)
- \( I = 100 \, A \)

\( \rho = 1 \)
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Surface charge density

\[ \sigma = e n \delta x \]

Surface electric field

\[ E_x = -\sigma/\varepsilon_0 = -e n \delta x/\varepsilon_0 \]

Restoring force

\[ m \frac{d^2\delta x}{dt^2} = e E_x = -m \omega_p^2 \delta x \]

Plasma frequency

\[ \omega_p^2 = \frac{n e^2}{\varepsilon_0 m} \]

Plasma oscillations

\[ \delta x = (\delta x)_0 \cos(\omega_p t) \]
Neutral Plasma

- Oscillations
- Instabilities
- EM Wave propagation

Single Component Cold Relativistic Plasma

Magnetic focusing

Magnetic focusing
\[
\sigma'' + k_s^2 \sigma = \frac{k_{sc}(s, \gamma)}{\sigma}
\]

Equilibrium solution:
\[
\sigma_{eq}(s, \gamma) = \frac{\sqrt{k_{sc}(s, \gamma)}}{k_s}
\]

Small perturbation:
\[
\sigma(\zeta) = \sigma_{eq}(s) + \delta \sigma(s)
\]

\[
\delta \sigma''(s) + 2k_s^2 \delta \sigma(s) = 0
\]

Perturbed trajectories oscillate around the equilibrium with the same frequency but with different amplitudes:
\[
\sigma(s) = \sigma_{eq}(s) + \delta \sigma_o(s) \cos(\sqrt{2k_s}z)
\]

\[
k_s = \frac{qB}{2mc\beta\gamma}
\]
Emittance Oscillations are driven by space charge differential defocusing in core and tails of the beam
Envelope oscillations drive Emittance oscillations

\[ \sigma(z) \]

\[ \varepsilon(z) \]

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 \sigma_{x'}^2 - \sigma_{xx'}^2} = \sqrt{\left( \langle x^2 \rangle \langle x'^2 \rangle - \langle xx' \rangle^2 \right)} \approx |\sin(\sqrt{2k_s}z)| \]
Perturbed trajectories oscillate around the equilibrium with the same frequency but with different amplitudes.
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IMAGE SELF FIELDS

Direct self fields

Image self fields

Space Charge

Wake fields
Static Fields: conducting or magnetic screens

Let us consider a point charge \( q \) close to a conducting screen. The electrostatic field can be derived through the "image method". Since the metallic screen is an equi-potential plane, it can be removed provided that a "virtual" charge is introduced such that the potential is constant at the position of the screen.
A constant current in the free space produces circular magnetic field
If $\mu_r \approx 1$, the material, even in the case of a good conductor, does not affect the field lines.
In the case of cylindrical charge distribution, and $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the electric field lines are perpendicular to the direction of motion. The transverse fields intensity can be computed like in the static case, applying the Gauss and Ampere laws.

\[
\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2; \int_S E_r (2\pi r) \Delta z = \frac{\lambda(r) \Delta z}{\varepsilon_0}
\]

\[
E_r = \frac{\lambda(r)}{2\pi \varepsilon_0 r}; \quad B_\theta = \frac{\beta}{c} E_r
\]

\[
E_r(r) = \frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{r}{a^2}; \quad B_\theta(r) = \frac{\lambda_0 \beta}{2\pi \varepsilon_0 c} \frac{r}{a^2}
\]

\[
F_\perp(r) = e(E_r - \beta c B_\theta) = \frac{e}{\gamma^2} E_r
\]

there is a cancellation of the electric and magnetic forces
In some cases, the beam pipe cross section is such that we can consider only the surfaces closer to the beam, which behave like two parallel plates. In this case, we use the image method to a charge distribution of radius \( a \) between two conducting plates \( 2h \) apart. By applying the superposition principle we get the total image field at a position \( y \) inside the beam.

\[
E_{y}^{\text{im}}(z,y) = \frac{\lambda(z)}{2\pi \varepsilon_o} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left[ \frac{1}{2nh + y} - \frac{1}{2nh - y} \right]
\]

\[
E_{y}^{\text{im}}(z,y) = \frac{\lambda(z)}{2\pi \varepsilon_o} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left[ \frac{-2y}{(2nh)^2 - y^2} \right] \approx \frac{\lambda(z) \pi^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_o h^2 12y}
\]

Where we have assumed: \( h>>a>y \).

For d.c. or slowly varying currents, the boundary condition imposed by the conducting plates does not affect the magnetic field. We do not need "image currents". As a consequence there is no cancellation effect for the fields produced by the "image" charges.
From the divergence equation we derive also the other transverse component, notice the opposite sign:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_x^{im} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_y^{im} \Rightarrow E_x^{im}(z,x) = -\frac{\lambda(z)}{4\pi \varepsilon_o h^2} \frac{\pi^2}{12} x
\]

Including also the direct space charge force, we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_x(z,x) &= \frac{e\lambda(z)x}{\pi \varepsilon_o} \left( \frac{1}{2a^2\gamma^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2} \right) \\
F_y(z,x) &= \frac{e\lambda(z)y}{\pi \varepsilon_o} \left( \frac{1}{2a^2\gamma^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, for \(\gamma >> 1\), and for d.c. or slowly varying currents the cancellation effect applies only for the direct space charge forces. There is no cancellation of the electric and magnetic forces due to the "image" charges.
It is necessary to compare the wall thickness and the skin depth (region of penetration of the e.m. fields) in the conductor.

\[ \delta_w \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \sigma \mu}} \]

If the fields penetrate and pass through the material, we are practically in the static boundary conditions case. Conversely, if the skin depth is very small, fields do not penetrate, the electric filed lines are perpendicular to the wall, as in the static case, while the magnetic field line are tangent to the surface.
Usually, the frequency beam spectrum is quite rich of harmonics, especially for bunched beams.

It is convenient to decompose the current into a d.c. component, $I$, for which $\delta_w \gg \Delta_w$, and an a.c. component, $\hat{I}$, for which $\delta_w << \Delta_w$.

While the d.c. component of the magnetic field does not perceive the presence of the material, its a.c. component is obliged to be tangent at the wall. For a charge density $\lambda$ we have $I = \lambda v$.

We can see that this current produces a magnetic field able to cancel the effect of the electrostatic force.
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{E}_y(z, x) &= \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(z)y}{\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2} \\
\tilde{B}_x(z, x) &= \frac{\beta}{c} \tilde{E}_y(z, x)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\tilde{F}_y(z, x) = e \left(1 - \beta^2\right)E_y = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \frac{e\tilde{\lambda}(z)y}{\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}_x(z, x) &= \frac{e\tilde{\lambda}(z)x}{2\pi \varepsilon_0 \gamma^2} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{24h^2} \right) \\
\tilde{F}_y(z, x) &= \frac{e\tilde{\lambda}(z)y}{2\pi \varepsilon_0 \gamma^2} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{24h^2} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

There is cancellation of the electric and magnetic forces!!
Parallel Plates - General expression of the force

Taking into account all the boundary conditions for d.c. and a.c. currents, we can write the expression of the force as:

\[
F_u = \frac{e}{2\pi \varepsilon_o} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{24h^2} \right) \lambda + \beta^2 \left( \frac{\pi^2}{24h^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{12g^2} \right) \bar{\lambda} \right] u
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the total current, and \( \lambda \) its d.c. part. We take the sign (+) if \( u=y \), and the sign (–) if \( u=x \).

\[ \lambda(z) = \lambda_o + \tilde{\lambda} \cos(k_z z) \; ; \; k_z = 2\pi / l_w \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D.C.</th>
<th>A.C. ((\delta_w \ll \Delta_w))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ F_{\perp}(r) = \frac{e}{(\gamma^2/2\pi \varepsilon_0)^2} \frac{\lambda(z)}{a^2} ]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ F_x(z,x) = \frac{e\lambda_o x}{\pi \varepsilon_0} \left( \frac{1}{2a^2 \gamma^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2} \right) ]</td>
<td>[ \tilde{F}_x(z,x) = \frac{e\tilde{\lambda}(z) x}{\pi \varepsilon_0 \gamma^2} \left( \frac{1}{2a^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2} \right) ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ F_y(z,x) = \frac{e\lambda_o y}{\pi \varepsilon_0} \left( \frac{1}{2a^2 \gamma^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2} \right) ]</td>
<td>[ \tilde{F}_y(z,x) = \frac{e\tilde{\lambda}(z) y}{\pi \varepsilon_0 \gamma^2} \left( \frac{1}{2a^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{48h^2} \right) ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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When the beam is located at the centre of symmetry of the pipe, the e.m. forces due to space charge and images cannot affect the motion of the centre of mass (coherent), but change the trajectory of individual charges in the beam (incoherent).

These force may have a complicate dependence on the charge position. A simple analysis is done considering only the linear expansion of the self-fields forces around the equilibrium trajectory.
Consider a perfectly circular accelerator with radius $\rho_x$. The beam circulates inside the beam pipe. The transverse single particle motion in the linear regime, is derived from the equation of motion. Including the self field forces in the motion equation, we have

\[
\frac{d(m\gamma v)}{dt} = F^{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) + F^{\text{self}}(\vec{r})
\]

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{F^{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) + F^{\text{self}}(\vec{r})}{m\gamma}
\]
In the analysis of the motion of the particles in presence of the self field, we will adopt a simplified model where particles execute simple harmonic oscillations around the reference orbit. This is the case where the focussing term is constant. Although this condition in never fulfilled in a real accelerator, it provides a reliable model for the description of the beam instabilities

\[ x''(s) + K_x x(s) = \frac{1}{\beta^2 E_o} F_x^{self}(x) \]

\[ Q_x, \text{ Betatron tune is the n. of betatron oscillations per turn:} \]

\[ Q_x = \frac{2\pi\rho_x}{\lambda_\beta} = \frac{2\pi\rho_x \sqrt{K_x}}{2\pi} = \rho_x \sqrt{K_x} \]

\[ x''(s) + \left( \frac{Q_x}{\rho_x} \right)^2 x(s) = \frac{1}{\beta^2 E_o} F_x^{self}(x, s) \]
Transverse Incoherent Effects

We take the linear term of the transverse force in the betatron equation:

\[ F_{x}^{s.c.}(x,z) \equiv \left( \frac{\partial F_{x}^{s.c.}}{\partial x} \right)_{x=0} x \]

\[ x'' + \left( \frac{Q_{x0}}{\rho_{x}} \right)^{2} x = \frac{1}{\beta^{2} E_{0}} \left( \frac{\partial F_{x}^{s.c.}}{\partial x} \right)_{x=0} x \]

\[ (Q_{x0} + \Delta Q_{x})^{2} = Q_{x0}^{2} + 2Q_{x0} \Delta Q_{x} + \Delta Q_{x}^{2} \Rightarrow \Delta Q_{x} = -\frac{\rho_{x}^{2}}{2\beta^{2} E_{0} Q_{x0}} \left( \frac{\partial F_{x}^{s.c.}}{\partial x} \right) \]

The shift of betatron wave numbers (tune shift) is negative since the space charge forces are defocusing on both planes. Notice that the tune shift is, in general, function of “z”, therefore we have also a tune spread inside the beam. Furthermore, by including higher order terms in the transverse force, we don’t have the harmonic oscillator equation any more.
Example: Incoherent betatron tune shift for an uniform electron beam of radius $a$, length $l_0$, inside circular perfectly conducting pipe

$$\left( \frac{\partial F^{s.c.}_x}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{e\lambda_0 x}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 \gamma^2 a^2} = \frac{e\lambda_0}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 \gamma^2 a^2}$$

$$\Delta Q_x = -\frac{\rho_x^2 N e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 a^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 E_0 Q_{xo} l_0}$$

$$r_{e,p} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 m_e c^2} \quad (electrons: 2.82 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}, \text{protons:} 1.53 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m})$$

$$\Delta Q_x = -\frac{\rho_x^2 N r_{e,p}}{a^2 \beta^2 \gamma^3 Q_{xo} l_0}$$

For a real bunched beams the space charge forces, and the tune shift depend on the longitudinal and radial position of the charge.
\( \Delta Q \) as function of beam emittance

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha^2 &= \epsilon_x \beta_x \\
\beta_x &= \frac{1}{\mu_x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_x}} \\
K_x &= \left( \frac{Q_{x0}}{\rho_x} \right)^2 \\
Q_{x0} &= \rho_x \sqrt{K_x} = \frac{\rho_x}{\beta_x} \\
\Delta Q_x &= -\frac{\rho_x^2 N_{r,e,p}}{\alpha^2 \beta^2 \gamma^3 Q_{x0} l_o}
\end{align*}
\]
Consequences of the space charge tune shifts

In circular accelerators the values of the betatron tunes should not be close to rational numbers in order to avoid the crossing of linear and non-linear resonances where the beam becomes unstable.

The tune spread induced by the space charge force can make hard to satisfy this basic requirement. Typically, in order to avoid major resonances the stability requires

\[ |\Delta Q_u| < 0.3 \]
Transverse Coherent Effects

If the beam experiences a transverse deflection kick, it starts to perform betatron oscillations as a whole. The beam, source of the space charge fields moves transversely inside the pipe, while individual particles still continue their incoherent motion around the common coherent trajectory.
The image charge is at a distance “d” such that the pipe surface is at constant voltage, and pulls the beam away from the center of the pipe.

\[ d = \frac{b^2}{x} \]
The effect is defocusing: the horizontal electric image field $E$ and the horizontal force $F$ are:

$$E_{xc}(x) = \frac{\lambda(z)}{2\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{d - x} \approx \frac{\lambda(z)}{2\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{d} \approx \frac{\lambda(z)}{2\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{x}{b^2}$$

$$F_{xc}(r) \approx \frac{e \lambda(z)}{2\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{x}{b^2}$$

$$\Delta Q_{xc} = -\frac{\rho_x^2}{2\beta^2 E_0 Q_{x0}} \left( \frac{\partial F_{xc}}{\partial x} \right) = -\frac{\rho_x^2}{2\beta^2 E_0 Q_{x0}} \frac{e \lambda(z)}{2\pi \varepsilon_0 b^2}$$

$$\Delta Q_{xc} = -\frac{r_e \rho_x^2}{\beta^2 \gamma Q_{x0}} \frac{N}{b^2 l_0}$$

$$r_{e.p} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 m_0 c^2}$$