

Vacuum gauges for the fine and high vacuum

Karl Jousten, PTB, Berlin

1. Measurement of vacuum pressures and the calibration chain

2. Overview of measurement principles and gauge types

3. Direct gauges, indirectly measuring gauges

4. Accuracy of vacuum gauges



The definition of pressure *p*:







Time

Length

It follows one of the measurement principles.







This is a traceable instrument usable as primary standard



Error: A wrong reading of a gauge. A deviation from a true value defined by the SI units.

Uncertainty: The possible *range* by which a reading *may* not reflect the true value defined by the SI units.











Traceability and primary standards



Fully developed primary standards partly developed standards



Best accuracy available



Relative uncertainties of pressures in primary standards



Traceability and primary standards

















The mercury U-tube exists since Torricelli (1644). It is still the most accurate vacuum gauge > 100 Pa (1 mbar)!







Mechanical gauges





3 Groups:

1. Ref.side p_{atm} and contains meas.dev.

- 3. Ref.side p=0 and contain meas.dev.
- 2. Ref.side p=0, meas.dev. on test side (1)



Mechanical gauges: Bourdon gauges





2 Photo cells Light source Amplifier Mirror Coils Precise $p_{\scriptscriptstyle { m ref}}$ Resistor Quartz spiral 10,0000 p_{mess} Voltmeter

Mechanical gauges: Bourdon gauges



Mechanical gauges: Bourdon gauges





Piezoeffect used by membrane



PB

Piezoresistive effect





Capacitance diaphragm gauge

Sensitivity of deflection: 0.4 nm!

Membrane (INVAR, Ceramic): as low as 25 µm.

Two improve zero stability: 2 capacitors plus thermostated housing





Electrical block diagram of capacitance diaphragm gauge





Thermal transpiration effect





Thermal transpiration effect







Resonance Silicon Gauges

Designed by MEMS







Heat conductivity through a gas







Uncertainties due to the physical principle of measurement

Example: Pirani gauge



Electrical circuit for Pirani gauge

Constant temperature

Constant heating voltage, current, or power







Mikro Pirani (MEMS manufactured) by MKS

Heated sheet 60°C

MEMS: higher Knudsen number, no convection





Correction factor for helium for 4 different Pirani gauges





Experimental standard deviations of repeat calibrations for 4 different Pirani gauges at various pressures

#	Gauge	s in %		
		0,05 mbar	3 mbar	30 mbar
1	Pfeiffer TPR 280	0,19	0,13	0,09
2	Thyracont VSP52	0,06	0,35	3,30
3	MKS 925C	0,10	0,12	0,19
4	Leybold TTR91	0,03	0,09	0,12



Thermocouple gauge





Viscosity

$$p = \sqrt{\frac{8kT}{\pi m}} \cdot \frac{\pi d\rho}{20\sigma} \left(\left(\frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega} \right) - RD(\omega) \right)$$
Wand







Sprinning rotor gauge





Sprinning rotor gauge

- •No gas consumption (e.g. by ionization)
- •No dissociation (hot cathode)
- •Low outgassing rate
- •Predictable reading
- •High accuracy
- •High long-term stability





Ionisation





Ionization gauges for different vacuum ranges





Ionisation gauges for fine vacuum





$$I(\mathcal{X}^{-1}) = I_0(\mathcal{X}^{-1})e^{-S\Phi nL}$$
$$p = \frac{kT}{S(T)\Phi(\mathcal{X}^{-1} - \mathcal{X}_0^{-1})L}\ln\left(\frac{I_0(\mathcal{X}^{-1})}{I(\mathcal{X}^{-1})}\right)$$

Previous investigations showed that TDLAS is applicable for vacuum measurement:

CO, mid-infrared (5 μ m), resolution down to 10⁻⁵ Pa, high accuracy.









Reasons for inaccuracies of vacuum gauges

General	Example
Uncertainties due to calibration chain	Has the vacuum gauge been ever calibrated? Against what standard?
Uncertainties due to installation	Pressure at gauge position may not reflect the pressure where the experiment takes place.
Uncertainties due to operation	Outgassing of an ion gauge may falsify an outgassing rate measurement.
Inaccuracies caused by the physical principle of measurement	Thermal conductivity or ion gauge is used, but gas mixture is not (accurately) known.
Uncertainties caused by the device itself	See Table 2.



Reasons for inaccuracies

Gas species dependence:

Real total pressure only for force/area measuring gauges and > 100 Pa (1 mbar)! Below 100 Pa consider the thermal transpiration effect.

Spinning rotor gauges: Use a weighted mean mass, if approximate relative composition is known. $(n - 1)^2 = n$

$$m_{eff} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \sqrt{m_i}\right)^2 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1$$

Thermal conductivity gauges and ionisation gauges : Scaling factors are available, but do have high uncertainties.

$$CF_{eff} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i CF_i$$



General	Examples
Offset measurement	residual drag in SRG, zeroing of Pirani gauge, X-ray- and ESD-effect for ion gauges
Offset instability (drift)	Offset drifts with environmental temperature (Piroutte effect in SRG), bridge is no more balanced with time
Resolution	Number of digits shown
Influences of environment (mainly temperature)	Enclosure temperature of Pirani changes varies, thermal transpiration effect changes in CDG, amplifier changes amplification
Non-Linearity	Ion gauge (sensitivity changes with pressure)
Integration time (scatter of data), repeatibility	Same signal at repeat measurements? Integration time in SRG, in picoammeter with ion gauge.
Reproducibility (stability of calibration constant)	Calibration constants change with time.
Hysteresis	Mechanical gauges (up, down measurement)
Prior usage, cleanliness	Surfaces change, accommodation coefficients change, secondary yield changes

Uncertainties due to the vacuum gauge itself



Table: Relative measurement uncertainty of commercially available vacuum gauges.

Gauge type	Measurement	Normal	Optimum range	Lowest
	range in Pa	uncertainty	in Pa	uncertainty
Piston gauges	1010 ⁵		10^210^5	10^{-4} 10^{-5}
Quartz-Bourdon-manometer	10^310^5		10^310^5	$3x10^{-4}$ $2x10^{-4}$
Resonance silicon gauges	$10 \dots 10^5$	0.003 0.0005	$100 \dots 10^5$	$2x10^{-4}$ $5x10^{-5}$
Mechanical vacuum gauge	$10^2 \dots 10^5$	0.10.01		
Membrane vacuum gauge	$10^2 \dots 10^5$	0.10.01		
Piezo	$10^2 \dots 10^5$	10.01		
Thermocouple gauge	$10^{-1} \dots 10^2$	1 0.3		
Pirani gauges	$10^{-1}10^4$	1 0.1	1 100	0.02 0.01
Capacitance diaphragm gauges	$10^{-4} \dots 10^{5}$	0.1 0.003	$10^{-1} \dots 10^{5}$	0.006 0.001
Spinning rotor gauges	10 ⁻⁵ 10	0.1 0.007	$10^{-3} \dots 10^{-1}$	0.0060.004
Penning gauges	10 ⁻⁷ 1	0.5 0.2	10 ⁻⁵ 1	0.30.1
Magnetron gauges	10 ⁻⁸ 1	10.1	10 ⁻⁶ 1	0.10.02
Ionisation gauges (Emission cathodes)	$10^{-10} \dots 10^{-2}$	10.05	$10^{-8} \dots 10^{-2}$	0.20.02

How accurate are vacuum gauges ?





Lowest relative uncertainties for vacuum gauges and primary standards Errors > 100 % (error factor > 1) are possible.

Todays commercial gauges



Pirani gauge



Old classical gauges:

Gauge head + controller

Today: Active gauges or transmitter (all in one)

or

Digital gauges (digital output via interface)

Todays commercial gauges





Profibus Converter



Todays commercial gauges



Commercial "active" Line vacuum gauges





Fine and high vacuum gauges

We have discussed:

Metrological system - primary standards- calibration chain Measurement principles and gauges Direct, indirect measuring gauges Sources of uncertainties with values from 0.001% up to 100% or factor

Thanks for listening !

CAS_2006