CAS15 - Case Study Work

Group 12 – ACCORDION Collaboration

Ilya Ashanin Basil Gonsalves Claude Krantz Valeria Lante Patricio Nadig Silvia Schuh Jerome Schwindling

Jerome: "As our brain was already irradiated by accordion music, I propose **ACCORDION**

as the acronym, which obviously means ACCeleratOR for Delivering IONs"

CERN School for Medical Accelerators

We choose a country ... of **considerable size** (e.g. Spain ca. 50 million inhabitants)

currently2%inhabitants treated with X-rays12%of those would be bettertreated with protons3%of those would be betterwith Carbon-ions

→ 50000000 x 0.02 x 0.15 = **15000 potential HT patients**

capacity/treatment room:

345 patients/y with protons,690 patients/y with Carbon-ions

→ more than enough patients for whom HT is the better option!

If proton therapy would cost the same as X-ray therapy, 90% of patients would be treated with protonsmaldi et al, NIM in Physics Research A 620 (2010) 563-577

We choose a country ...

• ... part of the **industrialised** countries:

 \rightarrow Money, even for a big facility, is *in principle available*.

In these circumstances, we want to be ambitious!

- \rightarrow Go for a combined "heavy" ion facility ...
- ... which delivers both p and C⁶⁺ beams
 This brings the to-date cutting edge technique to our country.
- ... has got multiple irradiation rooms optimise efficiency of operation, more treatments per year

Clinical goal is most important \rightarrow use established technologies

Several C/p facilities in operation (HIMAC, HIT, CNAO, Shanghai, ...) or in commissioning (MedAustron, MIT)



CERN School for Medical Accelerators

Cost estimate

- → 1 patient treated with HT costs ~ 20-25 k€ (~40 M€ for 1810 patient/y*)
- → 1 patient treated with X-rays costs ~ 15 k€ : short-term & very long-term side effects (2ndary cancers, irreversible organ failure)
- → facility of 200 M€ investment & yearly operation cost of \sim 35M€ (2M€ power, 20M€ salaries, maintenance cost 10M€, misc 10%)
- Be ready to finance the construction and operation of the facility from **public funds**.

Time estimate

- \rightarrow 5 years from approval until first clinical operations
- \rightarrow operation for at least 20 years

*Capability based on *Amaldi et al, (2010),* assuming 1 p patient every 3 C-ion patients

Additional benefits

- As our country does not have (any) hadrontherapy centre yet, a hadrontherapy facility is an opportunity for capacity building for future high-tech industry.
- There are also no other p or ion accelerators (reaching hundreds of MeV/u) dedicated to other fields of research.
- Co-operation with other academic fields outside of medicine creates synergy effects, and comes "almost for free" for a high rigidity accelerator complex.
 University research opportunities in Biology, Physics, Dosimetry

Training of accelerator physicists and engineers ...

Technical Description

clinical requirements:

- 250 MeV/u p $(B\rho = 2.4 \text{ Tm}) \ 10^{10} \text{ / s}$
- 400 MeV/u C⁶⁺ (B ρ = 6.4 Tm) 10⁸-10⁹ / s

possibly even higher energy for research purposes?
→ Even stronger magnets and/or larger facility

Higher energetic protons come "for free" ...

Technical Description



Ion Source: Supernanogan

Choice for established source:

Electron Cyclotron Resonance (ECR) Ion Source

Has been proven in a number of installations:

- MedAustron (H₃¹⁺, C⁴⁺)
- HIMAC
- CNAO
- HIT

Beam intensity (within emittance): C^{4+}	200 µA
H_3^{1+}	500 μΑ
Emittance (95%)	$180 \pi \text{ mm mrad}$
IS-HT, energy spread	30 kV, < 1%
24h Beam Stability (peak to peak) :	
Intensity and Emittance	< 4%
Reproducibility of all beam parameters	5%
after restart before tuning	
Maintenance interval	> 12 month
Duration (1 ion source)	< 2 weeks
Synchrotron injection	$< 100 \ \mu s$



- permanent magnet
- Frequency 14.25-14.75 GHz
- Operation mode: continuous wave
- Extraction voltage: 24 kV@C⁴⁺

[Source: Ion Sources for MedAustron, CERN-BE-Note-2010-001]

Accelerator

Linear accelerator (8 keV/u → 7 MeV/u)



RFQ (8 keV/u \rightarrow 0.4 MeV/u)

takes cw-beam from source bunches and accelerates simultaneously

IH Structure (0.4 MeV/u \rightarrow 7 MeV/u)

Needs pre-bunched beam Accelerating field between drift tubes





CERN School for Medical Accelerators

Accelerator

Synchrotron



Rigidity $B\rho = p/q$

min: 0.38 Tm max: 6.4 Tm

(p at injection) (for C at 400 MeV/u)

Normal conducting magnets: B ~ 1 − 1.5 T
→ ρ > 6 m
+ space for quads, rf, injection, extraction ...
→ diameter of ring ~ 20 m

Accelerator

Synchrotron cycle

Inject every few seconds





Univ. Aarhus, www.au.dk

Treatment Rooms

3 treatment rooms

horizontal + vertical beamline, horizontal beamline, 1 proton gantry (established technology)

optimize patient workflow: 3 set-up rooms

1 NCR general purpose room w/ v+h beamline (60MeVfrom below)

Radiobiology, dosimetry R&D, radiation hardness studies, nuclear research other ions be provided with ion source upgrade (e.g. Li)



Treatment Rooms

Robotic positioning coach

7 DoF (incl pitch & roll & longitudinal)

Interlock system (dose control, beam off) Quality Assurance water phantom

Imaging/Control in same room CT scanner around couch (alignment!)



... The cherry on the cake

Use the in-between-injection time for isotope production or other NCR activities?



Investing in this p/C facility will bring our Country to the forefront of contemporary HealthCare

And build the capacity for further high-tech in Spain!

Thank you!



Possible Upgrade: Helium gantry

Just some estimations:

-At fixed energy per nucleon, alpha particles and protons have the same range

-At a given energy per nucleon, Brho(He)~2Brho(p)

-The max Brho of a gantry for protons is ~ 2.5 Tm. Assuming a conventional 90° magnet with Bmax=1.5 T will have a length ~ 2.81 m

-He4 with a Brho of 2.5Tm will stop, approx., after 4 cm in water (unuseful)

-He4 with a range of ~10(8) cm in water has a Brho of ~3.25(3.02) Tm. PEADIATRIC APPLICATIONS?

This would imply that a conventional 90° magnet with Bmax=1.5 T will have a length = 3.66(3.0) m, i.e. 30(20)% longer than 2.81 for 250 MeV protons

-Increasing the magnetic field a little bit* (superferric magnet?) or considering a bit longer-largerheavier magnets, we could treat pediatric tumours with a helium gantry. Could it be an idea?

With a field of 1.8 T, the same dipole would be 3.05(2.54) m 4th June 2015 CERN School for Medical Accelerators

Modular Extraction Lines - PIMMS



2 NCR: 1 H + 1 V (for radiobiology)



Add a medium energy room



1) Is a 15 MeV/u linac possible as injector? Would this energy enough for a radiopharmacy? We should have made some calculus... 2) Use conventional 7 Mev/u linac as injector in another linac for radiopharmacy in the range of few tens of MeV 3) Other?

Possible Daily Schedule

	QA	clinical	ТР	maintena	R&D
			measur.	nce	
Mon	3	12	3	3	3
Tue	3	12	3	3	3
Wen	3	12	3	3	3
Thu	3	12	3	3	3
Fri	3	12	3	3	3
Sat	NA	5	5	3	11
Sun	NA	NA	5	3	16

Approx. 2000 hours/y dedicated to R&D