#### **Radiobiological characterization of clinical proton and carbon-ion beams :**

John Gueulette

Vincent Grégoire

Pierre Scalliet

Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

### Improvement of radiotherapy

#### Ballistic selectivity

Increasing the dose to the tumo while reducing the dose to the surrounding normal tissues

#### Differential effect

Compared to conventional radiation: the effect is relatively more marke on the tumour than on the norma tissues (RBE)

# What is the biological effect of ionising radiation?



There are several arguments pointing at the DNA as the main target of ionising radiation

# Ionisations along an electron track (secundary electron after low LET irradiation)



# Sequence of events

- Energy deposits (primary and secondary electrons)
- DNA damage.
- DNA re-arrangement.
- Repair induction (enzyme synthesis).
- Cell cycle arrest.
- Repair vs. apoptosis.
- Cell death
- Tissue failure



# DNA is the primary target



# Various types of DNA damage





### Possible consequence of DNA damage





Base pairs can be substituted, or deleted, or added, all resulting in an alteration of information.

A gene can be inactivated or mutated.

A mutation can amplify or decrease the gene expression.

# A dose increment kills a fixed proportion of cells







## Initial part of the survival curve



A steep initial part exists on survival curves, particularely in resistant cells. It is interpreted as a sign of repair induction when and if the damage concentration is sufficient to « trigger » repair enzyme synthesis.



### Ionisation along a particle track

ADN

Low density of ionisation

High density of ionisation



### Direct and indirect effects



#### G Montarou, radiobiology in medicine, 17-12-2013

## Protons

Protons are sparsely ionising, majority of indirect effects



G Montarou, radiobiology in medicine, 17-12-2013

## Carbon ions

Carbon ions are densely ionising, majority of direct effects



#### G Montarou, radiobiology in medicine, 17-12-2013







Scattered energy deposits, repair efficient Local Dose (Gy) 10 10 10 10 10 10 12.5 V (UM) 0 -2.5 -2.5 -5 -5 Photons

The microscopic dose is evenly distributed over the cell nuclei for photons.

The image shows cell nuclei after X-irradiation. Repair related proteins (yellow color) show up all over the cell nucleus in an immune fluorescent image. Cell repair is normally achieved after half an hour.



Induction of repair enzymes with X-rays and carbon ions, same absorbed dose







#### Track structure depends on energy and Z







# Microdosimetry



TEPC of Rossi, Columbia University

Tissue-equivalent proportional counter :

- The sphere is the cathode, in tissue equivalent material.
- The anode is central.
- The gas filling the sphere is tissue equivalent (C, N, O, H).
- Its pressure can be adjusted to simulate small volumes of tissue.
- Irradiation is delivered at extreme low dose rate.
- Electric charges are collected, proportional to the individual energy deposits.

# Microdosimetric spectra : a tool for tracking the RBE variations





9th Workshop on heavy Charged Particles in Biology and Medicine 2003 (HCPBM 2003) General Meeting on the European Network for Light Ion Hadrontherapy (ENLIGHT 2003)

#### **Energy of the incident particles...**



9th Workshop on heavy Charged Particles in Biology and Medicine 2003 (HCPBM 2003) General Meeting on the European Network for Light Ion Hadrontherapy (ENLIGHT 2003)

#### Indentical nominal energy and identical nuclear reaction ...



9th Workshop on heavy Charged Particles in Biology and Medicine 2003 (HCPBM 2003) General Meeting on the European Network for Light Ion Hadrontherapy (ENLIGHT 2003)

Depth ...



#### Summary of pertinent conclusions (1)

- Conceptually, RBE is a measure of the biological effectiveness differences related to *radiation quality only*
- RBE is essentially variable and depends on numerous factors related 1) to biology or, 2) to the beam delivery technique
- Biology : the main sources of RBE variation are : the biological system (tissue, endpoint, etc.) and dose, dose rate and fractionation
- Beam : the main sources of RBE variation are : Depth (for heavy ions), filtration (for fast neutrons), collimation, etc.
- RBE is correlated with ionization density. *Roughly speaking*, it increases with LET.

#### Summary of pertinent conclusions (2)

- When determining RBEs, all conditions but the radiation quality have to be the same for the intercompared beams
- Inherent performance of the beam generators as well as practical reasons (e.g, distance) prevent from adopting ideal experimental procedure
- Recourse to indirect method for RBE determination is often required (RBE ratios)
- Recourse to fractionated irradiations is most of the time required to determine RBEs for small doses
- Microdosimetry is a powerful tool for tracking the potential RBE variations


## Proton beam (Nice)



#### Plateau (entry beam)

Most events are in the 1 keV/mm range, with a significant component around 10

#### End of Bragg peak

Most events are in the range 10-30 keV/mm range, with a significant component around 100.

#### Spectrum : sum of individual energy deposits

## Proton beam (Nice)



Let increases as the beam progresses in depth (SOBP)

## Density of energy deposits in the Bragg peak of carbon ions



# Linear energy transfer (LET)

- LET is the physical quantity of ionisation density; its unit is the keV/µm.
- The higher the LET, the broader the DNA damage and, hence, the larger the biological effect



## RBE ?



The relative biological effectiveness is a ratio of dose:  $D_{lowLET}/D_{highLET}$  for any given level of effect

#### All the irradiations performed under the same conditions, e.g. :

- Irradiation in a single fractionAcute dose rate
- Same day (and moment in the day)
  Animals from the same population
  Randomized (dose / radiation quality)
- Same experimenters
- Reliable dosimetry
- Etc.



**RBE** accounts for the *biological effectiveness difference* related only to the *radiation quality difference* 

# Different radiation quality have different RBE's







Int. J. Radiat. Biol., 48, 847

#### As LET increases OER decreases



Reduction of radiosensitivity differences :

Potential therapeutic advantage when the tumor is radioresistant in comparison with healthy tissues



Potential therapeutic benefit due to the *reduction* of an *unfavourable* differential effect Reduction of radiosensitivity differences :

contra-indication

when the healthy tissues are radioresistant

In comparison with the tumor



less favourable !



Dose (neutrons)

Contra-indication due to the *reduction* of a *favorable* differentiel effect

Hadron RBE is different than that of photons	Buid-up of radiobiological experience and disclosure of potential benefits	<b>« Pretherapeutic »</b> experiments
<b>RBE varies with</b> « radiation quality »	Safe and optimum clinical application	<b>« Preclinical »</b> experiments
All the beams are different	Transfer of radiobiological / clinical information and coherency of treatments	Radiobiological Calibration / Intercomparison



Summary of in vivo data on jejunal crypt cells



- Transferring clinical and radiobiological information
- Pooling clinical data
- Optimizing clinical applications
- Comparing with conventional radiations





Proton RBEs are **too small** to result in a *workable* **differential effect** 

Potential advantage of protons is essentially **ballistic** 



Proton RBEs are big in comparison with dose accuracy requirements ...

> and raise essentially Bio-dosimetry questions

Gamma Equivalent Dose = **physical dose** x **RBE** 

**Uncertainties in RBE** values lead to **equal uncertainties** in the derived Gamma Equivalent Doses

The dose accuracy required in radiotherapy is 3.5 %

#### *In vitro* systems (Proton RBE = 0.94 - 1.63)

Substantial spread between cell lines and conflicting conclusions about :

- RBE value in reference conditions (energy, depth)
- possible RBE increase with depth
- possible RBE increase with SF (or fractionation)

#### *In vitro* systems (Proton RBE = 0.94 - 1.63)

Possible causes of the spread of the data :

• Dosimetry ?

- Underlying theory (model to fit the data)
- Randomization ?
- Platting efficiency ?

# Uncertainties above 20 %

#### *In vivo* systems Proton RBE 1.08 - 1.17

Limited amount of data. Present-day questions are :

- RBE increase with fractionation ?
- RBE difference for early and late tissue tolerance ?
- RBE increase with depth ?

# Which system to use for

calibrating intercomparing

clinical proton beams?

Systems and endpoints which result in widely different values for the RBE of neutron relative to X-rays ...

Neutrons compared with gamma EBR =2 3 <sup>n</sup>1 <sup>n</sup>1 γ γ **Neutrons** compared with **neutrons** EBR =1.1 1.1  $n_2 n_1 n_2 n_1$ 

... give similar values for the *RBE differences* between two closely related neutron energies

Consequently, the choice of a biological system for intercomparisons should be governed largely by its • portability, repeatability • and convenience. 7. Hall, 1979 Intestinal crypts regeneration in mice

In - vivo system, based on

**Cell lethality** 





#### **Protons**



Vombre de cryptes régénérées par circonférence

# Radiobiological characterization

(protons)

# Influence of depth



#### 200 MeV protons NAC (Faure, South Africa)

200 MeV protons NAC (Faure) South Africa









Number of regenerated crypts per circumference





Dose / Gy



**Intestine** (10 fractions) **RBE** (end) / **RBE** (middle)



#### Selective thoracic irradiation in mice

Irradiation in 10 fractions (i = 12 h) (middle *vs* **end** of the SOBP)


RBE relative to photons



RBE increases suddenly by **6 - 10° %** from the **middle** to the **end** of the SOBP





#### iThemba LABS (2006) 200 MeV protons, 7-cm SOBP



As an increase in RBE is observed at the end of the SOBP *in all biological systems*, **it is advisable to allow for it**.

**TPS** should include biological weighting functions

Influence of fractionation (or dose)

# Crypt regeneration in mice











Irradiation at the middle of a 7 cm SOBP in the 200-MeV proton beam produced at the National Accelerator Centre (NAC) of Faure (South Africa).

Late tolerance

(Survival after selective irradiation of the thorax in mice)



#### For both systems (10 fraction irradiations) RBE at the end of the SOBP ~ 6 % greater that at the middle

#### Lung (10 fractions)



#### Intestine (10 fractions)



Proton RBE (at the middle of the SOBP) does not vary with dose (or fractionation) *for in vivo systems* 

A generic RBE value of **1.10** at the middle of the SOBP (i.e. point of dose specification) **seems to be appropriate** 



# Proton RBE In-vitro, as a function of dose, for all "clinical" energies

Proton RBE In-vivo, as a function of dose, for all "clinical" energies

From Paganetti et al. (2002)

# **HEAVY IONS**



Quality of dose distribution ------

## Salivary gland tumour treated with fast neutrons Study results (± 1985)



 $17\% \pm 11$  vs.  $67\% \pm 14$ 

 $25\% \pm 14$  vs.  $62\% \pm 14$ 

# Randomized clinical trial of photons vs mixed beam neutrons plus photons for prostate Ca

Absolute Survival

#### **RTOG** 77-04

Laramore *et al*, 1993. Prostate carcinomas are slow growing and hence should be well suited for neutron therapy. The neutrons are usually used for the small "boost" volume in order to minimize late normal tissue damage.



#### Neutron Prostate Study Major Complications



Laramore, 1993



Nature April, 2014

# Which RBE to apply ?

- Late tolerance of healthy surrounding tissues
- Dose per fraction of 2 Gy photon equivalent



# Not a single value

• due to the diversity of the clinical situations

• due to variation of RBE with depth/dose

# Density of energy deposits in the Bragg peak of carbon ions



# **Carbon ions**



From Peter Peschke, Heidelberg

# **Results**

Set-up		Photons D <sub>50</sub> [Gy]	Carbon I D <sub>50</sub> [G	arbon lons D <sub>50</sub> [Gy]		Measured RBE	
Plateau (13 keV/µm)		keV/μm)					
1	Fx	<b>24.5</b> ±0.8	17.1±	).8	1.43±	80.0	
2	Fx	<b>34.2</b> ±0.7	24.9±	).7	1.37±	0.05	
6	Fx	<b>57.0</b> ±4.0	42.8±	1.5	1.33±	0.10	
18	Fx	<b>88.6</b> ±2.0	62.2±3	3.5	1.42±	0.09	



Clear fractionation effect in the **plateau**, which allows sparing of normal tissues.

**RBE constant**, when number of fractions increase

# Results

Set-up		Photons D <sub>50</sub> [Gy]	Carbon lons D <sub>50</sub> [Gy]	Measured RBE		
Plateau (13 keV/µm)						
1	Fx	<b>24.5</b> ±0.8	<b>17.1</b> ±0.8	1.43±0.08		
2	Fx	<b>34.2</b> ±0.7	<b>24.9</b> ±0.7	1.37±0.05		
6	Fx	<b>57.0</b> ±4.0	<b>42.8</b> ±1.5	<b>1.33</b> ±0.10		
18	Fx	<b>88.6</b> ±2.0	<b>62.2</b> ±3.5	1.42±0.09		

Peak (91 keV/µm)

1 F	x	<b>24.5</b> ±0.8
2 F	x	<b>34.2</b> ±0.7
6 F	x	<b>57.0</b> ±4.0
18	Fx	<b>88.6</b> ±2.0

12.0.		4
13.9±	J.8	1.
15.8±	0.7	2.
19.2±	0.2	2.9
17.7±	1.3	5.0

1.76±0.12 2.16±0.11 2.97±0.21 5.01±0.37 Effective response in the Bragg-Peak, with little change in isoeffective total dose with fractionation RBE raises rapidly with decreasing dose per fraction



HIMAC (Japan) : Carbon-12 (290 MeV/uma)





Depth in water / mm



A given dose of carbon is biologically equivalent to the same dose of gamma multiplied by the carbon RBE

**Carbon dose x RBE** 

Iso-doses (in Gy) *in a given tissue* **do no longer correspond to** iso-biologically effective doses !!!



# "Local effect Model" (Scholz et Kraft, 1994)

Derivation of parametres determining the biological response to a Carbon ion exposure from the response parameters to a photon irradiation Assumptions •

The biological effect in a cell nucleus sub-volume is **exclusively** determined by the energy deposit in this sub-volume

The biological effect is **independent** from the radiation quality of the beam

Consequences

A local dose deposit with Carbon ions produces the **same biological effect** than the same dose deposit with photons

Therefore, the different biological effects of Carbon ion and photons result from **differences in spatial dose deposition**  Local Effect Model (LEM) (Scholz and Kraft)

Parameters **exclusively** determined on the basis of :

**D**ose-effect relationship **for photons** (low LET)

→ Probability of a letal event

Physical data on **track structures** 

Determination of local dose deposits

#### **Experimental** measurement of cell nucleus size

Determination of radiosensitive area size (nucleus diameter)

### Advantages :

- Conformational possibilities
- Possibility to treat sub-volumes
- Possibility of dose « modulation » within each volume of interest
- all RBE variation taken into account

#### Inconvenients

- Uncertainties on RBE calculation algorithm (LEM, other...)
- Lack of transparency and total confidence in calculation model
- Impossibility (*for the physician nor for the physicist*) to judge *directly* the appropriateness of treatments plans. Dose distributions have to be re-calculated with the model.




Carbon clinical RBE at the center of the SOBP = 2.38



## Raster scan system at GSI, Germany

from : D. Schulz-Ertner, O. Jäkel, W. Schlegel

*i.e.* a system where :

"several thousands of narrow ion pencil beams with individual lateral positions, ion energies and particle fluences are combined to form an intensity-modulated field of high granularity" (M. Krämer, 2001)

Consideration of RBE variations is only possible in an integrated calulation code allowing iterative interaction between both physical and biological input parameters



An **RBE weighting factor** should be applied at each point of the irradiated volume, taking RBE variation with **energy**, **dose, biological system**, etc, into account

**Particules of variable energy** are also delivered at **variable dose rates** or in multi - *micro* fractions.

**Integrated algorithm** allowing for the **iterative interaction** between **physical** (e.g. energy/LET) and **biological parameters** (e.g. intrinsic radiosensitivity, oxygenation, dose rates, micro-fractionation, etc.

## The "Inter-play" effect (Protons, PSI)



Pitfalls...









~1% of the surface



Finally, which recommendation for irradiation schedule? Short hypofractionated schedules

- Less tumour repopulation.
- More damage to quiescent cells.
- More microvascular damage.
- Differential release of cytokines and special immunologic effects?
- Too much assumptions in radiobiological models??