Case study 3

High field- large aperture magnet for a cable test facility

Design a superconducting dipole with an 100 mm aperture and capable of reaching 15 T at 1, 9 K

S. Aull, T. Trublet, P. Hagen, A. Altinkok, M. Devaux

Sector coil scaling laws



<u>Hypothesis :</u>

We assume an unique sector coil of 60°

R= 50 mm

Weq = thickness of the coil

 λ = ratio between peak field and central field scales (15T)

α = 0,045

Bss the short sample field

K filling factor =0,33

s=3,90.10⁹ A/m2/T, b= 22 T, γ0 = 6, 63.10⁻⁷Tm/A

<u>Scaling law for a dipole of one sector of 60° :</u>

$$B_{ss} = \frac{\kappa s \gamma_c}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{4b}{\lambda \kappa s \gamma_c}} + 1 - 1 \right) \qquad \lambda(w, r) = 1 + \alpha \frac{r}{w}$$

For Weq = 70 mm for a sector of 60°
B= 15T for 90% and Bpeak = 15,4 T
For 100%, B= 16,6 T

Conductor

| | weq = 70 mm → | Number of layers | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|----|------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| • | | Bare conductor width (mm) | 70 | 35 | 23,3 | 17,5 |
| • | 28 (14 x 2) strands/conductor of 1,25 mm diameter | | | 2,55 mm Strand of 1,25 mm | | |
| • | Mid-Thickness: <mark>2,525 mm (bare)</mark> | | | | | |
| • | Insulation : 2x0,1 mm (g | lass fiber) | | L7,5 π | | |
| • | Cu% = 54,5% and SC% = | $45,5 \% \rightarrow \frac{Cu}{Sc} = 1,2$ | | | 00 0 | 1 mm |
| • | Pitch angle : 17,65° | | | v | 2,5 mm | , |
| • | Pitch angle : 17,65° | 30 | | \checkmark | 2,5 mm | ,1 mm |



| Area sc cable (mm ²) | 15,634 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Area copper cable (mm ²) | 18,727 | K = 0,324 |
| Area ins cable (mm ²) | 48,233 | |

Load lines

• B= 15T for I= 90% of I_{,ss}

→ Determination of short sample conditions (100%) and operational conditions (80%)



• NbTi: this magnet can not work with NbTi conductor

Layout

- Cos(0) design with 4 layers
- Facility magnet (not accelerator magnet): do not need so « good » field quality: choice of no wedge, sector of 60°



$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Mechanics} \\ F_x &= + \frac{2\mu_0 J_0^2}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[\frac{2\pi - \sqrt{3}}{36} a_2^3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \ln \frac{a_2}{a_1} a_1^3 + \frac{4\pi + \sqrt{3}}{36} a_1^3 - \frac{\pi}{6} a_2 a_1^2 \right] \\ F_y &= -\frac{2\mu_0 J_0^2}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[\frac{1}{12} a_2^3 + \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{a_1}{a_2} a_1^3 - \frac{1}{12} a_1^3 \right] \end{aligned}$

At 80%, with a1= 50 mm and a2= 120 mm, for a quadrant: Fx= 5,7 MN/m and Fy = -4,7 MN/m $\sigma av = 89 \text{ MPa}$

At 90%, iron yoke thickness is 37,5 cm Stainless steel collars of a thickness of 2 cm Shrinking tube (stress is 200 Mpa), a thickness of 29 mm.

Additional questions

| | YBaCuO | Bi2212 |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| \odot | Commercially availableMechanics | Round wires Thermal stability |
| $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ | Expensive Only tape High anisotropy B// and B | Expensive (processing and Ag) Mechanical stability |
| | Cos(O) | Block |
| | Self supporting structure Circular aperture Compact Using less SC Experience, commonly use | Ends wound in the easy side No keystoned angle Elegant |
| $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ | - Keystoned angle | More SC (less effective) Supported structure Lack of experience |

Additional questions (2)

| | Collar based | Shell based | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | High accuracy for coil postioning Work for high field with contribution of the structure Room temperature Time and cost for insdustrial scale | work very well for low field Less complicated | |
| 8 | Good knowldege of the coil properties Collar deformation must be taken into account (cooling down) | Work not for high field magnet Low temperature | |

| | High pre stress | Low pre-stress |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | - Stable plateau | Good quench performance |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{\otimes}}$ | Degradation possible (Nb3Sn and insulation) | Unloading |