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overview I

• Maxwells Equations,

• Reminder to basic vector analysis,

• Ampères Law and Faradays Induction Law,

• What is displacement current?

• Boundary conditions for magnetic and electric fields.

• Wave equation and its complex notation.

• Skin depth, energy propagation, and losses.

• Traveling wave cavity.
• Standing wave cavity: the pill-box.

• Basic cavity parameters (transit time factor, shunt impedance, quality factor, (R/Q), filling time).

• A cavity as a lumped circuit.

• Getting power into a cavity with a power coupler.

• Matching a cavity to a wave-guide.
MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt} \quad (I) \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} \quad (II) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_v \quad (III) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (IV) \]

and there was light...
Maxwells equations: components

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} & \quad \text{electric field \([V/m]\)} \\
\mathbf{D} &= \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \mathbf{E} \quad \text{dielectric displacement \([As/m^2]\)} \\
\mathbf{B} & \quad \text{magnetic induction, magnetic flux density \([T]\)} \\
\mathbf{H} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0 \mu_r} \mathbf{B} \quad \text{magnetic field strength/field intensity \([A/m]\)} \\
\mathbf{J} &= \kappa \mathbf{E} \quad \text{electric current density \([A/m^2]\)} \\
\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{D} & \quad \text{displacement current \([A/m^2]\)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_0 &= 8.854 \cdot 10^{-12} \frac{F}{m} \quad \text{electric field constant} \\
\varepsilon_r & \quad \text{relative dielectric constant} \\
\mu_0 &= 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \frac{H}{m} \quad \text{magnetic field constant} \\
\mu_r & \quad \text{relative permeability constant} \\
\kappa & \quad \text{electrical conductivity \([S/m]\)} \\
\varepsilon &= \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \quad \mu = \mu_0 \mu_r
\end{align*}
\]
REMINDER OF VECTOR ANALYSIS AND SOME APPLICATIONS TO MAXWELLS EQUATIONS
differential operators in cartesian* coordinates

\[ \nabla \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \]

The result is a vector telling us how much the potential changes in x, y, z direction.

* see annex for cylindrical or spherical coordinates
differential operators in cartesian coordinates

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_x \\ a_y \\ a_z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} \]

The result is a **scalar**, telling us if the vector field has a source.
differential operators in cartesian coordinates

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} a_x \\ a_y \\ a_z \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} u_x & u_y & u_z \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ a_x & a_y & a_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \]

Are there curls/eddies around the x, y, z axes? (Imagine a ball fixed around the x, y, z axis. If it starts rotating, then \( \nabla \times \mathbf{a} \neq 0 \))

\[ \Delta \Phi = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \Phi) = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial z^2} \]

E.g: electrostatic potentials are defined everywhere in space, if they fulfill \( \Delta \Phi = 0 \), and have the correct values at the boundaries.
cross products

or the so-called “right hand rule”
Gauss’ theorem

\[ \int_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} \, dV = \oint_S \mathbf{a} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \]

1. The vector flux through a closed surface equals the flux sources (e.g. charges), which are enclosed in the volume.
2. If there are no sources, the amount of flux entering and leaving a volume must be equal.

- Electric field lines originate from el. charges. \[ \int_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \, dV = \oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \frac{Q}{\varepsilon} \]
- Since there are no “magnetic charges”, magnetic field lines are always closed. \[ \int_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dV = \oint_S \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0 \]
Stokes’ theorem

\[ \int_{A} (\nabla \times \mathbf{a}) \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \oint_{C} \mathbf{a} \cdot d\mathbf{l} \]

- Tells us that the area integral over the curls of a vector field can be calculated by a line integral along its closed border, or in other words, that,
- the field lines of a vector field with non-zero curls must be closed contours.

Applied to Maxwell’s equations:

\[ \int_{A} (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \oint_{C} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_{A} \left( \mathbf{J} + \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{A} \]

and in the electrostatic case \( \left( \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt} \right) = 0 \) we get **Ampère’s Law**

with a one-line derivation! \[ \oint_{C} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = I \]
Stokes’ theorem II

Applied once more to Maxwells equations:

\[
\int_A (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \oint_{V_i} \mathbf{E} \cdot dl = - \frac{d}{dt} \int_A \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{A}
\]

we get **Faraday’s induction law**
(again in a one-line derivation):

\[
V_i = - \frac{d\psi_m}{dt}
\]

The electric field around a closed a closed loop (the induced voltage) equals the rate of change of the magnetic flux penetrating that loop.
(The basis of every electric motor or generator.)
what is displacement current \((dD/dt)\)?

we apply the divergence to \(\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt}\) and make use of Gauss’ theorem:

\[
\nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \nabla \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt} \equiv 0
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{d}{dt} \rho_v
\]

continuity equation: “electric charges cannot be destroyed: if the amount of charges in a volume is changing a current needs to flow”

further interpretation: “the sources of the displacement current are time varying charges”, or: “curls of the magnetic field are excited either by static currents, or by displacement currents (which are a consequence of time-varying charges, which is equal to current...)”
example of displacement current \((d\mathbf{D}/dt)\)

**e.g.:** charging a capacitor

\[
\oint_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = I = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_V \rho_v dV = -\frac{d}{dt} Q_C
\]

\[
= -\frac{d}{dt} \oint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S}
\]

The current used to charge the capacitor equals the rate of change of the charge on a capacitor plate and equals the displacement current between the capacitor plates.

or “In case we don’t have a conductor, we can use the displacement current to transport energy instead of using moving charges” or “the current charging the capacitor plate equals the displacement current between the plates”
To design RF equipment for accelerators we need to understand:

- what are electromagnetic waves and how do they propagate in free space,
- how can we guide these wave (e.g. in wave-guides),
- or even trap them in a resonator (an accelerating cavity),
- energy density and energy flux in electromagnetic waves,
- standing waves,
- boundary conditions, and losses on electric boundaries.
Boundary conditions (II to a surface)

In case material 2 is an ideal conductor:

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{d\mathbf{D}}{dt} \quad (I) \]

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} \quad (II) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \int_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_A \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{A} + \frac{d}{dt} \int_A \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{A} \Rightarrow H_{\parallel 1} - H_{\parallel 2} = i' \]

\[ \Rightarrow \int_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_A \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{A} \Rightarrow E_{\parallel 1} = E_{\parallel 2} \]

In case material 2 is an ideal conductor:

\[ H_{\parallel 1} = i' \quad E_{\parallel 1} = 0 \]
Boundary conditions (\( \perp \) to a surface)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_V \quad (III) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (IV) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \int_{S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{V} q_V dV \Rightarrow D_{\perp 1} - D_{\perp 2} = q_S \]

\[ \Rightarrow \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0 \Rightarrow B_{\perp 1} = B_{\perp 2} \]

In case material 2 is an ideal conductor:

\[ D_{\perp 1} = q_S \quad B_{\perp 1} = 0 \]
Wave equation

We consider homogenous media, meaning media in which the electromagnetic fields “see” the same material conditions \((\kappa, \varepsilon, \mu)\) in all directions. In that case we can write Maxwell’s Equations as:

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \kappa \mathbf{E} + \varepsilon \frac{d\mathbf{E}}{dt} \quad (I)
\]
\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho V}{\varepsilon} \quad (III)
\]
\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu \frac{d\mathbf{H}}{dt} \quad (II)
\]
\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (IV)
\]

Curl of (II) together with (I), and curl of (I) together with (II) and (III) results in the general wave equations in homogenous media:

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) = \mu \kappa \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E} + \mu \varepsilon \frac{d^2 \mathbf{E}}{dt^2}
\]
\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} = \mu \kappa \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{H} + \mu \varepsilon \frac{d^2 \mathbf{H}}{dt^2}
\]

In most cavities and wave-guides we consider electromagnetic field in non-conducting media \((\kappa = 0)\) and charge free volumes \((\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0)\):

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = \mu \varepsilon \frac{d^2 \mathbf{E}}{dt^2}
\]
\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} = \mu \varepsilon \frac{d^2 \mathbf{H}}{dt^2}
\]
Complex notation for time-harmonic fields

In Radio Frequency we are usually dealing with sine-waves, which are sometimes modulated in phase or in amplitude. This means we will concentrate on time-harmonic solutions of Maxwells Equations. For this purpose we introduce the time-harmonic notation, which can be used for all linear processes. (Electric and magnetic fields can be linearly superimposed.)

Let us assume a time-harmonic electric field with amplitude $E_0$ and phase $\varphi$:

$$E(t) = E_0 \cos (\omega t + \varphi)$$

this corresponds to the Real part of:

$$E(t) = \Re \left\{ E_0 e^{i\varphi} e^{i\omega t} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \cos (\omega t + \varphi) + i \sin (\omega t + \varphi) \right\}$$

by defining a complex amplitude (or phasor): $$\tilde{E} = E_0 e^{i\varphi}$$

we can write: $$E(t) = \Re \left\{ \tilde{E} e^{i\omega t} \right\}$$

from now on we will only use complex amplitudes and write them without tilde:

$$E_0 \cos (\omega t + \varphi) \rightarrow \tilde{E} e^{i\omega t} \rightarrow E$$
why do we do that?

• our expressions become considerably shorter,
• and we no longer have to worry about any time derivations,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{E} e^{i\omega t} = i\omega \tilde{E} e^{i\omega t}
\]

\[
\rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} E = i\omega E
\]
Complex notation of Maxwell's Equations

The use of phasors yields the following form:

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = i\omega \varepsilon \left(1 - i \frac{\kappa}{\omega \varepsilon}\right) \mathbf{E} \quad (I) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho \nu}{\varepsilon} \quad (III) \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -i\omega \mu \mathbf{H} \quad (II) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (IV) \]

Consequently the general wave equations become:

\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) = -k^2 \mathbf{E} \]
\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{H} = -k^2 \mathbf{H} \]

with the wavenumber
\[ k^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \]

Remark: in conducting media k becomes complex. In non-conducting charge-free media the wave equations simplify to:

\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = -k^2 \mathbf{E} \]
\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{H} = -k^2 \mathbf{H} \]

and \( c \) being the speed of light
plane waves

- homogenous, isotropic, linear medium,
- no space charge distribution, no currents,
- fields vary only in one direction (e.g. z).

The solution of the harmonic wave equation then becomes:

\[ E_x(z) = C_1 e^{-\gamma z} + C_2 e^{+\gamma z} \]
\[ H_y(z) = \frac{1}{Z} \left( C_1 e^{-\gamma z} + C_2 e^{+\gamma z} \right) \]

with the **propagation constant** \( \gamma \)

\[ \gamma = \alpha + i\beta = jk = i\omega \sqrt{\mu\varepsilon} \]

\( \alpha \) - attenuation, \( \beta \) - phase shift

and the **wave impedance** \( Z \)

\[ Z = \frac{E_y}{H_z} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \]

**in vacuum**

\[ Z = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \approx 377\Omega \]
the velocity with which the maxima travel along $x$ is called phase velocity $v_{ph}$ (exact definition later)
In conducting material RF waves are strongly attenuated, which means that:

$$\frac{\kappa}{\omega \varepsilon} \gg 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \varepsilon \approx -i \varepsilon'' = -i \frac{\kappa}{\omega}$$

then the propagation constant becomes:

$$\gamma = \alpha + i \beta = i \omega \sqrt{\frac{-i \mu \kappa}{\omega}} = (1 + i) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa \mu \omega}{2}}$$

the skin depth is defined as the distance after which the wave is attenuated to $1/e \approx 36.8\%$

$$\delta_s = \frac{1}{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \mu \kappa}}$$
If a wave travels along a conducting surface, then we can calculate the surface resistance by assuming a constant current density within a material layer equivalent to the skin depth.

\[ R_{surf} = \frac{1}{\kappa \delta_s} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{m} \right] \]

\[ \mu_0, \varepsilon_0, \kappa = 0 \]

\[ \mu_0, \varepsilon_0, \kappa \neq 0 \]
For cavities (usually copper) and wave-guides (usually aluminum) and typical frequencies for accelerators (100 MHz - 10 GHz) the skin depth is usually in the μm range, which is why we can build cavities out of steel and copper plate them with just ~30 um.

\[
\kappa_{Cu} = 55 \cdot 10^6 \frac{1}{\Omega m}
\]

\[
\mu_0 = 4\pi 10^{-7} \frac{Vs}{Am}
\]
Energy density and energy flow

The **energy density of electric and magnetic fields** is defined as (without derivation):

\[
\begin{align*}
    w_e &= \frac{1}{2} E \cdot D \\
    w_m &= \frac{1}{2} H \cdot B
\end{align*}
\]

Integration of the total energy density (magnetic + electric) and a bit of vector analysis gives us **Poynting’s Law**:

\[
- \frac{d}{dt} \int_V w dV = \oint_S (E \times H) \cdot dS + \int_V (E \cdot J) dV
\]

- Reduction of total energy in \( V \)
- Energy leaving \( V \) per time unit
- Work on charges in \( V \) per time unit

For time-harmonic fields in complex notation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    w_{e,k} &= \frac{1}{4} E \cdot D^* \\
    w_{m,k} &= \frac{1}{4} H \cdot B^*
\end{align*}
\]
From Poynting's Law we get the definition of the Poynting vector

for time-harmonic fields in complex notation

\[ S = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^* \]

which defines the direction of the energy propagation of an electromagnetic wave. It also tells us that the propagation direction of the energy transport is perpendicular to the directions of the electric and magnetic field components.
Solution of the wave equation

To find the electric and magnetic fields in free space, in wave-guides or in cavities, one needs to solve the wave equation in the appropriate coordinate system (cartesian, cylindric, spherical).

A common approach to solve the wave equation for wave guides is to define a vector potential for TE and TM waves, so that electric and magnetic fields can be calculated from:

\[
E^{TE} = \nabla \times A^{TE} \quad \text{and} \quad H^{TM} = \nabla \times A^{TM}
\]

\[
H^{TE} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times A^{TE}) \quad \text{and} \quad E^{TM} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times A^{TM})
\]

In both cases the vector potential fulfills the wave equation,

\[
\nabla^2 A = -k^2 A \quad \text{with} \quad k^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon
\]

which can then be solved for different coordinate systems for TE and TM waves and which has usually just one vector component: \( A = A_z e_z \)
Nomenclature of modes in cavities/wave guides

**TM\textit{mnp}-mode = E\textit{mnp}-mode**
- E-field parallel to axis, $B_z = 0$,
- only transverse magn. (TM) components

**TE\textit{mnp}-mode = H\textit{mnp}-mode**
- B-field parallel to axis, $E_z = 0$,
- only transverse el. (TE) components

in a circular cavity this means:
- **number of full-period variations of the field components in the azimuthal-direction**
- **number of zeros of the axial field component in radial direction.**
- **number of half-period variations of the field components in the longitudinal-direction**

\[
\begin{align*}
E \text{ or } B & \propto \\
\cos(m\phi) \text{ or } \sin(m\phi) & \\
E_z \text{ or } B_z & \propto \\
J_m\left(x_{mn}r/R_c\right) & \\
E \text{ or } B & \propto \\
\cos(p\pi z/l) \text{ or } \sin(p\pi z/l) & \\
\end{align*}
\]
Solution of the wave equation

For circular wave guides we obtain (without derivation):

\[ A_{z}^{TM/TE} = C J_{m}(k_c r) \cos(m\varphi)e^{-ik_z z} \quad \text{with} \quad k_z = \sqrt{k^2 - k_c^2} \]

using \( H^{TM} = \nabla \times A \) and \( E^{TM} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times A) \)

results in the following field components for TM waves:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_r &= \frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon} \frac{\partial H_\varphi}{\partial z} = -C \frac{k_z k_c}{\omega \varepsilon} J'_m(k_c r) \cos(m\varphi) \\
E_\varphi &= -\frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon} \frac{\partial H_r}{\partial z} = C \frac{mk_z}{\omega \varepsilon r} J_m(k_c r) \sin(m\varphi) \\
E_z &= \frac{ik_c^2}{\omega \varepsilon} A_z = C \frac{ik_c^2}{\omega \varepsilon r} J_m(k_c r) \cos(m\varphi) \\
H_r &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \varphi} = -C \frac{m}{r} J_m(k_c r) \sin(m\varphi) \\
H_\varphi &= -\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} = -C k_c J'_m(k_c r) \cos(m\varphi)
\end{align*}
\]

\( J_m \) are Bessel functions of the first kind and \( 2 \) of \( m \)'th order.
Bessel functions of the first kind
wave propagation in a cylindrical pipe

let us consider the simplest accelerating mode (electric field in z-direction): m=0, n=1, TM01

using \( J'_0(r) = -J_1(r) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
E_r &= C \frac{k_z k_c}{\omega \varepsilon} J_1(k_c r) \left\{ e^{-ik_z z} \right\} \\
E_z &= -C \frac{ik_c^2}{\omega \varepsilon} J_0(k_c r) \\
H_\varphi &= C k_c J_1(k_c r)
\end{align*}
\]

propagation constant: \( k_z^2 = k^2 - k_c^2 \)  
wave number: \( k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} = \frac{\omega}{c} \)

\( k_c \) is determined by the boundary conditions of the wave-guide

\( E_\parallel = 0 \Rightarrow E_z(r = a) = 0 \Rightarrow J_0(k_c a) = 0 \Rightarrow k_c a = 2.405 \)
wave propagation in a cylindrical pipe

and from

\[ k_c = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_c} = \frac{\omega_c}{c} \]

we can calculate the cut-off frequency for the TM\(_{01}\) mode in a cylindrical conducting pipe

\[ \omega_c = \frac{2.405c}{a} \]

from \( k_z^2 = k^2 - k_c^2 \) we also get the dispersion relation

- TM\(_{01}\) waves propagate for: \( \omega > \omega_c \)
- and are exponentially damped for: \( \omega < \omega_c \)
- the phase velocity is: \( v_{ph} = \frac{\omega}{k_z} \)
• each frequency corresponds to a certain phase velocity,
• the phase velocity is always larger than $c!$ (at $\omega=\omega_c$: $k_z=0$ and $v_{ph}=\infty$),

$$v_{ph} = \frac{\omega}{k_z}$$

• synchronism with RF (necessary for acceleration) is impossible because a particle would have to travel at $v=v_{ph}>c!$

• energy (and therefore information) travels at the group velocity $v_{gr}<c$, 

$$v_{ph} = c^2 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_c^2}$$
How can we slow down the phase velocity?

put some obstacles into the wave-guide: e.g: discs

Only then can we achieve synchronism between the particles and the phase velocity of the RF wave.
Dispersion relation for disc-loaded circ. wave guides

\[ \omega = \frac{2.405c}{b} \sqrt{1 + \kappa (1 - \cos(k_z L)e^{-\alpha h})} \]

\[ \kappa = \frac{4a^3}{3\pi J_1^2(2.405)b^2 L} \ll 1 \]

damping: \( \alpha \approx \frac{2.405}{a} \)

Brioullin diagram

reflected wave

typical operating point
Example of a $2/3\pi$ traveling wave structure

synchronism condition: \[ d = \frac{(\beta)\lambda}{3} \text{ with } \beta \approx 1 \]
Traveling wave structures

• Since the particles gain energy the EM-wave is damped along the structure ("constant impedance structure"). But by changing the bore diameter one can decrease the group velocity from cell to cell and obtain a “constant-gradient” structure. Here one can operate in all cells near the break-down limit and thus achieve a higher average energy gain.

• Traveling wave structures are often used for very short (us) pulses, and can reach high efficiencies, and high accelerating gradients (up to 100 MeV/m, CLIC).

• are generally used for electrons at $\beta \approx 1$,

• difficult to use for ions with $\beta < 1$: i) constant cell length does not allow for synchronism, ii) long structures do not allow for sufficient transverse focusing,
let us see if we can apply all of this to calculate the **resistive** damping in a circular wave guide for the TM\(_{01}\) mode.

to do this we need:

- Boundary conditions on electric surfaces (or Ampère’s Law);
- The complex notation of EM fields;
- The solution of the harmonic wave equation for the TM\(_{01}\) mode;
- Poynting’s Law;
- A bit of common sense;
- and the Power-Loss Method, which we will learn on the way.
We assume highly conductive wave guide boundaries, which means that we have a small skin depth and that the electric fields will basically be orthogonal to the surface. We can therefore assume that the fields in the lossless wave guide (infinite conductivity) are basically identical to the fields in the lossy wave guide. It seems therefore reasonable to calculate the surface currents from the ideal fields, and then to apply the surface resistance to calculate the losses.

We will proceed in 3 steps:

1. Definition of the attenuation constant
2. Calculation of the power transported in the wave guide
3. Calculation of the losses in the wave guide surface
1. Attenuation constant

We start by defining the power lost per longitudinal distance:

\[ P' = -\frac{dP}{dz} \]

From

\[ E, H \propto e^{-\alpha z} \implies P \propto e^{-2\alpha z} \]

we get immediately

\[ P' = -\frac{dP}{dz} = 2\alpha P \]

and thus the definition of the attenuation constant

\[ \alpha = \frac{P'}{2P} \]
2. Power transport in a wave-guide

\[
\begin{align*}
E_r &= C \frac{k_z k_c}{\omega \varepsilon} J_1(k_c r) \\
E_z &= -C \frac{ik^2_c}{\omega \varepsilon} J_0(k_c r) \\
H_\varphi &= C k_c J_1(k_c r)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
P = \frac{1}{2} \int_A (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*) \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} E_r H_\varphi^* r dr d\varphi = \frac{C^2 k_z k_c^2 \pi a^2 J_1^2(k_c a)}{\omega \varepsilon}
\]

\[
\int_0^a J_1^2(k_c r) r dr = \frac{a^2}{2} J_1^2(k_c a)
\]
3. Losses on wave-guide surface

Ampère's Law: \[ \oint H \cdot dl = I = \oint J \cdot (\delta_s dl) \]

\[ H_\phi(r = a, z) = Ck_c J_1(k_c a) e^{-ik_z z} = J_z(z)\delta_s \]

\[ p_v = \frac{1}{2} E \cdot J^* = \frac{1}{2\kappa} J_z J_z^* = \frac{\partial^3 P}{(\partial r)(r\partial\phi)(\partial z)} \]

power loss per meter along z [W/m]:

\[ P' = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \int_a^{a+\delta_s} \int_0^{2\pi} p_v r dr d\phi = \frac{\pi a C^2 k_c^2 J_1^2(k_c a)}{2\kappa \delta_s} \]

\[ \delta_s \ll a \]
attenuation per unit length

\[ \alpha = \frac{P'}{2P} = \frac{R_{\text{surf}}}{Z_0 a \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{f_c}{f} \right)^2}} \]

surface resistance \[ R_{\text{surf}} = \frac{1}{\kappa \delta_s} \]

wave impedance (vacuum) \[ Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \]

e.g. damping in an aluminum wave guide with

\[ \kappa_{Al} = 3.66 \cdot 10^7 \frac{S}{m} \]
what we should know by now

• The power of Maxwell's Equations,
• Vector analysis: Gauss' Law, Stokes' Law
• Ampère's Law, Faraday's Law
• Displacement current
• Boundary conditions for electric and magnetic fields
• Wave equation/plane waves and the complex form of time-harmonic fields
• Skin effect
• Poynting's law
• Solution of the wave equation for circular geometries
• Dispersion relation, group velocity, traveling wave structures
• Power-loss method and damping in wave guides
The Pillbox cavity
The Pillbox cavity

\[ \omega_{res} = 2\pi f_{res} = 1/\sqrt{LC} \]

A lumped element resonator transformed into a pillbox cavity
in the simplest case...

...the pillbox cavity is just an empty cylinder:

- with longitudinal electric field and transverse magnetic fields: $\text{TM}_{010}$ mode ($\phi, r, z$),

- no field dependence on $z$ and $\phi$, frequency is determined by radius $r=a$:

$$f = \frac{2.405c}{2\pi a}$$

$$E_z \propto J_0(k_r r)$$

$$H_\phi \propto J_1(k_r r)$$
fields in a pillbox cavity

We use again the vector potential for circular waves and superimpose 2 waves: one in positive and one in negative z-direction

\[ A_{z}^{TM/TE} = C J_m (k_r r) \cos(m \varphi) (e^{-i k_z z} + e^{i k_z z}) \]

from which we can derive all TM field components using:

\[
\begin{align*}
H^{TM} &= \nabla \times A^{TM} \\
E^{TM} &= \nabla \times (\nabla \times A^{TM})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
E_r &= \frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon} \frac{\partial H_\varphi}{\partial z} = i 2 C \frac{k_z k_r}{\omega \varepsilon} J'_m (k_r r) \cos(m \varphi) \sin(k_z z) \\
E_\varphi &= -\frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon} \frac{\partial H_r}{\partial z} = -i 2 C \frac{m k_z}{\omega \varepsilon r} J_m (k_r r) \sin(m \varphi) \sin(k_z z) \\
E_z &= \frac{i k_r^2}{\omega \varepsilon} A_z = i 2 C \frac{k_r^2}{\omega \varepsilon} J_m (k_r r) \cos(m \varphi) \cos(k_z z) \\
H_r &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \varphi} = -2 C \frac{m}{r} J_m (k_r r) \sin(m \varphi) \cos(k_z z) \\
H_\varphi &= -\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} = -2 C k_r J'_m (k_r r) \cos(m \varphi) \cos(k_z z)
\end{align*}
\]
fields in a pillbox cavity

applying the boundary conditions we can define the wave numbers:

\[ E_r(z = 0/L), E_\varphi(z = 0/L) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad k_z = \frac{n\pi}{L} \]

\[ E_\varphi(r = a), E_z(r = a), H_r(r = a) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad k_r = \frac{j m}{a} \]

which gives us a **discrete set of frequencies**:

\[ k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} = k_z^2 + k_r^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{nm} = \frac{c}{2\pi} \sqrt{\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{j m}{a}\right)^2} \]

The mode with lowest frequency is the **\textbf{TM}_{010} mode**:

\[ E_z = -i 2C \frac{j_0^2}{a^2 \omega \varepsilon} J_0\left(\frac{j_0 a}{a} r\right) = E_0 J_0\left(\frac{j_0 a}{a} r\right) \]

\[ H_\varphi = 2C \frac{j_0 a}{a} J_1\left(\frac{j_0 a}{a} r\right) = E_0 Z_0 J_1\left(\frac{j_0 a}{a} r\right) \]

\[ f = \frac{2.405 c}{2\pi a} \]

with
MULTI-CELL CAVITIES

- Often coupled structures are used to increase the acceleration efficiency.
- Here we assume a TM$_{010}$ mode in each cell.
- A model of equivalent LC circuits is used to introduce the coupling between cells, and can be used to determine the resulting single cell frequencies.
- Here one speaks of $0, \ldots, \pi/2, \ldots, \pi$ modes, which follow a different dispersion relation than a higher order pillbox mode (e.g. TM$_{01p}$).

\[
\omega_n = \frac{\omega_0}{\sqrt{1 + k \cos(n\pi/N)}}
\]

![Brillouin diagram](image)

\[\frac{\omega_0}{\sqrt{1 - k}}\]

\[\frac{\omega_0}{\sqrt{1 + k}}\]
BASIC CAVITY PARAMETERS
Energy gain in a cavity

We drill two holes at the cavity axis to let the beam pass, and assume:

\[ E_z(r = 0, z, t) = E(0, z) \cos(\omega t + \varphi) \]

Transit Time Factor \( T \):

\[
T = \frac{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \cos(\omega t(z)) \, dz}{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \, dz} - \frac{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \sin(\omega t(z)) \, dz}{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \, dz} - \tan \phi \frac{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \, dz}{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \, dz}
\]

\[ \Delta W = q \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \cos(\omega t + \varphi) \, dz = qV_0 T \cos \varphi \]

with:

\[ V_0 = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \, dz = E_0 L \]

and **Transit Time Factor** \( T \):

\[ \Delta W = q V_0 T \cos \varphi \]

55 = 0 if \( E(0, z) \) is symmetric to \( z = 0 \)
Thus we can write the Panofsky equation

$$\Delta W = qE_0TL \cos \varphi$$

The Transit Time Factor gives the ratio between the energy gained in an RF field and a DC field and is therefore $< 1$. It takes into account that the electric field changes its phase during the passage of the beam.

If we assume that the velocity change is small, we can say that

$$\omega t \approx \omega \frac{z}{v} = \frac{2\pi z}{\beta \lambda}$$

which simplifies the Transit Time Factor to:

$$T = \frac{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\beta \lambda} \right) \, dz}{\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E(0, z) \, dz}$$
Shunt impedance

The shunt impedance gives a measure of how much voltage $V_0$ one can get with a given power $P_d$, which is dissipated in the cavity walls.

Above we have used the **linac definition** of the shunt impedance, but sometimes also the **circuit definition** is used (more on that later).

\[
R_s = \frac{V_0^2}{P_d} \quad \text{shunt impedance}
\]

\[
R = \frac{(V_0 T)^2}{P_d} \quad \text{effective shunt impedance}
\]

\[
Z = \frac{R_s}{L} = \frac{E_0^2}{P_d/L} \quad \text{shunt impedance per unit length}
\]

\[
ZT^2 = \frac{R}{L} = \frac{(E_0 T)^2}{P_d/L} \quad \text{eff. shunt impedance per unit length}
\]
3db bandwidth

amplitude

Δω

frequency
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The Quality factor \( Q \) describes the bandwidth of a resonator and is defined as the ratio of reactive power (stored energy) to real power lost in the cavity walls:

\[
Q = \frac{\omega}{\Delta \omega} = \frac{\omega W}{P_d}
\]

Together with the shunt impedance we can define another figure of merit, which is used to maximize the energy gain in a given length for a certain power loss.

\[
\left( \frac{R}{Q} \right) = \frac{(V_0 T)^2}{\omega W}
\]

This quantity is independent from the surface losses and qualifies only the geometry of the cavity!
Filling time of a cavity

The dissipated power in the cavity walls must be equal to the rate of change of the stored energy:

\[ P_d = -\frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{\omega_0 W}{Q_0} \]

\[ Q_0 = \frac{\omega_0 W}{P_c} \]

As a solution we find an exponential decay for the energy:

\[ W(t) = W_0 e^{-\frac{2t}{\tau}} \quad \text{with} \quad \tau = \frac{2Q_0}{\omega_0} \]

For a loaded cavity (e.g. equipped with a power coupler) the filling time constant changes to:

\[ \tau_l = \frac{2Q_l}{\omega_0} \]

(\( Q_l \) will be derived later)

(Comment: one can also define tau as \( \tau = \frac{Q_0}{\omega_0} \)), then \( W(t) \propto e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \).
BASIC CAVITY PARAMETERS OF A PILLBOX

or a cookie jar, or a muffin tin...
Transit Time Factor (pillbox cavity)

In a pillbox cavity (TM$_{010}$ mode) the accelerating field has no dependence on $z$, which simplifies our expression for $T$ to:

$$T = \frac{2}{\pi} = 0.64$$

Let us assume relativistic particles ($\beta \approx 1$) and a cavity length of $L = \lambda/2$ (which can be cascaded to $\pi$-mode multi-cell cavities):
Using again the power-loss method we can calculate the quality factor of the pillbox cavity:

\[ W = W_{el} + W_{mag} = 2W_{el} = 2 \int \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D}^* dV \]

\[ E_z = E_0 J_0 \left( \frac{j_{01} r}{a} \right) \]

\[ W = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} E_0^2 J_0^2 \left( \frac{j_{01} r}{a} \right) r dr d\varphi dz = \frac{1}{2} E_0^2 \varepsilon_0 \pi L a^2 J_1^2(j_{01}) \]

\[ P_d = \frac{\delta_s}{2 \kappa} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \int_0^a \left( J_z J_z^* \right) 2\pi adz + \frac{\delta_s}{\kappa} \int_0^a \left( J_r J_r^* \right) 2\pi r dr \]

\[ \frac{1}{\delta_s^2} H_\varphi^2(r = a, z) \]

\[ \frac{1}{\delta_s^2} H_\varphi^2(r, z = 0) \]

\[ P_d = \frac{E_0^2 \pi R_{surf} a}{Z_0^2} J_1^2(j_{01})(a + L) \]

\[ H_\varphi = \frac{E_0}{Z_0} J_1 \left( \frac{j_{01} r}{a} \right) \]
The quality factor is a function of the material constants (el. conductance, permeability), the frequency, and the geometry of the cavity. Since the material is usually fixed (Cu), one can optimize the quality factor by optimizing the geometry of the cavity. Higher frequencies yield higher quality factors.

\[
Q_0 = \frac{\omega W}{P_d} = \frac{Z_0^2 \omega}{2 R_{surf}} \frac{La}{L + a} = \frac{1}{\delta_s} \frac{La}{L + a} \propto \sqrt{\omega}
\]

\[
\delta_s = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \mu \kappa}}
\]
accelerating voltage

The accelerating voltage is a strong function of the transit time factor. It therefore depends on the gap length \( L \), and the speed of the particle \( \beta \).

Especially in multi-cell cavities, which are used over a wide velocity range (e.g. SC multi-cell cavities for protons), this effect must be taken into account carefully.

Also HOMs depend on the particle speed!

\[
V_{acc} = V_0 T = E_0 LT = E_0 L \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi L}{\beta \lambda}\right)}{\frac{\pi L}{\beta \lambda}}
\]
shunt impedance (pillbox)

effective shunt impedance

\[ R = \frac{(V_0T)^2}{P_d} = \frac{Z_0}{\pi R_{surf} J_1^2 (j_{01})} \sin \left( \frac{\pi L}{\beta \lambda} \right) \frac{L^2}{a(a + L)} \]

- Depends on material parameters, the transit time factor and the geometry.
- This is why most normal conducting cavities have noses.
- Noses increase T and focus the electric field between them.
- Why do SC cavities not have noses?
frequency, \((R/Q)\) in pillboxes

\[
f = \frac{2.405c}{2\pi a}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{R}{Q} \right) = \frac{2c}{\omega \pi J_1^2(j_0)} \sin \left( \frac{\pi L}{\beta \lambda} \right) \frac{L}{a^2}
\]

- In all TM mode cavities, the frequency is strongly influenced by the cavity diameter.

- \((R/Q)\) does not depend on any material parameters, but is influenced by the transit time factor and the geometry and is inversely proportional to the frequency.
A CAVITY AS A LUMPED CIRCUIT
The characteristic quantities

cavity impedance

\[ Z_c = \frac{1}{i\omega C + \frac{1}{i\omega L} + \frac{1}{R_c}} \]

at resonance (\( \omega = \omega_0 \)), the cavity impedance becomes real and we can write:

\[ X = \omega_0 L = \frac{1}{\omega_0 C} = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \]

and the power lost in the resonator is:

\[ P_d = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(V_0 T)^2}{R_c} \]

the stored energy can be written as:

\[ W = \frac{1}{2} C (V_0 T)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(V_0 T)^2}{\omega_0^2 L} \]

so that we can calculate the quality factor:

\[ Q_0 = \omega_0 \frac{W}{P_d} = \omega_0 C R_c = \frac{R_c}{\omega_0 L} \]
cavity transformed into a lumped circuit

$$\omega_0 W = \frac{1}{2} \omega_0 C (V_0 T)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\omega_0 C} = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \frac{(V_0 T)^2}{2 \omega_0 W} = \left( \frac{R^c}{Q} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R}{Q} \right)$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lumped circuit</th>
<th>Field description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R^c$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$\frac{2}{\omega_0 \left( \frac{R}{Q} \right)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2 \omega_0 \left( \frac{R}{Q} \right)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 quantities to define a resonator

Instead of R, L, C, we can also use the derived quantities to define a cavity resonator:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lumped circuit</th>
<th>Field description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}$</td>
<td>$\omega_0 = \frac{2.405c}{a}$ (pillbox)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0 = \omega_0 CR^c = \frac{R^c}{\omega_0 L}$</td>
<td>$Q_0 = \frac{\omega_0 W}{P_d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\left(\frac{R^c}{Q}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R}{Q}\right)$</td>
<td>$\left(\frac{R}{Q}\right) = \frac{(V_0 T)^2}{\omega_0 W}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Power couplers
Getting power into a cavity

i) Linac4 **waveguide coupler**
ii) Antenna coupler (e.g. SPL version for SC cavities)

“matching” the cavity impedance to the wave-guide impedance

standard wave-guide flange with a wave impedance of 50 Ω

inner coax line penetrates cavity

cylindric ceramic to separate cavity vacuum from air in wave-guides
Power coupler in the lumped circuit model

The power coupler not only feeds the cavity with power but it also transforms the impedance of the cavity into the impedance of the attached wave-guide.

For the sake of simplicity we assume that the generator was matched to the same impedance as the wave-guide.
Power coupler in the lumped circuit model

cavity impedance:

\[ Z_c = \frac{1}{i\omega C + \frac{1}{i\omega L} + \frac{1}{R_c}} \]

cavity + coupler impedance:

\[ Z'_c = \frac{1}{i\omega n^2 C + \frac{n^2}{i\omega L} + \frac{n^2}{R_c}} \]

stored energy in resonator:

\[ W = \frac{C}{2(V_0T)^2} = n^2 \frac{C}{2V_{gen}^2} \]

voltage & current transformation:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_0T &= nV_{gen} \\
I &= \frac{I_{gen}}{n}
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \Rightarrow Z_c = \frac{V_0T}{I} = n^2Z'_c \]
Power coupler in the lumped circuit model

**Power dissipated in the cavity:**

\[ P_d = \frac{(V_0 T)^2}{2 R_c} = n^2 \frac{V_{gen}^2}{2 R_c} \]

**Quality factor of the cavity (unloaded Q):**

\[ Q_0 = \frac{\omega_0 W}{P_d} = \omega_0 R_c C \]

**Quality factor of external load (external Q):**

\[ Q_{ex} = \frac{\omega_0 W}{P_{ex}} = n^2 \omega_0 Z_0 C \]

**Power leaking out through coupler:**

\[ P_{ex} = \frac{V_{gen}^2}{2 Z_0} \]

This power is dissipated only after the generator is switched off and the cavity fields “leak” out of the coupler.
power balance for an un-driven cavity (RF off, no beam)

total power and loaded Q: \( P_{tot} = P_d + P_{ex} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{Q_l} = \frac{1}{Q_{ex}} + \frac{1}{Q_0} \)

The coupling between wave-guide and cavity is qualified by the coupling parameter:

\[
\beta = \frac{P_{ex}}{P_d} = \frac{Q_0}{Q_{ex}} = \frac{R^c}{n^2 Z_0}
\]

Assuming that the cavity is driven on resonance \( \omega = \omega_0 \)

\[
Z_c = \frac{1}{i\omega C + \frac{1}{i\omega L} + \frac{1}{R^c}} = R^c
\]

we get (in this case: no beam) optimum power transfer for

\[
\beta = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{P_{ex}}{P_d} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R^c = n^2 Z_0
\]
now we add the beam

The power given to the beam can be treated as an additional loss, which we add to the dissipated power in the cavity wall.

\[ P_{db} = P_d + P_b \]

in analogy to the unloaded case we assume zero reflections (a matched condition) to define the matched case

\[ \frac{P_{ex}}{P_{db}} = 1 = \frac{Q_{0b}}{Q_{ex}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{P_{ex}}{P_d} = 1 + \frac{P_b}{P_d} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta = 1 + \frac{P_b}{P_d} \]

and for the quality factors in the matched case we can write:

\[ Q_{0b} = Q_{ex} = \frac{\omega_0 W}{P_b + P_d} = \frac{Q_0}{1 + \frac{P_b}{P_d}} = \frac{Q_0}{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_l = \frac{Q_0}{1 + \beta} = \frac{Q_0}{2 + \frac{P_b}{P_d}} \]

\[ \frac{1}{Q_l} = \frac{1}{Q_{ex}} + \frac{1}{Q_0} \]
finally a driven SC cavity

In superconducting cavities we can assume that

\[ P_b \gg P_d \Rightarrow \beta = 1 + \frac{P_b}{P_d} \approx \frac{P_b}{P_d} \]

and with \( P_b = I_{beam} V_0 T \cos \phi_s \)

we can write

\[ Q_l \approx Q_{ex} \approx \frac{Q_0}{P_{beam}/P_d} = \frac{V_0 T}{(R/Q) I_{beam} \cos \phi_s} \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>undriven cavity</th>
<th>driven cavity with beam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1}{Q_l} = \frac{1}{Q_{ex}} + \frac{1}{Q_0} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta = \frac{P_{ex}}{P_d} = \frac{Q_0}{Q_{ex}} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \( Q_l = \frac{Q_0}{1 + \beta} \) | \( P_{ex} = \frac{Q_0}{Q_{ex}} = 1 \Rightarrow \beta = 1 \)
| \( \frac{P_{ex}}{P_d} = \frac{Q_0}{Q_{ex}} = 1 \Rightarrow \beta = 1 + \frac{P_b}{P_d} \) | |
| \( Q_{ex} = Q_0 = \frac{\omega_0 W}{P_d} \) | \( Q_{ex} = Q_{0b} = \frac{\omega_0 W}{P_d + P_b} \)
| \( Q_l = \frac{Q_0}{2} \) | \( Q_l = \frac{Q_0}{2 + \frac{P_b}{P_d}} \)
what is “matching”?
matching and reflections

• Above we stated that for a “perfectly matched” coupler, there is no reflected power. Let us have a look at a mismatched case.
• For this purpose we remind ourselves that we are dealing with electromagnetic waves in wave-guide structures, which can travel in positive and negative z-direction.
• We use this concept to describe forward and reflected voltage (and current) waves along a transmission line, which describe the electric fields along the wave-guide.

\[ V = V_0 e^{i(kz-\omega t)} + \Gamma V_0 e^{i(-kz-\omega t)} \]
\[ I = \frac{V_0}{Z_0} e^{i(kz-\omega t)} - \Gamma \frac{V_0}{Z_0} e^{i(-kz-\omega t)} \]

\( \Gamma \) - Reflection coefficient
matching and reflections

Let us say the cavity (the load $Z'_c$) is connected at $z=0$

$$V = V_0 e^{-i\omega t} (1 + \Gamma)$$

$$I = \frac{V_0}{Z_0} e^{-i\omega t} (1 - \Gamma)$$

the load $Z'_c$ can then be expressed as:

$$Z'_c = \frac{V}{I} = Z_0 \frac{1 + \Gamma}{1 - \Gamma}$$

and the reflection factor becomes:

$$\Gamma = \frac{Z'_c - Z_0}{Z'_c + Z_0} = \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta}$$

(the last term is only valid without beam)

The cavity is matched when the reflection factor becomes zero:

$$Z'_c = Z_0$$
matching a cavity to a wave-guide

• The power coupler transforms the cavity impedance (at resonance) into the impedance of the feeding wave-guides.

• In case of mismatch a certain fraction of the feeding power gets reflected back to the RF source.
  ➡ Since the cavity impedance depends on the cavity Q, each cavity type needs a different matching.
  ➡ If the cavity is resonating off-resonance, we also get reflected power.
  ➡ If we accelerate beam, we need additional power in the cavity, which changes the loaded Q and the cavity impedance. The coupler matching is usually done for the loaded case.
  ➡ During the start of the RF pulse (before the arrival of the beam), when the cavity is “filled” with RF power, the cavity is always mismatched, which means we need to make sure that the reflected power does not damage the RF source (e.g. with a circulator).
pulsed operation of a SC cavity

Since \( P_{\text{beam}} \gg P_d \), SC cavities are completely mismatched when the RF pulse starts, so all RF power is reflected at \( t=0 \).

- **beam duty cycle**: covers only the beam-on time,
- **RF duty cycle**: RF system is on and needs power (modulators, klystrons)
- **cryo-duty cycle**: cryo-system needs to provide cooling (cryo-plant, cryo-modules, RF coupler, RF loads)
- RF and cryo-duty cycle have to be calculated as **integrals** of voltage over time.
Thank you
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Annex: differential operators in cylindrical coordinates

\[ \nabla \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{r \partial \varphi} \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = \frac{\partial (ra_r)}{r \partial r} + \frac{\partial a_\varphi}{r \partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} \]

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial a_z}{r \partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial a_\varphi}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial a_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial (ra_\varphi)}{r \partial r} - \frac{\partial a_r}{r \partial \varphi} \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \Delta \phi = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial \phi}{r \partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{r^2 \partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \]

\[ x = r \cos \varphi \quad \text{with } 0 \leq r \leq \infty \]
\[ y = r \sin \varphi \quad \text{with } 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi \]
\[ z = z \]

\[ d\mathbf{l} = \begin{pmatrix} dr \\ r d\varphi \\ dz \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ dV = r dr d\varphi dz \]